

436	71408		0	1492914099587	4/22/17 10:21 PM	4/23/17 2:21 AM	I'm not sure I understand the Tweet either. I think his notion that will cause a stir in the overall liberal New York Times readership.
437	71409		1	1492914112000	4/22/17 10:21 PM	4/23/17 2:21 AM	Yup. Same same.
438	71410		0	1492914152167	4/22/17 10:22 PM	4/23/17 2:22 AM	And funny, I had exactly the same thought about that part of the article, it seems like somebody had to put that in there. I'm not sure the reason why.
439	71411		1	1492914206000	4/22/17 10:23 PM	4/23/17 2:23 AM	Of course you did. ☺
440	71524		0	1493153319806	4/25/17 4:48 PM	4/25/17 8:48 PM	Is the source available for recontact?
441	71602		1	1493213557000	4/26/17 9:32 AM	4/26/17 1:32 PM	Np. Was just calling to say that we're going to hold on opening that one case for a couple of days while Baker talks to doj. Will explain more later, going to tell sally now.
442	71603		1	1493213557000	4/26/17 9:32 AM	4/26/17 1:32 PM	That's crazy. Sorry to not respond until now. My phone was all jacked up while over in London. Got back yesterday evening
443	71692		1	1493473021000	4/29/17 9:37 AM	4/29/17 1:37 PM	Hey turn on CNN re Yates
444	71737		0	1493758838493	5/2/17 5:00 PM	5/2/17 9:00 PM	CNN had coverage /advance notice of what they claimed was the Trump security guy dropping off firing letter at FBIHQ earlier this afternoon.
445	71970		0	1494370184035	5/9/17 6:49 PM	5/9/17 10:49 PM	Have to talk to Kortan on mother f*cking Peter Huckabee said D had "lost confidence of rank and file of FBI"
446	72025		0	1494439199582	5/10/17 1:59 PM	5/10/17 5:59 PM	Hey assume you're getting dump. Meeting with DAG was about coordinating investigation.
447	72060		0	1494521792529	5/11/17 12:56 PM	5/11/17 4:56 PM	WH press conference is interesting. Trump told Lester Holt about dinner, all kinds of Qs about those discussions.
448	72063		0	1494526253660	5/11/17 2:10 PM	5/11/17 6:10 PM	
449	72075		1	1494553887000	5/11/17 9:51 PM	5/12/17 1:51 AM	NYTimes: Andrew McCabe Is Known at F.B.I. for His Precision and Intellect Andrew McCabe Is Known at F.B.I. for His Precision and Intellect https://nyti.m
450	72076		1	1494553888000	5/11/17 9:51 PM	5/12/17 1:51 AM	s/2q7iuTM
451	72138		1	1494759945000	5/14/17 7:05 AM	5/14/17 11:05 AM	I feel that same loss. I want to see what the FBI could become under him! His vision of greatness for our strong but flawed organization. I'm angry. Angr
452	72139		1	1494759945000	5/14/17 7:05 AM	5/14/17 11:05 AM	y and mourning.
453	72140		0	1494760024603	5/14/17 7:07 AM	5/14/17 11:07 AM	Yeah I kept telling myself the organization is much bigger and stronger than any one person, that we'll endure.
454	72184		0	1494882826250	5/15/17 5:13 PM	5/15/17 9:13 PM	And WP push reporting T disclosed highly classified foreign govt info to Russians last week re CT threat...
455	72197		0	1494967456934	5/16/17 4:44 PM	5/16/17 8:44 PM	Call me about wind please
456	72198		0	1494970037639	5/16/17 5:27 PM	5/16/17 9:27 PM	Nyt push
457	72199		1	1494973121000	5/16/17 6:18 PM	5/16/17 10:18 PM	Oi atty is making some minor changes to package, will have to 7 floor as soon as it gets here, paper copy only. On docket for Monday. All greased and ready once signed.
458	72200		1	1494973122000	5/16/17 6:18 PM	5/16/17 10:18 PM	Rgr thanks
459	72201		0	1494973172272	5/16/17 6:19 PM	5/16/17 10:19 PM	My interview partner. Who I need to tell nothing is set and we're not going first thing. Because ████████ doesn't relay sh*t.
460	72225		0	1494986499030	5/16/17 10:01 PM	5/17/17 2:01 AM	Yeah, I get it. And Andy could certainly write it but I took notes.
461	72226		1	1494986511000	5/16/17 10:01 PM	5/17/17 2:01 AM	So call her tonight and ask. It didn't come up directly. It was very frenetic.
462	72227		1	1494986536000	5/16/17 10:02 PM	5/17/17 2:02 AM	
463	72228		0	1494986544710	5/16/17 10:02 PM	5/17/17 2:02 AM	I'd rather you write it We also need class review. Chaffetz has asked for all writeups
464	72234		0	1494986755730	5/16/17 10:05 PM	5/17/17 2:05 AM	Actually you need to write it. Andy's a witness, you're not.
465	72240		0	1494987737927	5/16/17 10:22 PM	5/17/17 2:22 AM	He's either a dead man walking and/or a hero. Doing so only helps him, doesn't hurt him.
466	72241		0	1494987807426	5/16/17 10:23 PM	5/17/17 2:23 AM	History is watching. He needs to. To redeem his soul, first and foremost.

467	72277		0	1495018869686	5/17/17 7:01 AM	5/17/17 11:01 AM	Ooh. Lots on npr I want to go thru some of the arguments on lawfare to chronicle. Will call when I get in car. About 30.
468	72278		1	1495019848000	5/17/17 7:17 AM	5/17/17 11:17 AM	I agree. Can you get them out today? And god, EVERYTHING just got so much better on that case...
469	72336		1	1495104765000	5/18/17 6:52 AM	5/18/17 10:52 AM	I get it, but they're not being unreasonable. And yes, we will bring him here. It's easy to monday morning quarterback Pete. You tell me that in the face
470	72337		1	1495106587000	5/18/17 7:23 AM	5/18/17 11:23 AM	of two seemingly earnest senators, you'd adamantly hold your absolutely no, no way. Oh god Susan Collis comments on npr....
471	72338		1	1495106587000	5/18/17 7:23 AM	5/18/17 11:23 AM	For me, and this case, I personally have a sense of unfinished business. I unleashed it with MYE. Now I need to fix it and finish it
472	72351		0	1495150875122	5/18/17 7:41 PM	5/18/17 11:41 PM	What does that even mean, scaling up?
473	72371		0	1495154172161	5/18/17 8:36 PM	5/19/17 12:36 AM	You shouldn't take this on. I promise you, I would tell you if you should.
474	72372		1	1495154242000	5/18/17 8:37 PM	5/19/17 12:37 AM	Why not, re me?
475	72373		1	1495154270000	5/18/17 8:37 PM	5/19/17 12:37 AM	Who gives a f*ck, one more AD like Scott or Steve or Carlos or Dave or Tim or whoever. An investigation leading to impeachment?
476	72374		0	1495154384213	5/18/17 8:39 PM	5/19/17 12:39 AM	Will explain why later. He thinks this isn't really a CI case, should be approached from a PC perspective.
477	72375		0	1495154446794	5/18/17 8:40 PM	5/19/17 12:40 AM	Yep let's see. I can envision the end state following the meeting, but let things run their course. One thing I (we) know is Bill will not buck Carl.
478	72423		1	1495237300000	5/19/17 7:41 PM	5/19/17 11:41 PM	They are working very very long hours already. And every weekend. Not bob, of course, but everyone else.
479	72476		0	1495392318722	5/21/17 2:45 PM	5/21/17 6:45 PM	Sigh. That's what I was afraid of. Are you locked in with Baker if something happens to Andy?
480	72511		1	1495584574000	5/23/17 8:09 PM	5/24/17 12:09 AM	So long as baker stays, yes.
481	72512		0	1495584639360	5/23/17 8:10 PM	5/24/17 12:10 AM	NYTimes: A Constitutional Puzzle: Can the President Be Indicted? A
482	72513		1	1495585111000	5/23/17 8:18 PM	5/24/17 12:18 AM	Constitutional Puzzle: Can the President Be Indicted? https://nyti.ms/2sc27a
483	72574		0	1496100022580	5/29/17 7:20 PM	5/29/17 11:20 PM	Ha. just called (but had to answer another call), said he had talked to you on one of the issues...not sure what but will call back.
484	72688		0	1496362301355	6/1/17 8:11 PM	6/2/17 12:11 AM	Re the mtg tomorrow. Not clear now which subj (which is his Question I am guessing) but either way usao wants to attend which is an issue.
485	72689		1	1496362580000	6/1/17 8:16 PM	6/2/17 12:16 AM	le, wind vs. Razor
486	72690		1	1496362606000	6/1/17 8:16 PM	6/2/17 12:16 AM	Hmm. I heard wind. What did you hear?
487	72691		0	1496362655045	6/1/17 8:17 PM	6/2/17 12:17 AM	And from who? Mine came from
488	72692		0	1496362669166	6/1/17 8:17 PM	6/2/17 12:17 AM	Wait I forget the codename.
489	72693		1	1496362692000	6/1/17 8:18 PM	6/2/17 12:18 AM	Oh yes. Wind
490	72694		1	1496362707000	6/1/17 8:18 PM	6/2/17 12:18 AM	He thought maybe someone else
491	72695		1	1496362719000	6/1/17 8:18 PM	6/2/17 12:18 AM	Who's saying USAO wants to attend?
492	72696		0	1496362844482	6/1/17 8:20 PM	6/2/17 12:20 AM	said called her said fbi crim invited them.
493	72697		1	1496362887000	6/1/17 8:21 PM	6/2/17 12:21 AM	It's just hard to be untethered to the Bureau and Andy right now. It's been bad enough without Comey, this just feels like another loss.
494	72836		1	1496449424000	6/2/17 8:23 PM	6/3/17 12:23 AM	Subj in custody, btw. First ML arrest of the Trump era
495	72911		0	1496531222219	6/3/17 7:07 PM	6/3/17 11:07 PM	just based on Mueller's convo with him - he just didn't understand what the problem was. AND I did a lot of work to help them understand that was al
496	72933		1	1496539527000	6/3/17 9:25 PM	6/4/17 1:25 AM	And sigh. Ok. Don't worry too much about Mueller and You're going to be ok. :)
497	72955		0	1496540995457	6/3/17 9:49 PM	6/4/17 1:49 AM	

498	73039		1	1496689239000	6/5/17 3:00 PM	6/5/17 7:00 PM	Let me talk to SC about the memos. I just spoke to Rybicki about them and where things stand.
499	73040		0	1496689297578	6/5/17 3:01 PM	6/5/17 7:01 PM	I just talked to Aaron. He said memos are still not decided, in other words, they're not going to block testimony, but the memos are still up in the air
500	73196		0	1496789251090	6/6/17 6:47 PM	6/6/17 10:47 PM	I think Papa and London and Stone and Wiki and CI confluence is smack square in your wheelhouse.
501	73228		1	1496832264000	6/7/17 6:44 AM	6/7/17 10:44 AM	And Jesus, did you see that the networks are carrying Comey's testimony?
502	73238		0	1496832924340	6/7/17 6:55 AM	6/7/17 10:55 AM	Interrupting regular tv to broadcast...
503	73239		1	1496832983000	6/7/17 6:56 AM	6/7/17 10:56 AM	And I've gotta say I'm a little bummed about Dir C testimony. Is what it is.
504	73265		0	1496856670939	6/7/17 1:31 PM	6/7/17 5:31 PM	Yup, it's fine.
505	73349		1	1496937950000	6/8/17 12:05 PM	6/8/17 4:05 PM	██████████ would like you and ██████████ to explore supplementing Andy's testimony along the lines we discussed on that one answer
506	73350		1	1496938906000	6/8/17 12:21 PM	6/8/17 4:21 PM	Good for Comey.
507	73351		1	1496938914000	6/8/17 12:21 PM	6/8/17 4:21 PM	Bad for Loretta Lynch.
508	73352		1	1496938955000	6/8/17 12:22 PM	6/8/17 4:22 PM	Bad for Sessions.
509	73370		1	1496973411000	6/8/17 9:56 PM	6/9/17 1:56 AM	Mixed for Trump.
510	73371		1	1496973412000	6/8/17 9:56 PM	6/9/17 1:56 AM	Great. Joe just called me to say that Rachel Maddow just listed by
511	73372		1	1496973412000	6/8/17 9:56 PM	6/9/17 1:56 AM	name each of the people the Director listed as having discussed the
512	73373		1	1496973412000	6/8/17 9:56 PM	6/9/17 1:56 AM	matter with, but she that I was the only one they hadn't identify
513	73379		0	1496973731850	6/8/17 10:02 PM	6/9/17 2:02 AM	d yet but that they were working on it. ☹️
514	73380		1	1496973887000	6/8/17 10:04 PM	6/9/17 2:04 AM	And we do need to discuss your role in obstruction aspect in light of being a witness. Not sure if I'm overthinking that.
515	73448		1	1497109998000	6/10/17 11:53 AM	6/10/17 3:53 PM	I don't think I know a lot of enemies/crazies. Well, there is one.
516	73449		1	1497109999000	6/10/17 11:53 AM	6/10/17 3:53 PM	Finally, I don't like not including that one person. He is NOT recused, Bill should not be making that call for him. He can make that decision himself. H
517	73623		0	1497353038055	6/13/17 7:23 AM	6/13/17 11:23 AM	e always takes a conservative approach and can be trusted to do the right thing.
518	73722		1	1497487439000	6/14/17 8:43 PM	6/15/17 12:43 AM	Damn. She had a funny relationship with me. There's a big CNN graphic on the SC teams political donations...
519	73728		1	1497526529000	6/15/17 7:35 AM	6/15/17 11:35 AM	Thanks. Saw your politico article too. God help me I don't think I can take much more of this.
520	73744		0	1497556004836	6/15/17 3:46 PM	6/15/17 7:46 PM	Or because of the nyt story saying that SC seems to be pursuing potus in light of these interviews.
521	73745		0	1497556092815	6/15/17 3:48 PM	6/15/17 7:48 PM	WP picked your name up in an online blog, citing Wired. "Right Turn," 9:15 today
522	73796		0	1497610054354	6/16/17 6:47 AM	6/16/17 10:47 AM	And you're on Wiki
523	73798		0	1497610143816	6/16/17 6:49 AM	6/16/17 10:49 AM	https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/2017_Special_Counsel_for_the_United_States_Depar
524	73799		0	1497610168004	6/16/17 6:49 AM	6/16/17 10:49 AM	tment of Justice team
525	73916		1	1497805918000	6/18/17 1:11 PM	6/18/17 5:11 PM	I don't know how you correct it - realistically you probably dont. And it would probably be a good thing to get some long time Russian OC folks on board.
526	73919		0	1497807377691	6/18/17 1:36 PM	6/18/17 5:36 PM	You saw you're in the print nyt, right?
527	74087		1	1497927847000	6/19/17 11:04 PM	6/20/17 3:04 AM	I was talking prosecutors, but maybe. He's ny. Can he be trusted?
							I must have really freaked him out on Friday when I told him I wanted to join the Russian influence team.
							Wonder what changed. I worry it's the FOIA yuck, with a realization there will be a hundred things like that he doesn't want.
							Make sure you don't tell her anything about whether the press accounts are accurate. Nothing. Just say you don't know what I did at doj.

528	74111		1	1497970125000	6/20/17 10:48 AM	6/20/17 2:48 PM	If I am going to call, I think it makes sense to do while you are still there. Thoughts? Also, I will do it on a SC phone, just so no number comes up [REDACTED]
529	74142		0	1497983692441	6/20/17 2:34 PM	6/20/17 6:34 PM	That, Lisa, is entirely 100% your business. You don't need me to tell you that - I'm saying that so you know I understand.
530	74143		0	1497987778546	6/20/17 3:42 PM	6/20/17 7:42 PM	Shockingly, [REDACTED] is pushing me to go to OSC. How do you feel about that? How would I?
531	74144		1	1497987985000	6/20/17 3:46 PM	6/20/17 7:46 PM	I don't prefer that, but I really really just want this all to be over so I don't care.
532	74145		1	1497988012000	6/20/17 3:46 PM	6/20/17 7:46 PM	I'm sure [REDACTED] wouldn't be thrilled, but I presume we'll manage.
533	74196		1	1498005328000	6/20/17 8:35 PM	6/21/17 12:35 AM	Just say, I'm not really needed on SC, I am needed at HQ.
534	74262		1	1498135693000	6/22/17 8:48 AM	6/22/17 12:48 PM	I'm thinking I might leave SC. Maybe hold to say something.

Strzok Text Messages

Date UTC	Internal Phone Number	Type of Message	From (Formatted)	To (Formatted)	Body	Type	Attach	RECIPIENTS_JSON
1 2016-02-13 03:27:04, Sat	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page	[REDACTED]	I'm no prude, but I'm really appalled by this. So you don't have to go looking (in case you hadn't heard), Trump called him the p-word. The man has no dignity or class. He simply can not be president. \n\nWith a Slur for Ted Cruz, Donald Trump Further Splits Voters http://nyti.ms/1XoIcK0	sms		"first Name": "Lisa", "lastName": "Page"
2 2016-02-26 11:26:00, Fri	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX		[REDACTED] Lisa.Page	There won't be. Bill has MYE wrap at 230, just like he did for DD's function. And if like the one for DD, for which I was late, I won't have time. \n\nHopefully I can drive you to E at lunch because I think that may be the only time I can see or talk to you today. \U0001f615	sms		"first Name": "Lisa", "lastName": "Page"
3 2016-02-26 11:26:04, Fri	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX		[REDACTED] Lisa.Page	There won't be. Bill has MYE wrap at 230, just like he did for DD's function. And if like the one for DD, for which I was late, I won't have time. \n\nHopefully I can drive you to E at lunch because I think that may be the only time I can see or talk to you today. \U0001f615	sms		"first Name": "Lisa", "lastName": "Page"
4 2016-03-04 02:10:51, Fri	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page		God trump is a loathsome human.	sms		"first Name": "Lisa", "lastName": "Page"
5 2016-03-04 02:12:44, Fri	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX		[REDACTED] Lisa.Page	Would he be a worse president than cruz?	sms		"first Name": "Lisa", "lastName": "Page"
6 2016-03-04 02:13:14, Fri	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page		Trump? Yes, I think so.	sms		"first Name": "Lisa", "lastName": "Page"

7	2016-03-04 02:34:59, Fri		INBOX	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page	Also did you hear him make a comment about the size of his d*ck earlier? This man can not be president.	sms		[REDACTED] Name"; "Lisa" "lastName"; "Page"
8	2016-03-12 21:05:07, Sat		OUTBOX		[REDACTED] Lisa.Page	That Texas article is depressing as hell. But answers how we could end up with President trump	sms		[REDACTED] Name"; "Lisa" "lastName"; "Page"
9	2016-03-12 21:05:12, Sat		OUTBOX		[REDACTED] Lisa.Page	That Texas article is depressing as hell. But answers how we could end up with President trump	sms		[REDACTED] Name"; "Lisa" "lastName"; "Page"
10	2016-03-12 21:07:55, Sat		INBOX	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page		Wasn't it? Seriously, how are people so incredibly ignorant?	sms		[REDACTED] Name"; "Lisa" "lastName"; "Page"
11	2016-03-12 21:21:00, Sat		OUTBOX		[REDACTED] Lisa.Page	U0001f621\nTrump Clarifies, and it\u2019s Worse - NYTimes.com\nhttp://mobile.nytimes.com/2016/03/12/opinion/trump-clarifies-and-its-worse.html?_r=0	sms		[REDACTED] Name"; "Lisa" "lastName"; "Page"
12	2016-03-12 21:21:04, Sat		OUTBOX		[REDACTED] Lisa.Page	U0001f621\nTrump Clarifies, and it\u2019s Worse - NYTimes.com\nhttp://mobile.nytimes.com/2016/03/12/opinion/trump-clarifies-and-its-worse.html?_r=0	sms		[REDACTED] Name"; "Lisa" "lastName"; "Page"

19	2016-04-27 22:55:42, W4						Lisa.Page@			He was. Kortan majorly screwed up.	sms		"first Name":"Lisa","lastName":"Page"
20	2016-04-27 22:56:19, W4			OUTBOX				Lisa.Page@		Nothing related to us (mye or cd)?	sms		"first Name":"Lisa","lastName":"Page"
21	2016-04-29 20:30:36, Fri			OUTBOX				Lisa.Page@		Hey also, mye brief to Bowdich on 5/10. Rainer's on the invite (just the four of us).	sms		"first Name":"Lisa","lastName":"Page"
22	2016-04-29 21:02:15, Fri			OUTBOX				Lisa.Page@		Hey also, mye brief to Bowdich on 5/10. Rainer's on the invite (just the four of us).	sms		"first Name":"Lisa","lastName":"Page"
23	2016-05-04 00:41:56, W4			OUTBOX				Lisa.Page@		Now the pressure really starts to finish MYE...	sms		"first Name":"Lisa","lastName":"Page"
24	2016-05-04 00:42:56, W4			OUTBOX				Moffa nathan.		Cruz dropped out. Now the pressure to finish MYE really starts.	sms		"first Name":"Jon","lastName":"Moffa"

25	2016-05-05 20:27:28, Thu							Lisa Page C	Do you have 5 secs for a call on MYE?	sms	Name="Lisa" "lastName" "page" "first"
26	2016-05-05 20:52:12, Thu							Lisa Page C	Ok. Bill now going to wrap at request of - CNN breaking fbi has interviewed aides quietly at fbi building, specifically Huma	sms	Name="Lisa" "lastName" "page" "first"
27	2016-05-05 20:52:27, Thu							Lisa Page C	Ok. Bill now going to wrap at request of - CNN breaking fbi has interviewed aides quietly at fbi building, specifically Huma	sms	Name="Lisa" "lastName" "page" "first"
28	2016-05-06 00:37:48, Fri							Lisa Page C	Oh. Called Richard, Huma's atty called just afterwards, he's talking to her and calling back.	sms	Name="Lisa" "lastName" "page" "first"
29	2016-05-06 00:37:48, Fri							Lisa Page C	Oh. Called Richard, Huma's atty called just afterwards, he's talking to her and calling back.	sms	Name="Lisa" "lastName" "page" "first"
30	2016-05-06 00:38:09, Fri							Lisa Page C	Oh. Called Richard, Huma's atty called just afterwards, he's talking to her and calling back.	sms	Name="Lisa" "lastName" "page" "first"

37	2016-05-06 00:42:36, Fri	INBOX	isa.Page@ic.fb i.gov	isa.Page	Not gonna be charged isn't anyone at the fbi, that's for sure	sms	Name="Lisa" "lastName" "Page" first
38	2016-05-06 01:15:10, Fri	OUTBOX		isa.Page	Sorry, Richard called right after my last text. Talked to him about our discussion this evening, also threw out the go straight to SW idea. After grumbling, he pulled out the USAM, referenced the non-target atty provisions as essentially a use less intrusive means unless concerned about destruction of evidence language. \\nGoing to go look for your email now	sms	Name="Lisa" "lastName" "Page" first
39	2016-05-06 01:15:10, Fri	OUTBOX		isa.Page	Sorry, Richard called right after my last text. Talked to him about our discussion this evening, also threw out the go straight to SW idea. After grumbling, he pulled out the USAM, referenced the non-target atty provisions as essentially a use less intrusive means unless concerned about destruction of evidence language. \\nGoing to go look for your email now	sms	Name="Lisa" "lastName" "Page" first
40	2016-05-06 01:17:07, Fri	OUTBOX		isa.Page	Sorry, Richard called right after my last text. Talked to him about our discussion this evening, also threw out the go straight to SW idea. After grumbling, he pulled out the USAM, referenced the non-target atty provisions as essentially a use less intrusive means unless concerned about destruction of evidence language. \\nGoing to go look for your email now	sms	Name="Lisa" "lastName" "Page" first
41	2016-05-06 01:17:07, Fri	OUTBOX		isa.Page	Sorry, Richard called right after my last text. Talked to him about our discussion this evening, also threw out the go straight to SW idea. After grumbling, he pulled out the USAM, referenced the non-target atty provisions as essentially a use less intrusive means unless concerned about destruction of evidence language. \\nGoing to go look for your email now	sms	Name="Lisa" "lastName" "Page" first
42	2016-05-06 01:18:29, Fri	OUTBOX		isa.Page	Yep. And Richard was appalled by thought that we would be showing up in the atty's office with warrant to seize the laptop. I told him he could call her to let her know right before we went in the door.	sms	Name="Lisa" "lastName" "Page" first

43	2016-05-06 01:18:29, Fri						OUTBOX		isa.Page C Yep. And Richard was appalled by thought that we would be showing up in the atty's office with warrant to seize the laptop. I told him he could call her to let her know right before we went in the door. sms Name="Lisa" "lastName" "Page" first
44	2016-05-06 01:19:31, Fri						OUTBOX		isa.Page C Yep. And Richard was appalled by thought that we would be showing up in the atty's office with warrant to seize the laptop. I told him he could call her to let her know right before we went in the door. sms Name="Lisa" "lastName" first
45	2016-05-06 01:19:31, Fri						OUTBOX		isa.Page C Yep. And Richard was appalled by thought that we would be showing up in the atty's office with warrant to seize the laptop. I told him he could call her to let her know right before we went in the door. sms Name="Lisa" "lastName" "Page" first
46	2016-05-06 01:19:32, Fri						OUTBOX		isa.Page C Bottom line I told him there was a very specific and definite sense of urgency, from the DD calling agencies about the class review to not wanting to get engaged in some protracted tit for tat incremental negotiation with Beth sms Name="Lisa" "lastName" "Page" first
47	2016-05-06 01:19:32, Fri						OUTBOX		isa.Page C Bottom line I told him there was a very specific and definite sense of urgency, from the DD calling agencies about the class review to not wanting to get engaged in some protracted tit for tat incremental negotiation with Beth sms Name="Lisa" "lastName" "Page" first
48	2016-05-06 01:24:19, Fri						OUTBOX		isa.Page C Bottom line I told him there was a very specific and definite sense of urgency, from the DD calling agencies about the class review to not wanting to get engaged in some protracted tit for tat incremental negotiation with Beth sms Name="Lisa" "lastName" "Page" first

49	2016-05-06 01:24:19, Fri						isa.Page	Bottom line I told him there was a very specific and definite sense of urgency, from the DD calling agencies about the class review to not wanting to get engaged in some protracted tit for tat incremental negotiation with Beth	sms	Name="Lisa" "lastName" "page" first
50	2016-05-09 10:41:42, Mon						isa.Page	Hey, work related, with Bill out, does Andy want bringing MYE daily bullets? Or email from us to you I don't see how we don't include him. And I think I def bootleg you in case he fs it up	sms	Name="Lisa" "lastName" "page" first
51	2016-05-13 10:43:59, Fri						isa.Page	Talked to Richard last night. btw. He spoke to Beth. Shockingly, she did not sound surprised about the subpoenas, said she would accept service. \U0001f612	sms	Name="Lisa" "lastName" "page" first
52	2016-05-13 10:45:43, Fri						isa.Page	Talked to Richard last night. btw. He spoke to Beth. Shockingly, she did not sound surprised about the subpoenas, said she would accept service. \U0001f612	sms	Name="Lisa" "lastName" "page" first
53	2016-05-15 23:00:35, Sun						isa.Page	I need some clarifying data - just retention, right? While it might be helpful to put in Petraeus that's only to show they are different, ie, involve transmittal to another person. He just wants fact patterns where it was only retention, not disclosure, right? And taking graviman literally, list will not include more serious cases/disclosures which are more than retention.	sms	Name="Lisa" "lastName" "page" first
54	2016-05-15 23:40:39, Sun						isa.Page	I need some clarifying data - just retention, right? While it might be helpful to put in Petraeus that's only to show they are different, ie, involve transmittal to another person. He just wants fact patterns where it was only retention, not disclosure, right? And taking graviman literally, list will not include more serious cases/disclosures which are more than retention.	sms	Name="Lisa" "lastName" "page" first

56	2016-05-16 01:06:06, Mc					OUTBOX				isa, Page		Sigh. I know. I wish I could gift you a week somehow. \n\nI talked to Bill for about an hour. He mentioned email, said he would send (though he hasn't yet). He had a slightly different take than I did but we'll get there. His primary concern is asking DoI in such a way that they respond straight to the D (which I can see happening) without us getting a chance to review for accuracy / spin.	sms			Name="Lisa" "lastName" "Page" first
56	2016-05-16 01:07:16, Mc					OUTBOX				isa, Page		Sigh. I know. I wish I could gift you a week somehow. \n\nI talked to Bill for about an hour. He mentioned email, said he would send (though he hasn't yet). He had a slightly different take than I did but we'll get there. His primary concern is asking DoI in such a way that they respond straight to the D (which I can see happening) without us getting a chance to review for accuracy / spin.	sms			Name="Lisa" "lastName" "Page" first
57	2016-05-16 01:11:14, Mc					INBOX				Lisa, Page		If there are so few that someone like would literally be able to recall them then you have much bigger problems.	sms			Name="Lisa" "lastName" "Page" first
58	2016-05-16 01:11:47, Mc					INBOX				isa, Page		We had a list of those cases in which we authorized RICO. I'm not sure why they wouldn't, but if they dont, they're about to.	sms			Name="Lisa" "lastName" "Page" first
59	2016-05-16 01:12:10, Mc					INBOX				Lisa, Page		Description might be hard. But I guess we'll see.	sms			Name="Lisa" "lastName" "Page" first
60	2016-05-16 01:12:48, Mc					OUTBOX				Lisa, Page		The fbi can come up with description	sms			Name="Lisa" "lastName" "Page" first

67	2016-05-17 10:17:30, Tue						isa Page	Sorry. Truly. \n\nI'm trying to figure this out. I know it's not your job - I need DoI and NSLB to get me an answer, because I'm briefing everyone there are different options available. And the 28 CFR guidance seems problematic from a warrant perspective.	sms	first Name="Lisa" LastName="Page"
68	2016-05-17 10:27:20, Tue						isa Page	28 CFR is not just policy. But I think there's a path through it as you noted.\n\nSorry about your alarm. I set mine an hour early to draft the comments to [REDACTED] and punched the damn thing seventeen times.\n\nAnd happy anniversary. \U0001f61d	sms	first Name="Lisa" LastName="Page"
69	2016-05-17 10:36:02, Tue						isa Page	28 CFR is not just policy. But I think there's a path through it as you noted.\n\nSorry about your alarm. I set mine an hour early to draft the comments to [REDACTED] and punched the damn thing seventeen times.\n\nAnd happy anniversary. \U0001f61d	sms	first Name="Lisa" LastName="Page"
70	2016-05-17 10:36:07, Tue					isa Page	isa Page	Yes, this is true. But let's be honest, it's not like they've pointed to the cfr as the reason. So let them come up with something.	sms	first Name="Lisa" LastName="Page"
71	2016-05-17 10:41:21, Tue						isa Page	They haven't pointed to it because they haven't done the research!!! But when they finally do, presumably before a meeting, they will, and we need to have a response lined up.\n\nAnd hi. I hate this case. \U0001f614	sms	first Name="Lisa" LastName="Page"
72	2016-05-17 10:41:29, Tue						isa Page	They haven't pointed to it because they haven't done the research!!! But when they finally do, presumably before a meeting, they will, and we need to have a response lined up.\n\nAnd hi. I hate this case. \U0001f614	sms	first Name="Lisa" LastName="Page"

79	2016-06-10 19:25:21, Fri						Lisa Page	Also, what I discussed with Richard was don't discuss specific dates, but throw the willingness out there, tell Kendall if we find a lot of material on laptops we might need more time	sms		"firs tName="Lisa" lastName="Page"
80	2016-06-10 19:26:47, Fri						Lisa Page	Also, what I discussed with Richard was don't discuss specific dates, but throw the willingness out there, tell Kendall if we find a lot of material on laptops we might need more time	sms		"firs tName="Lisa" lastName="Page"
81	2016-06-10 19:45:32, Fri						Lisa Page	Funny, I'm sure it's perfectly fine but got that funny feeling telling the DD that the D said something different from him. Andy noted he told Kendall that we wouldn't schedule interview until laptops were reviewed and D didn't have that info, then said he'd take care of D.\n\nI just don't want him thinking that I felt he needed to explain himself to me.	sms		"firs tName="Lisa" lastName="Page"
82	2016-06-10 19:46:22, Fri						Lisa Page	Funny, I'm sure it's perfectly fine but got that funny feeling telling the DD that the D said something different from him. Andy noted he told Kendall that we wouldn't schedule interview until laptops were reviewed and D didn't have that info, then said he'd take care of D.\n\nI just don't want him thinking that I felt he needed to explain himself to me.	sms		"firs tName="Lisa" lastName="Page"
83	2016-06-12 01:31:06, Su						Lisa Page	I'm torn between their achievement and the reality of the limitations it places on others. All of that separate and distinct from the bigoted hatred of half (it seems) of our population.	sms		"firs tName="Lisa" lastName="Page"
84	2016-06-13 20:05:29, Mon						Lisa Page	****Also, remind me to tell you to flag for Andy three emails we (actually ICIG) found that have portion marks (C) on a couple of paras. Dol was Very Concerned about this, willing to bet they will tell George	sms		"firs tName="Lisa" lastName="Page"

85	2016-06-13 20:05:33, Mc					Lisa, Page		Found on the laptops?	sms		firs tName="Lisa" lastName="Page"
86	2016-06-13 20:05:48, Mc							No. Found on the 30k provided to State originally.	sms		firs tName="Lisa" lastName="Page"
87	2016-06-13 20:06:28, Mc							No one noticed. And while minor, it cuts against "I never send or received anything marked classified"	sms		firs tName="Lisa" lastName="Page"
88	2016-06-13 20:08:07, Mc							Because they're worried, holy cow, if the fbi missed this, what else was missed?	sms		firs tName="Lisa" lastName="Page"
89	2016-06-13 20:08:39, Mc							Which I get, because I had the same worry. \n\nAnd they like snitching to George	sms		firs tName="Lisa" lastName="Page"
90	2016-06-13 20:59:10, Mc							Get us a clue...	sms		firs tName="Lisa" lastName="Page"

91	2016-06-13 20:59:16, Mon	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	July 2		sms	[REDACTED] tName": "Lisa" "lastName": "Page"
92	2016-06-13 23:35:07, Mon	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page [REDACTED]	Hey do you anticipate my due-outs tonight? I think I'm going to get out of here.			sms	[REDACTED] tName": "Lisa" "lastName": "Page"
93	2016-06-15 13:29:13, Wed	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page@cfbi.gov	Want to ask DOJ to ask h to come to DC. Do interview at HQ. Or nvra.			sms	[REDACTED] tName": "Lisa" "lastName": "Page"
94	2016-06-15 13:30:03, Wed	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page [REDACTED]	Sure why not. Is especially important if the goal is to prevent leaks.			sms	[REDACTED] tName": "Lisa" "lastName": "Page"
95	2016-06-15 17:18:42, Wed	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page [REDACTED]	Trump's talking about the investigation			sms	[REDACTED] tName": "Lisa" "lastName": "Page"
96	2016-06-17 21:56:13, Fri	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Lisa.Page [REDACTED]	Now we're talking about Clinton, and how a lot of people are holding their breath, hoping.			sms	[REDACTED] tName": "Lisa" "lastName": "Page"

97	2017-07-14 11:54:03, Fri	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]		http://www.cnn.com/2017/07/13/politics/peter-strzok-special-counsel-russia-fbi/index.html	sms	[REDACTED]
98	2017-12-05 02:29:49, Tue	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	INBOX			When you talk to [REDACTED] tomorrow, keep in mind he is fiercely loyal to Mueller. One of his concerns was you want to challenge Mueller's decision to remove you from the investigation. I told him that [REDACTED] not the case at all.	sms	[REDACTED]
99	2017-12-05 03:06:52, Tue	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX		[REDACTED]	Got it, thanks. Mueller and I are good - no disagreement or anger about decision by either of us, just sadness (all the press speculation otherwise is complete BS - shocker). Pretty sure he would say good things about me if [REDACTED] asked.	sms	[REDACTED]
100	2017-12-06 00:17:45, Wed	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX		[REDACTED]	You were spot on re Mueller. We're good.	sms	[REDACTED]
101	2017-12-06 01:58:28, Wed	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	INBOX			Are you satisfied with the outcome of the conversation?	sms	[REDACTED]

Page Text Messages

Date UTC	Internal Phone Num	Type of Message	From (Formatted)	To (Formatted)	Body	Type
1 8/16/2015 21:31	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Finally, and related to nothing, but I just saw my first Bernie Sanders bumper sticker. Made me want to key the car.	sms
2 8/16/2015 21:37	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	He's an idiot like Trump. Figure they cancel each other out.	sms
3 8/28/2015 1:16	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	And OMG remind me to tell you the fizza story. ;)	sms
4 8/28/2015 11:26	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Yeah, Look, he hasn't even started the job. And it's tough to slot you again something when you're not back yet. Having you in house is absolutely going to make it easy to say hey plug you into this project.	sms
5 8/28/2015 11:26	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Where is he sitting? I still haven't had a chance to talk to him about the 302s	sms
6 9/3/2015 22:13	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Remind me to tell you the [REDACTED] story about the 302s. Aggravating.	sms
7 9/12/2015 9:19	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	You have any idea this was coming? Authority to Delete Certain Emails http://nyti.ms/1MhDP3A and I'm up [REDACTED]	sms
8 9/26/2015 1:03	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Dol wants to bargain away everything. I get they want to scope as narrowly as possible but they're creating a ton of extra work for everyone. Stockholm syndrome. Anyway, now I have to go in tomorrow so they can look thru emails.	sms
9 9/26/2015 8:31	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	What a joke: Still, there was progress. Never before had China agreed with Mr. Obama 2019s fundamental premise that the theft of intellectual property for commercial gain was off limits. After weeks of behind-the-scenes negotiations with the Chinese leadership, first in Beijing in late August and then with a delegation of nearly 50 senior Chinese officials who came to Washington quietly two weeks ago, Beijing agreed to wording that read: Neither country 2019s government will conduct or knowingly support cyber-enabled theft of intellectual property, including trade secrets or other confidential business information, with the intent of providing competitive advantages to companies or commercial sectors. 201d Limiting Security Breaches May Be Impossible Task for U.S. and China http://nyti.ms/1LchiQD Yeah, but also a little crazy. He already threw out the "if I may use a term of intelligence professionals, these are active measures" (re alleged disinformation about Russia) I think the fundamental Russian character wants an oppressive showy slightly crazy strong man... And I'm sorry, Mitch and I just don't get along? No. He insists on different investigative paths and encourages another field office to reopen a case (of [REDACTED] we closed. Promptly leading to discussion in OI about whether the new office made material misrepresentations in their FISA application, leading to the case to be closed. Or suggesting in front of CD4 and CES that somehow my SAs aren't committed or interested enough in LG and "thinking outside the box."	mms
10 9/27/2015 23:50	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Anyway, I sent one. And I hope Paul Ryan fails and crashes in a blaze of glory. Yes. And, me too. At some point the Rep party needs to pull their head out of their *ss. Shows no sign of occurring any time soon. lost. What a f-ing disappointment.	sms
11 10/16/2015 0:52	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	That was a really close race. What an utter disappointment. Please give [REDACTED] my best.	sms
12 10/16/2015 0:53	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	52/47. I'm really so disappointed. What is wrong with people?	sms
13 11/1/2015 23:21	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]		
14 11/1/2015 23:23	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]		
15 11/4/2015 11:17	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]		
16 11/4/2015 11:26	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]		
17 11/4/2015 11:27	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]		

18	11/4/2015 11:48	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	A) nope. Still fighting. \nB) I saw. Disappointing, but look at the district map. Loudon is being gentrified, but it's still largely ignorant hillbillies. Good for her for running, but curious if she's energized or never again.	sms
19	11/22/2015 23:55	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	Oh god no. A thumb drive or cd or something I could look at here.	sms
20	12/6/2015 18:36	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	And good news for my friend [REDACTED], fully cleared in that bs IG investigation. Although congress tried to force the IG to reopen the investigation. \U0001f621 What an utter idiot. \n\nAn article to share: Donald Trump on Putin: \u2018Nobody has proven that he\u2019s killed anyone\u2019 \u2013 wapo.st/1PffMkv	sms
21	12/21/2015 1:19	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	I'm no prude, but I'm really appalled by this. So you don't have to go looking (in case you hadn't heard), Trump called him the p-word. The man has no dignity or class. He simply can not be president. \n\nWith a Slur for Ted Cruz, Donald Trump Further Splits Voters http://nyti.ms/1xoCkCo	sms
22	2/13/2016 3:27	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	Oh, he's abysmal. I keep hoping the charade will end and people will just dump him. The problem, then, is Rubio will likely lose to Cruz. The Republican party is in utter shambles. When was the last competitive ticket they offered?	sms
23	2/13/2016 3:28	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	Sweet little stinky. \n\nGod I want to trade right now. YOU can explain how Bernie isn't electable in a general election and it's more important to field a competitive candidate....	sms
24	2/15/2016 0:17	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	Hell at least be happy he's arguing for Bernie Sanders and not Ted Cruz.	sms
25	2/15/2016 0:21	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	[REDACTED] true re Cruz. THAT would be enough to put him on the street entirely.	sms
26	2/15/2016 0:24	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	NOW HOW THE F CAN HE BE A REPUBLICAN? !?!?	sms
27	2/19/2016 2:17	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	Sorry still on phone. Issue is if Laufman makes himself one of the two DoI, do I send the two case agents or insert myself. Andy told Bill send the best two. And what "best" means isn't clear to me in this context.	sms
28	2/25/2016 0:15	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	Best means best. It's not a trick.	sms
29	2/25/2016 0:15	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	If Laufman does that, then that's doj's loss. And I think warrants a call to his superiors.	sms
30	2/25/2016 0:15	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz	[REDACTED] eter.Stroz		sms

31	2/25/2016 1:02	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED]	We talked about it, but "best" was not in terms of agents (though that's what I wrote). It's about what the best outcome is. \n\nAnyway. Thanks.	sms
32	2/25/2016 2:03	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX		[REDACTED]	Truly, Pete was overthinking it. (Which I can say as an overthinker myself). It should be 2 and 2. Let me know if you can talk tonight.	sms
33	2/25/2016 2:20	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX		Peter Strzok	Do you or Bill fundamentally believe that 3 and 3 is the RIGHT thing for the case? If the answer is no, then you call JM back and say we're good as is. You have never wavered from saying 2 and 2 is best. I don't get what the hesitation is now.	sms
34	2/25/2016 2:52	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX		Peter Strzok	One more thing: she might be our next president. The last thing you need us going in there loaded for bear. You think she's going to remember or care that it was more doj than fbi?	sms
35	2/25/2016 2:56	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX		[REDACTED]	Hey, if you have one opportunity to discuss further with andy, please convey the following: She might be our next president. The last thing we need is us going in there loaded for bear, when it is not operationally necessary. You think she's going to remember or care that it was more doj than fbi? This is as much about reputational protection as anything.	sms
36	2/25/2016 11:41	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Andrew McCab	[REDACTED]	Hey, you've surely already considered this, but in my view our best reason to hold the line at 2 and 2 is: She might be our next president. The last thing we need is us going in there loaded for bear, when it is not operationally necessary. You think she's going to remember or care that it was more doj than fbi? This is as much about reputational protection as anything.	sms
37	2/26/2016 1:55	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED]	I wrote the following to andy: "Hey I'm sorry, it's just wildly aggravating how much churn has gone on this. Have a good night." \n\nAnd he just wrote back: "Agree. Strongly." \n\nSo I guess it's all good.	sms
38	2/26/2016 11:26	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED]	There won't be. Bill has MYE wrap at 230, just like he did for DD's function. And if like the one for DD, for which I was late, I won't have time. \n\n[REDACTED]	sms
39	3/2/2016 1:20	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED]	He asked me who I'd vote for, guessed Kasich	sms
40	3/2/2016 1:20	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED]	Seriously?! Would you not D?	sms
41	3/2/2016 1:20	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED]	I don't know. I suppose Hillary.	sms
42	3/2/2016 1:20	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED]	I would D	sms

43	3/2/2016 1:21	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] er-Strzok	Pet [REDACTED]	He's doesn't think you're an R, does he?	sms
44	3/2/2016 1:21	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzok	Peter [REDACTED]		VA's going to go to her anyway.	sms
45	3/2/2016 1:21	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzok	Peter [REDACTED]		He thinks I wouldn't vote for her right now	sms
46	3/2/2016 1:21	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzok	Peter [REDACTED]		He's knows I'm a conservative Dem	sms
47	3/4/2016 2:10	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] er-Strzok	Pet [REDACTED]	God trump is a loathsome human.	sms
48	3/4/2016 2:11	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzok	Peter [REDACTED]		Yet he may win	sms
49	3/4/2016 2:11	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzok	Peter [REDACTED]		Good for Hillary	sms
50	3/4/2016 2:12	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzok	Peter [REDACTED]		Would he be a worse president than cruz?	sms
51	3/4/2016 2:13	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] er-Strzok	Pet [REDACTED]	Trump? Yes, I think so.	sms
52	3/4/2016 2:13	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzok	Peter [REDACTED]		I'm not sure	sms
53	3/4/2016 2:20	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] .Strzok	Peter [REDACTED]		Omg he's an idiot	sms
54	3/4/2016 2:20	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] er-Strzok	Pet [REDACTED]	He's awful.	sms

55	3/4/2016 2:21	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	America will get what the voting public deserves	sms
56	3/4/2016 2:21	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	That's what I'm afraid of.	sms
57	3/4/2016 2:22	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	Department of Environmental Protection? !?!	sms
58	3/4/2016 2:24	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	God Hillary should win 100,000,000 - 0.	sms
59	3/4/2016 2:26	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	What was that weird shiny thing on his lip?	sms
60	3/4/2016 2:27	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	I know. It was like a piece of food! Joe says this keeps getting better every minute.	sms
61	3/4/2016 2:34	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	Also did you hear him make a comment about the size of his d*ck earlier? This man can not be president.	sms
62	3/4/2016 2:37	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	Yes I did. In relation to the size of his hands.\n\nAnd all the "Little Marco" blah blah	sms
63	3/4/2016 2:56	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	Ok I may vote for Trump \n\n;	sms
64	3/4/2016 2:58	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	What?!\n\nPoor Kasich. He's the only sensible man up there.	sms
65	3/4/2016 2:59	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	He was pretty much calling for death for Snowden. I'm a single issue voter. ;)\n\nEspionage Machine Party	sms
66	3/4/2016 3:00	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	Exactly re Kasich. And he has ZERO appeal	sms

67	3/16/2016 4:11	OUTBOX			er.Stroz	Pet I can not believe Donald Trump is likely to be an actual, serious candidate for president.	sms
68	3/18/2016 12:46	OUTBOX			er.Stroz	Did he go so far as to talk about why we started talking special prosecutor?!	sms
69	3/24/2016 1:47	OUTBOX			Andrew.McCab	Have been thinking we probably need a pre-meet before mye meeting in Monday. Just want to make sure we all know what we are asking for and why, where we might be willing to give, etc. Thoughts?	sms
70	3/29/2016 2:43	INBOX		Peter .Stroz		Ok. I'll tell you the worst news. Per atty proffer, Combetta says he used BleachBit (a wiping program) when he deleted the pst's from the laptops.	sms
71	3/29/2016 2:43	INBOX		Peter .Stroz		\U0001f621\n\nNo one on the team knows that yet so you have to wait to tell Andy.	sms
72	3/29/2016 2:43	OUTBOX			er.Stroz	The ones m and s used?	sms
73	3/29/2016 2:44	OUTBOX			er.Stroz	Still have to get them to confirm that. Doesn't change anything. You would never just take some attys word for it.	sms
74	3/29/2016 2:44	INBOX		Peter .Stroz		Doesn't mean they're not somewhere else, but if true, and done properly, makes this much harder.	sms
75	4/1/2016 23:58	INBOX		Peter .Stroz		Yay. I told you Rich Quinn called, they're getting a ton of questions about State saying they stopped investigation at FBI request...	sms
76	4/1/2016 23:59	OUTBOX			er.Stroz	Really. No, you didn't mention.	sms
77	4/2/2016 1:19	OUTBOX			er.Stroz	So look, you say we text on that phone when we talk about hillary because it can't be traced, you were just venting bc you feel bad that you're gone so much but it can't be helped right now.	sms

78	4/22/2016 0:20	INBOX	[REDACTED] Andrew.McCabe	[REDACTED]	Ok. How am I looking for a special counsel tomorrow?	sms
79	4/21/2016 22:56	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED]	Nothing related to us (mye or cd)?	sms
80	5/1/2016 15:36	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	Hasn't come up again, can't decide whether to bring it up on my own (sorry I lied, just didn't want to have to explain hillary case, why you'd call, etc.	sms
81	5/4/2016 0:40	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	And holy shit Cruz just dropped out of the race. It's going to be a Clinton Trump race. Unbelievable.	sms
82	5/4/2016 0:41	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED]	What?!?!??	sms
83	5/4/2016 0:41	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	You heard that right my friend.	sms
84	5/4/2016 0:41	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED]	I saw trump won, figured it would be a bit	sms
85	5/4/2016 0:41	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED]	Now the pressure really starts to finish MYE...	sms
86	5/4/2016 0:42	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	It sure does. We need to talk about follow up call tomorrow. We still never have.	sms
87	5/4/2016 22:36	INBOX	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED]	Bill is super stressed about the new Fox report	sms
88	5/4/2016 22:36	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	Why? What's he going to do about it?	sms
89	5/4/2016 22:37	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	Why not just have him send an email to Mike and Andy explaining what he think actually happened? Is it classified?	sms

90	5/6/2016 0:41	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter		Yeah. Wonder if they're raising hell about the media stuff. All seemed very pro-Clinton camp	sms
91	5/6/2016 0:42	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Strzok	[REDACTED] Pet	Agreed.	sms
92	5/6/2016 0:42	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Strzok	[REDACTED] Pet	Not gonna be charged isn't anyone at the fbi, that's for sure	sms
93	5/6/2016 0:42	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter		I might disagree slightly	sms
94	5/6/2016 0:44	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Strzok	[REDACTED] Pet		Okay. Not anyone informed at the fbi.	sms
95	5/8/2016 23:27	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter		Guccifer. Sleazy Romanian...	sms
96	5/11/2016 18:10	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter		Have to go write MVE bullets for Jones \U0001f612\n\nYour end of day ones will be better...	sms
97	5/12/2016 18:21	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter	[REDACTED] Andrew.McCab	Do you have any free time today? Want to talk briefly about MYE. Still saying no about the laptops, but focused on Mills'. He said they were moving forward on that front as well. Helpfully, obliquely told/reminded her pet her prior comments that if she was going to call anyone, to do that soon. \U0001f612 Because we're just THAT bad.	sms
98	5/13/2016 10:46	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter		Here's what we're going to do. What I'm going to do for my sweet E.\n\nYou have lunch plans, right? I'll get lunch somewhere near a grocery store. I'll grab cupcakes. You need to tell me how many. Thus afternoon - at a minimum the time you previously were going to meet on MYE - I'm going to drive you up. Party with your little girl and squish thru cupcakes. Come back down (wash your hands, please) and I'll drive you back.	sms
99	5/13/2016 11:43	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED] Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter			sms
100	5/17/2016 21:02	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED] Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter	[REDACTED] Andrew.McCab	Ha - check out that timing\n\nJustice Department Opens Investigation Into Russian Doping Scandal http://nyti.ms/1r5gE6W	sms

101	5/24/2016 0:25		OUTBOX			Andrew.McCab	14 packages tomorrow, but I think D is here so you should be fine. \n\nAlso, MYE team may inquire as to how to respond, if at all, to a new Grassley letter. You should have it on the red side from exec sec. If not, I can get it to you in the morning. Have a good night.	sms
102	6/8/2016 12:16		INBOX	Peter	Peter		I'm REALLY angry about the consent letter right now. Much worse than last night. \n\nIt's the little things, like her writing no agents will participate in the old review. That sense of entitlement about how we staff is the result of Andy not simply saying "no" to DOJ about the obnoxious filter staffing demand	sms
103	6/10/2016 19:43		INBOX	Peter	Peter		Np. I think we're good, but I just ran thru what D said. Agreed with Andy that smarter thing is to wait and see what's on there but likely no way we hit summer solstice if we do that.	sms
104	6/10/2016 19:45		INBOX	Peter	Peter		Funny, I'm sure it's perfectly fine but got that funny feeling telling the DD that the D said something different from him. Andy noted he told Kendall that we wouldn't schedule interview until laptops were reviewed and D didn't have that info, then said he'd take care of D. \n\nI just don't want him thinking that I felt he needed to explain himself to me.	sms
105	6/12/2016 1:29		INBOX	Peter	Peter		I started. And while I hate Trump, part of me thought [REDACTED] would not/may not get into Yale because they're white and not from butt*ck Texas...	sms
106	6/12/2016 1:49		INBOX	Peter	Peter		They fully deserve to go, and demonstrate the absolute bigoted nonsense of Trump	sms
107	6/13/2016 20:04		INBOX	Peter	Peter		****Also, remind me to tell you to flag for Andy three emails we (actually ICIG) found that have portion marks (C) on a couple of paras. DoJ was Very Concerned about this, willing to bet they will tell George	sms
108	6/13/2016 20:05		OUTBOX	Peter	Peter	er.Strzol	Found on the laptops?	sms
109	6/13/2016 20:05		INBOX	Peter	Peter		No. Found on the 30k provided to State originally.	sms
110	6/13/2016 20:06		INBOX	Peter	Peter		No one noticed. And while minor, it cuts against "I never send or received anything marked classified"	sms
111	6/13/2016 20:07		OUTBOX	Peter	Peter	er.Strzol	So why would they tell George?	sms

123	7/4/2016 16:03				(202 [REDACTED] Peter Strzok)	INBOX		And i talked to [REDACTED] 3 chains of 8-9 total emails with (C) portion markings. All in 30k. Only one chain with B1 redaction (currently classified). She remembers seeing at least two B1 redactions in that chain, so she believes at least 2 emails within that chain are classified now. No determination from State yet about whether they were classified at the time. Based on above, I think it reasonable to say we believe at least two emails with portions marked (C) in the bodies are classified (C) now and by extension (current classification and contemporaneous marking) were classified at the time.	sms
124	7/11/2016 10:10				(202 [REDACTED] Peter Strzok)	INBOX		Worried about work, I think. How much we decide to release, the prospect of second guessing. The IG doing that bugs me; Congress doing so infuriates me. Last text was a response to your msg to keep the advice you had given me re. M. I was saying I re-read it, then sighed at the prospect of more discussion about it.	sms
125	7/13/2016 1:37				(202 [REDACTED] Peter Strzok)	INBOX		Gtwn, tomorrow, hopefully. Though Andy moved MYE so there's a conflict with your eyebrow business....	sms
126	7/14/2016 1:33				(202 [REDACTED] Peter Strzok)	OUTBOX		Have you read this? It's really frightening. For Whites Sensing Decline, Donald Trump Unleashes Words of Resistance http://nyti.ms/29WCu5l	sms
127	7/14/2016 11:04				(202 [REDACTED] Peter Strzok)	INBOX		Poll Finds Emails Weighing on Hillary Clinton, Now Tied With Donald Trump http://nyti.ms/29RV5gf	sms
128	7/18/2016 19:15				(202 [REDACTED] Peter Strzok)	INBOX		Btw, pulled 302s for [REDACTED] for you, you should read and see if you want to highlight for Andy	sms
129	7/19/2016 2:23				(202 [REDACTED] Peter Strzok)	OUTBOX		And wow, Donald Trump is an enormous douche.	sms
130	7/19/2016 10:16				(202 [REDACTED] Peter Strzok)	INBOX		Hi. How was Trump, other than a douche? Melania? And any luck with home purchases? I have this morning. U0001f61d	sms
131	7/19/2016 10:18				(202 [REDACTED] Peter Strzok)	OUTBOX		Trump barely spoke, but the first thing out of his mouth was "we're going to win soooo big." The whole thing is like living in a bad dream.	sms
132	7/21/2016 8:52				(202 [REDACTED] Peter Strzok)	OUTBOX		This is really shocking. Donald Trump Sets Conditions for Defending NATO Allies Against Attack http://nyti.ms/2a4u3g	sms
133	7/21/2016 9:09				(202 [REDACTED] Peter Strzok)	OUTBOX		This campaign is like watching a train wreck happen over and over and over again. How Donald Trump Picked His Running Mate http://nyti.ms/2a8aCj9	sms

134	7/21/2016 9:40	[REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok	(202) [REDACTED] Andrew McCab	Trump is a disaster. I have no idea how destabilizing his Presidency would be	sms
135	7/22/2016 0:40	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX		(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok	The article I mentioned today, \n\nDonald Trump Sets Conditions for Defending NATO Allies Against Attack http://nyti.ms/2ai4u3g	sms
136	7/22/2016 17:49	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX		(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok	On my way. Have mye Qs.	sms
137	7/25/2016 0:09	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX		(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok	Jesus, did you read this? \n\nIs Donald Trump a Racist? http://nyti.ms/2aiqD08	sms
138	7/25/2016 0:34	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX		(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok	Rudy is on the FISC! Did you know that?	sms
139	7/26/2016 1:50	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok	They're fine. Raced to bathe and bed, now about to read mye letter while I listen to Corey booker. He's doing very well.	sms
140	7/26/2016 1:52	[REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		Sallys letter? I thought Bakers comments were ok. \n\nIt's amazing to me how much better this convention is. N is FURIOUS at DNC for cooking the books against Bernie. \n\nHere's what I'm about to send everyone in answer to JB's question about Bentel: \n\nWe didn't take his statement at face value. There was some concern by the interviewing agents and DOJ attorneys that he is getting older has diminished mental acuity. The primary reason, though, is that I don't believe it was critical to our investigation. Clinton, Mills and Abedin all said they felt the server was permitted and did not receive information that it was not. To the extent there was objection down the line in IRM, we did not pursue that as State IG did, because it was not a key question behind our investigation. There are going to be many avenues we might have pursued if we had unlimited time and resources, but this is one of those categories of wouldn't have changed our fundamental understanding of the gravamen of the case.	sms
141	7/26/2016 2:30	[REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		Bottom line: it wasn't key to what we were looking at and it certainly was waaaaay below the cut line of getting this done with the timeliness the D wanted.	sms
142	7/26/2016 2:36	[REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok			sms
143	7/26/2016 3:31	[REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		They are not, so far, part of the media conspiracy for Clinton.	sms

144	7/27/2016 0:21		OUTBOX		er.Stroz	Pet	Yeah, it is pretty cool. She just has to win now. I'm not going to lie, I got a flash of nervousness yesterday about trump. The sandernistas have the potential to make a very big mistake here...	sms
145	7/28/2016 0:27		OUTBOX		Andrew.McCab		You should read this - the D surely has by now. Some of the internal links are well worth your time as well.\n\nIs Trump a Russian Agent? A Legal Analysis - Lawfare\nhttps://www.lawfareblog.com/trump-russian-agent-legal-analysis	sms
146	7/28/2016 0:42		INBOX	Peter			Interesting. Good comments about Comey, too!\n\nTrump and the Powers of the American Presidency, Part III - Lawfare\nhttps://www.lawfareblog.com/trump-and-powers-american-presidency-part-iii	sms
147	7/28/2016 1:38		OUTBOX		er.Stroz	Pet	Have we opened on him yet? \\\n0001f621\n\nTrump & Putin. Yes, it's Really a Thing\nhttp://talkingpointsmemo.com/edblog/trump-putin-yes-it-s-really-a-thing	sms
148	7/28/2016 1:51		INBOX	Peter			Opened on Trump? If Hillary did, you know 5 field offices would...	sms
149	7/29/2016 22:49		OUTBOX		er.Stroz	Pet	Yeah Kortan told us DCCC and Hillary Campaign hacked.	sms
150	7/30/2016 12:45		OUTBOX		er.Stroz	Pet	Totally right there with you. Was thinking before bed last night that it is going to be hard to ramp up for testimony on MYE in the fall bc none of us are going to care at all anymore.	sms
151	7/31/2016 16:48		OUTBOX		er.Stroz	Pet	You already buy your ticket to London?	sms
152	7/31/2016 23:39		OUTBOX		er.Stroz	Pet	Our Uber driver was a Muslim physicist from Camaroon. Went above and beyond to help us out, drove J back and forth to our house and wouldn't accept payment. This makes me very angry.\n\nDonald Trump\n2019s Confrontation With Muslim Soldier\n2019s Parents Emerges as Unexpected Flash Point http://nyti.ms/2aEwygz	sms
153	8/1/2016 1:38		OUTBOX		er.Stroz	Pet	I mean seriously. What in the hell is this guy talking about? \n\nDonald Trump Gives Questionable Explanation of Events in Ukraine http://nyti.ms/2arMCyV	sms
154	8/1/2016 1:58		OUTBOX		er.Stroz	Pet	How Paul Manafort Wielded Power in Ukraine Before Advising Donald Trump http://nyti.ms/2aFy026	mmms

155	8/2/2016 0:50		OUTBOX		Andrew.McCab	Since your counsel failed to send this to you last night. \U0001f60a\n\nHow Paul Manafort Wielded Power in Ukraine Before Advising Donald Trump http://nyti.ms/2aFy026	sms
156	8/3/2016 2:22		OUTBOX			Favor to ask you: I forwarded you an email on scion asking you to show something to andy in the am to see if he needs to reach out to cyber to possibly correct a statement before tomorrow's DNC brief on the hill. Can you show it to him in the morning? Call with questions, of course. Thanks.	sms
157	8/3/2016 17:51		OUTBOX		er.Strzol	Sheesh, I'm glad you're back. I'm really busy. I'm about to send an email. We NEED to focus on getting mye out the door, and quickly. We're not going to be able to withstand the pressure soon.	sms
158	8/5/2016 11:28		OUTBOX		er.Strzol	Pet Babe. You can. If you need a day, take a day. I can pickup the mye stuff, nothing else is that pressing.	sms
159	8/5/2016 16:37		INBOX	Peter		And hi. Went well, best we could have expected. Other than Liz's quote, "the White House is running this."	sms
160	8/6/2016 14:38		OUTBOX		er.Strzol	Jesus. You should read this. And Trump should go f himself. \n\nMoment in Convention Glare Shakes Up Khans\U2019 American Life http://nyti.ms/2aHule0	sms
161	8/6/2016 14:53		INBOX	Peter		God that's a great article. \U0001f621\U0001f61e\U0001f61e\U2764\U0001f61e\n\nThanks for sharing. \n\nAnd F Trump.	sms
162	8/6/2016 14:55		OUTBOX		er.Strzol	Trump\U2019s Enablers Will Finally Have to Take a Stand http://nyti.ms/2aFakry	sms
163	8/8/2016 0:29		INBOX			That move on the 6E redactions by them was total garbage. They knew full well they should have been doing that weeks ago...	sms
164	8/8/2016 0:29		OUTBOX		er.Strzol	I know baby.	sms
165	8/8/2016 0:30		INBOX			Luckily we have that email as proof...not that it matters.	sms
166	8/9/2016 3:26		OUTBOX		er.Strzol	He's not ever going to become president, right? Right?!	sms
167	8/9/2016 10:08		INBOX	Peter		What prompted the Trump comment last night?	sms

168	8/9/2016 13:56		INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		God getting them to unlock razor cabinet takes an act of Congress. \U0001f612	sms
169	8/9/2016 21:56		INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		OMG did you hear what Trump just said?	sms
170	8/10/2016 0:42		OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED]		Remind me tomorrow, I have a DNC fact/issue to share with you.	sms
171	8/11/2016 0:48		INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		So. You come up with a codename? Crossfire Eliza would be cute....that's her fearless action name.	sms
172	8/11/2016 0:51		OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		Crossfire Latitude?	sms
173	8/11/2016 0:56		INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		Crossfire YUUUUGE. \n\nThough we may save that for the man, if we ever open on him ;)	sms
174	8/11/2016 0:57		INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		OMG I CANNOT BELIEVE WE ARE SERIOUSLY LOOKING AT THESE ALLEGATIONS AND THE PERVASIVE CONNECTIONS	sms
175	8/11/2016 0:57		INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		What the hell has happened to our country?!?!??	sms
176	8/12/2016 14:40		OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Andrew McCab		Hey. What time does your flight leave? Baker pulling his usual "oh, I didn't realize we were talking producing a carbon copy of the redacted 302s to state, which he is now fine with." Don't need to talk, just want to know when you are wheels up.	sms
177	8/12/2016 17:18		OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Andrew McCab		Jim is inclined to give carbon copies of 302s to both OGA at time of production. That should alleviate their concerns. Just need to check it with the boss. Am drafting that email now. You will be on it.	sms
178	8/14/2016 1:13		OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		But see, this article so rings true that then I think the chs is wrong... \n\ninside the Failing Mission to Save Donald Trump From Himself http://nyti.ms/2b5WSNA	sms
179	8/14/2016 10:35		OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		This was very interesting. \n\nThe Decline of Unions and the Rise of Trump http://nyti.ms/2bc7a1U	sms

180	8/14/2016 10:41	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Peter Strzok	God this makes me so angry. \n\nDonald Trump Is Making America Meaner http://nyti.ms/2b6gG38	sms
181	8/14/2016 11:00	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	Peter Strzok	Peter Strzok	And I am worried about what Trump is encouraging in our behavior. The things that made me proud about our tolerance for dissent - what makes us different from Sunnis and Shias losing each other up - is disappearing.	sms
182	8/14/2016 11:01	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	Peter Strzok	Peter Strzok	I'm worried about what happens if HRC is elected. \n\nAnd perfect, another excessive heat warning day.	sms
183	8/17/2016 10:28	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	Peter Strzok	Peter Strzok	An article to share: Trump shakes up campaign, demotes top adviser\nTrump shakes up campaign, demotes top adviser\n http://wapo.st/2bzAUGD	mms
184	8/19/2016 0:53	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	Peter Strzok	Peter Strzok	Ukraine Releases More Details on Payments for Trump Aide http://nyti.ms/2breAOV Where's this coming from?\n\nFirst on CNN: Feds investigate Manafort firm as part of Ukraine probe - http://www.cnn.com/2016/08/19/politics/paul-manafort-donald-trump-ukraine/index.html	sms
185	8/19/2016 22:54	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	Peter Strzok	Peter Strzok	Yeah, don't know. Heard or saw something about it yesterday too. At wrap Tues or Wed I think.	sms
186	8/19/2016 23:12	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	Peter Strzok	Peter Strzok	And a favor, please - to the extent there are follow on relevant Kendall/MYE emails (and there may not be), please forward if appropriate. Bill has told Jon and me that he isn't typically reading them, and is relying on us to flag any issue he needs to weigh in on. Given the large distro of Jim's emails, I'm willing to bet he doesn't notice we're not on there. I will discuss with him next week as well. Thanks	sms
187	8/20/2016 11:20	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	Peter Strzok	Peter Strzok	Just went to a southern Virginia Walmart. I could SMELL the Trump support....	sms
188	8/26/2016 16:42	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	Peter Strzok	Peter Strzok	I want to be there and hate with you, or charm you back to happy \n\nLooked for the two trump yard signs I saw on the way out to take a picture, but couldn't see them	sms
189	8/26/2016 17:02	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]	Peter Strzok	Peter Strzok	Well this is not good.\n\nAn article to share: FBI probes foreign hacks of state election systems\nFBI probes foreign hacks of state election systems\n http://wapo.st/2bMTYH	sms
190	8/29/2016 23:37	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	Peter Strzok	Andrew McCab		sms

191	8/30/2016 9:10	[REDACTED]	INBOX	(/202 [REDACTED] Peter .Strzok)	Yeah but that's generally not Ok (re Mike). He came in late to MYE and has been in and out of CH. And he HAD the TPs for this, he just chose not to read them. Because Jon made sure to send them to Dina and Bill and Mike, but still hasn't sent them to me. \n\nThats what I'm saying - there's some stuff that's reasonable for me to ask and not reasonable for Jon not to do - hey dude, email me, just cc; the TPs please - and stuff that isn't. \n\nAnd this is only a tiny bit of ALL the stuff going on. Had a truly horrible proposal for an op come out of WFO in CD2. But Mr. Reid argued that the connections between some of Donald J. Trump\2019s former and current advisers and the Russian leadership should, by itself, prompt an investigation. He referred indirectly in his letter to a speech given in Russia by one Trump adviser, Carter Page, a consultant and investor in the energy giant Gazprom, who criticized American sanctions policy toward Russia.\n\n\201cTrump and his people keep saying the election is rigged,\201d Mr. Reid said. \201cWhy is he saying that? Because people are telling him the election can be messed with.\201d Mr. Trump\2019s advisers say they are concerned that unnamed elites could rig the election for his opponent, Hillary Clinton.	sms
192	8/30/2016 9:45	[REDACTED]	INBOX	(/202 [REDACTED] Peter .Strzok)	Did you ever look at this? It's incredibly powerful. And really, really depressing. \n\nAt Least 110 Republican Leaders Won\2019t Vote for Donald Trump. Here \2019s When They Reached Their Breaking Point. http://nyti.ms/2bTNabb	rms
193	8/31/2016 2:37	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(/202 [REDACTED] Peter .Strzok)	Just got another call from quinn re MYE. Should I direct his call to Steinbach?	sms
194	9/1/2016 18:07	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(/202 [REDACTED] Peter .Strzok)	I have mye questions. No one is around.	sms
195	9/1/2016 18:19	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(/202 [REDACTED] Peter .Strzok)	I can't. Now the Midyear production has to happen tomorrow. And you and Sally and Kevin are all out.	sms
196	9/1/2016 23:16	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(/202 [REDACTED] Peter .Strzok)	And I'm not going to stop telling you that's a bad decision, that the bureau - and MYE - will be just fine	sms
197	9/2/2016 1:38	[REDACTED]	INBOX	(/202 [REDACTED] Peter .Strzok)	It was. I'm glad mye didn't start up until the end of summer.	sms
198	9/9/2016 11:52	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(/202 [REDACTED] Peter .Strzok)	I'd appreciate it, obviously. Going to send an email to mye core team to tell them I'll draft TPs this weekend, but will likely need a look Sunday night.	sms
199	9/10/2016 0:28	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(/202 [REDACTED] Peter .Strzok)	Npr says Trump hotel opens today. It doesn't look ready...	sms
200	9/12/2016 11:25	[REDACTED]	INBOX	(/202 [REDACTED] Peter .Strzok)		sms

201	9/20/2016 11:42		OUTBOX			er.Strzok	Pet	The new Trump hotel? No.	sms
202	9/22/2016 0:19		OUTBOX			er.Strzok	Pet	Two Ex-Spies and Donald Trump http://nyti.ms/2cYnG6	sms
203	9/23/2016 11:32		OUTBOX			er.Strzok	Pet	She fix the mye one?	sms
204	9/24/2016 20:50		INBOX		Peter			And \U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621\U0001f621 eras just disconnected and I lost the mye prep email I was writing.	sms
205	9/24/2016 22:21		INBOX		Peter			The Mystery of Trump\U2019s Man in Moscow - POLITICO Magazine\http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2016/09/the-mystery-of-trumps-man-in-moscow-214283	sms
206	9/26/2016 0:36		INBOX		Peter			And def i would not send our (CD) stuff. I got the impression from Andy there was some question if the D would want to use the Crossfire info in the PC. \n\nI need to make sure CYD knows not to send it far and wide. I can see them messing that up.\n\nAnd if the background - that the community is saying it's all our fault and waiting on us, I wouldn't want to play into that in unexpected ways.	sms
207	9/27/2016 0:33		OUTBOX			er.Strzok	Pet	Did you read this? It's scathing. And I'm scared.\n\nWhy Donald Trump Should Not Be President http://nyti.ms/2dbQPuR	sms
208	9/29/2016 1:08		OUTBOX			er.Strzok	Pet	And suddenly I'm realizing, they're like Trump demographic people, just democrats.\n\n\U0001f612	sms
209	9/29/2016 1:10		INBOX		Peter			Like my parents!!!!!!\n\nOh sweet jes*s I need to send you what my father has been sending. The liberal media is all in the tank for Hillary. Because, you know, Trump isn't batsh*t crazy for our country... "Found it hard to focus"? \n\n"Found it hard to focus"?!?!?!\n\nAre you f*cking kidding me?!?! \n\nDonald Trump got too much debate advice, so he took none of it.\n\n http://www.slate.com/blogs/the_slatest/2016/09/28/donald_trump_got_too_much_debate_advice_so_he_took_none_of_it.html	sms
210	9/29/2016 1:53		INBOX		Peter				sms
211	9/30/2016 1:42		INBOX		Peter			Hey I'm almost home, sorry. Remind me tomorrow what Victoria Nuland said.	sms
212	10/2/2016 13:37		INBOX		Peter			Oh. And watch snl campaign family feud. Putin is on Trumps team. Hilarious.	sms

213	10/8/2016 12:35	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	Currently reading about Trump. Wondering if he stepped down if Pence could actually get elected.	sms
214	10/8/2016 12:36	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	That's probably more likely than Trump getting elected. And I'm HUGE pissed because we found info [via searching Sentinel on an account that came out] that is OGA Nobody caring, not interested. [U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f620\U0001f620] They're BROKEN, Lisa! Is it going to take some f*cking 9/11 - type event for everybody to stop saying, just coordinate better, have lots of meetings, figure it out? \n\nThe Bu will still recruit cyber people if we have a directorate. We will still recruit people if we can offer them work against cyber criminals, or state actors, or terrorists.	sms
215	10/9/2016 13:24	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	Not sure if you have your eras but OGA [REDACTED] so they wanted to make sure you had it asap. I haven't had a chance to check it out yet. Let me know if you want them to get it to you some other way.	mms
216	10/9/2016 18:14	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Andrew McCab	[REDACTED] Andrew McCab	And funny quote from my cousin-in-law: "No way Trump will drop out. Hey Republicans: how does it feel to carry something to term?"	sms
217	10/9/2016 21:07	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	Trump saying agents at FBI are furious at the MYE outcome and he's getting a special prosecutor.	sms
218	10/10/2016 1:23	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	Ho-ly sh*t. Did you read this? \n\nFor Many Women, Trump\U2019s \U2018Locker Room Talk\U2019 Brings Memories of Abuse http://nyti.ms/2dSWWF6	sms
219	10/12/2016 2:54	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	Hey, one clarification: OGA [REDACTED]	sms
220	10/12/2016 19:56	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Andrew McCab	[REDACTED] Andrew McCab	Ol now has a robust explanation re any possible bias of the chs in the package. Don't know what the holdup is now, other than [REDACTED] continued concerns. Strong operational need to have in place before Monday if at all possible, which means to ct tomorrow. I communicated you and boss's green light to Stu earlier, and just sent an email to [REDACTED] asking where things stood. This might take a high-level push. Will keep you posted.	sms
221	10/12/2016 23:08	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Andrew McCab	[REDACTED] Andrew McCab		sms

222	10/13/2016 2:49	[REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		To check email. We got the reporting on Sept 19. Looks like Gaeta got it early August. \n\nLooking at Clinesmith's lmg replies to me it's not clear if he knows if/ when he told them. By [REDACTED] talked with [REDACTED] they're both good and will remember. \n\nIt's not about rubbing their nose in it. I don't care if they don't know. I just want to know who's playing games/scared covering. \n\nI totally get it will never be provable.	sms
223	10/20/2016 1:28	[REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		Donald just said "bad hombres"\n\nU0001f612	sms
224	10/20/2016 1:30	[REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		Hillary: Russia and WikiLeaks and highest levels of Russian Government and Putin!!!\n\nDrink!!!!	sms
225	10/20/2016 1:32	[REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		Oh hot damn. HRC is throwing down saying Trump in bed with russia	sms
226	10/20/2016 2:12	[REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		Trump just said what the fbi did is disgraceful	sms
227	10/20/2016 22:34	[REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		Oh babe, I'm sorry. I'm worried about you. Glad J's getting home on Sat....\n\nReading the 302 attachment I mentioned earlier. Yeah its a bit inflammatory, but nothing more than what's already apparent. And drags in Rybicki, Giuliano and Giacalone (none in a bad way)	sms
228	10/28/2016 1:57	[REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Andrew McCabe		I spoke to both. Both understand that no decision will be made on recusal until I return and weigh in. Jim says his meetings were mostly about the notification and statement which the boss wants to send tomorrow. I do not agree with the timing but he is insistent.	sms
229	10/30/2016 13:56	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		Yeah, I saw it. Makes me feel WAY less bad about throwing him under the bus in the forthcoming CF article.	sms
230	10/30/2016 21:13	[REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		So, NICE!!! \n\nYou know how my Crossfire ECPA NSLs showed the Bu's FISAMS pony was bad EVERYWHERE?\n\nGuess what?!\n\nMy recent Crossfire RFPA NSL showed the Bu's pony was ALSO bad EVERYWHERE!\n\nCause God knows the first fix wouldn't cause you to look at the other ponys....\n\nU0001f612	sms
231	11/1/2016 23:05	[REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		Figured out why they legally can't do what you just said. We are comparing against material (to determine what is new) that we obtained during the investigation that we cannot share with them.	sms
232	11/4/2016 2:11	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		All the "custom software" and "building it for two weeks" is all wrong again. Just fyi. \n\nSorting Through the Clinton Email Case and What the F.B.I. \n\n2019s Options Are http://nyti.ms/2ejwgcm	sms
233	11/4/2016 2:35	[REDACTED]	INBOX	(202) [REDACTED] Peter Strzok		I truly have no one else I would feel comfortable sharing this with. \n\nAnd I realize that's a burden on you. Thank you, more than I can probably ever repay. \n\n2764	sms

234	11/5/2016 22:40	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED]	Jon and I forming the new facilities maintenance branch of the mye team. Cause we're doing it ALL...	sms
235	11/8/2016 1:56	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok		OMG THIS IS F*CKING TERRIFYING:\nA victory by Mr. Trump remains possible: Mrs. Clinton\ju2019s chance of losing is about the same as the probability that an N.F.L. kicker misses a 38-yard field goal.	sms
236	11/8/2016 2:47	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok		Leaking information about ongoing investigations. Which is incorrect information. By agents who don't know about things talking to him. \n\nSee? That's the thing. Her initial point, that we should have gone after the agents talking harder and sooner, is not unreasonable. But the subsequent discussion falls into uninformed assertions.	sms
237	11/8/2016 2:49	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED] Pet	If it's not classified, what's the crime though?	sms
238	11/15/2016 1:43	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok		"CNN: Source says naming a Trump national security team a 'knife fight' "	sms
239	11/17/2016 9:27	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED] Pet	God, this is scary. He apparently has not reached out to state at all for briefing materials. \u0001f612\n\nNYTimes: How Shinzo Abe Will Try to Size Up Donald Trump\nHow Shinzo Abe Will Try to Size Up Donald Trump http://nyti.ms/2elHojp	sms
240	11/17/2016 9:33	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok		@ H/ @Yep. And the reason he wanted clearances for the kids is so Jared Kushner could receive the PDB with him. Legally, I think he can pretty much designate w	sms
241	11/17/2016 9:33	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok		@ H/ @hoever he wants to receive it without a clearance..	sms
242	11/17/2016 9:35	INBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok		@ P/ @I know he backed off of clearances, but there was another legal piece looking at his authority to just grant access, and it's pretty broad.\n\nAnd, I don't	sms
243	11/17/2016 9:35	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Peter Strzok	[REDACTED] Pet	It's is broad. He doesn't. And yes. Thought you'd appreciate this. \n\nNYTimes: What a Trump America Can Learn from a Berlusconi Italy\nWhat a Trump America Can Learn from a Berlusconi Italy http://nyti.ms/2e8t40B	sms
244	11/17/2016 19:49	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	An article to share: Trump offers retired Lt. Gen. Michael Flynn the job of national security adviser, a person close to the transition says\nTrump offers retired Lt. Gen. Michael Flynn the job of national security adviser, a person close to the transition says\n http://wapo.st/2g186WC	sms
245	11/18/2016 1:28	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED] Andrew McCab	[REDACTED]		sms

257	11/26/2016 13:58		OUTBOX		(202 [REDACTED])	Yeah, I'm dreading it. The real question is, will we be bringing workout clothes to [REDACTED]	sms
258	11/29/2016 14:27		OUTBOX		(202 [REDACTED] Peter Strzok)	God, the mye letters re Andy ask for SOOOOO much information. \U0001f621\U0001f621	sms
259	12/1/2016 2:05		INBOX	(202 [REDACTED] Peter Strzok)		Yeah well Jims too blindly boyscoutish and others not thoughtful so I can talk to him about his experience pre recusal. I'm not asking for decisions. I'm asking how to think about it.	sms
260	12/2/2016 23:38		INBOX	(202 [REDACTED] Peter Strzok)		Ho boy. This is going to stir up some sh*t\U0001f621\U0001f621NYTimes: Trump Speaks With Taiwan\U02019s Leader, a Likely Affront to China\U0001f621Trump Speaks With Taiwan\U02019s Leader, a Likely Affront to China http://nyti.ms/2gwshom	sms
261	12/2/2016 23:45		OUTBOX		(202 [REDACTED] Peter Strzok)	I know. I saw. Idiots... We need to talk about this in the context of the testimony last Friday. And I need to ask Jason to get a transcript if he can. \U0001f621\U0001f621NYTimes: Russia Hacked Republican Committee, U.S. Concludes\U0001f621Russia Hacked Republican Committee, U.S. Concludes http://nyti.ms/2h5Xpoi	sms
262	12/10/2016 4:19		INBOX	(202 [REDACTED] Peter Strzok)			sms
263	12/13/2016 3:33		OUTBOX		(202 [REDACTED])	Btw, Clapper told Pete that he (Clapper) was meeting with Brennan and Cohen for dinner tonight. Just FYSA.	sms
264	12/13/2016 11:41		OUTBOX		(202 [REDACTED])	Hey, I was just thinking that OGC might have stuff off the shelf re the State Dept authorities issue because we've been fighting them on this for a while now. Might be worth asking Baker.	sms
265	5/19/2017 0:36		INBOX			For me, and this case, I personally have a sense of unfinished business. \U0001f621unleashed it with MYE. Now I need to fix it and finish it	sms
266	5/19/2017 0:40		INBOX			Who gives a f*ck, one more AD like Scott or Steve or Carlos or Dave or Tim or whoever. \U0001f621An investigation leading to impeachment?	sms
267	5/19/2017 23:44		INBOX			Well that's just dumb. He heard Director Comey's statement about what we're trying to achieve, right?\U0001f621Anyway. Try to not dwell on all this. \U0001f621Getting stuff ready to go out the door... \U0001f621Give wonder girl a snuggle from me.	sms
268	5/29/2017 23:20		INBOX			\U0001f60a NYTimes: A Constitutional Puzzle: Can the President Be Indicted?\U0001f621Constitutional Puzzle: Can the President Be Indicted? https://nyti.ms/2sc27a	sms
269	6/3/2017 0:23		OUTBOX			It's just hard to be untethered to the Bureau and Andy right now. It's been bad enough without Comey, this just feels like another loss.	sms

270	6/5/2017 19:01	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]		I just talked to Aaron. He said memos are still not decided, in other words, they're not going to block testimony, but the memos are still up in the air. I think Papa and [REDACTED] and Stone and Wiki and CI confluence is smack square in your wheelhouse.	sms
271	6/6/2017 22:47	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]		A [REDACTED] have no idea who the two agents are Zainab asked for. They're not associated with the team, and I may need to say "no." I'll do research and talk with her but I worry they're NY, in the same way Andrew worried about NY agents. And he had the sense to talk to me about what he wanted, and balance his request against those already on the team. (ND) curious the comment Andrew made as I stepped out, prompting your 20 year agent comment. My sense was he wasn't necessarily happy.	rms
272	6/7/2017 2:31	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]		I get, re [REDACTED] it's going to be emotional for all of us. \n\nI'm disappointed because I see a solid, unbroken line from mye to announcement to ch to election to momentous this, and I'm sad not to share it with you. Doesn't feel right. Aaron would like you and Stephen to explore supplementing Andy's testimony along the lines we discussed on that one answer. Yeah I really think we need to. Or because of the nyt story saying that SC seems to be pursuing potus in light of these interviews. Wp had it first. And it's as likely to be wh or interviewees leaking. All of which I would have walked through, if I had been in the room. Because, you know, media leaks and what I do for a living.	sms
273	6/7/2017 11:31	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]		God I'm so disappointed in us as an organization. I want to send 10 polygraphers to NYO and poly the whole office. Or do every. Single. Last. Employee. Because the thing with this one is we're SO bad that no one down here (or a couple of people in CID) knew. So you could literally just do the field office. You made a really interesting comment at Miraval about forgiving yourself that I wanted to talk about sometime.	sms
274	6/7/2017 17:31	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]		Because you asked her about the SC role moving forward?	sms
275	6/7/2017 17:31	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]		No. Because I didn't tell her we had the convo when it happened (about you being asked to come over) and the fact that we had both been working on the effort. My fault for hiding it. I told her I wasn't going to go. She thought that was inappropriate because you should have refused.	sms
276	6/15/2017 11:35	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]		So why didn't you say it was more recent?	sms
277	6/15/2017 11:42	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]		Yeah, that's what she told me. I'm not sure I follow. Why was it my obligation? I was asked first...	sms
278	6/16/2017 0:38	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]		I initially said it was more recent. Then I said you were asked first at some point after the initial briefings. Didn't give a time frame for that. Make sure you don't tell her anything about whether the press accounts are accurate. Nothing. Just say you don't know what I did at DOJ.	sms
279	6/16/2017 0:42	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]		Why? \n\nI'm planning on saying I didn't know about them.	sms
280	6/18/2017 12:12	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]		Because she's surely going to start googling again and will want you to say it's not true, etc.	sms
281	6/20/2017 2:15	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]		Okay. I do not intend to respond. Also, I left my two iPhones at work.	sms
282	6/20/2017 2:16	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]			sms
283	6/20/2017 2:17	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]			sms
284	6/20/2017 2:17	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]			sms
285	6/20/2017 2:17	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]			sms
286	6/20/2017 2:18	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]			sms
287	6/20/2017 3:04	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]			sms
288	6/20/2017 3:04	[REDACTED]	INBOX	[REDACTED]			sms
289	6/20/2017 9:15	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]			sms
290	6/20/2017 11:26	[REDACTED]	OUTBOX	[REDACTED]			sms

Page Lync Messages

Date UTC	From	To	Content Text
1 10/20/16 17:34	samoyer@	lcpage@	The FISC came back with a few minor questions. We're addressing now with Ol. I don't think it will change pagination. Once we have those answered, I think it we can discuss the changes with Rybicki and see if the D wants to resign or send the certified copy over.
2 10/20/16 17:45	lcpage@	samoyer@	Awesome! I'm headed out to DOJ in 5. Be back by 3.
3 10/20/16 20:08	lcpage@	keclinesmith@	Was it signed?
4 10/20/16 20:08	keclinesmith@	lcpage@	Yes, it's over at DOJ now.
5 10/20/16 20:08	lcpage@	keclinesmith@	No, I mean by the court.
6 10/20/16 20:08	keclinesmith@	lcpage@	Oh, no - should be signed by DAG now. Court has given comments. Waiting for next word
7 10/20/16 20:09	lcpage@	keclinesmith@	Ah. Well I was at a meeting with the DAG until 3:30, so hopefully she gets it soon
8 10/20/16 20:09	keclinesmith@	lcpage@	we were waiting to sign until the final version from the court was given
9 10/20/16 20:09	lcpage@	keclinesmith@	Thanks for all your crazy work -- if we get it, it's a huge testament to your effort.
10 10/20/16 20:09	keclinesmith@	lcpage@	It's been an adventure!
11 10/20/16 20:09	lcpage@	keclinesmith@	Yeah, that's one way to put it.
12 11/24/15 18:39	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	FOXNEWS scroll - "Trump: Waterboarding Works"
13 12/9/15 14:33	ppstrzok@	slip:ppstrzok@	Before you know it, Lisa will be the face of the HVT victim. Trump has already called asking her to appear at a rally.
14 1/15/16 22:25	ppstrzok@	paque=app:conf:francisid:11f1fbpp	Francois Hollande left a VM, Sarah McLaughlin is penning a doleful song, sad yet full of hope.\
15 2/4/16 23:04	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	Can I make you a hat with that, Donald Trump style?
16 2/4/16 23:05	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	had a good talk with Bill
17 2/4/16 23:05	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	He told me MVE is my first priority and CD4 my tenth or lower...
18 2/4/16 23:06	lcpage@	lcpage@	tea looks surprisingly yummy
19 2/5/16 15:22	lcpage@	ppstrzok@	I really love having it
20 2/5/16 15:22	lcpage@	lcpage@	Trisha asked whether we should offer to provide a FISA briefing or any other type of briefing to the DD
		lcpage@	I thought I would run it by you first to get your thoughts
			I left some comments on your KSAs on my chair. I think you are missing the point on your Clinton one. If this is your judgment KSA, you need to be talking about knowing when to push DOJ, when to let certain issues go; when to brief the 7th floor on case developments, and how much to brief; how to balance uncovering the truth and doing it in a case which would inevitably be very public and in a way which would maintain the citizenry's confidence.
21 7/21/16 13:56	lcpage@	ppstrzok@	
22 7/26/16 18:44		lcpage@	ent you an email with a summary of the new facts for the FISA. Ron is in the building and is available for any briefing. I have the original FISA on my desk. Let me know how you want to proceed. Thank you.
23 7/26/16 19:10	lcpage@		Got it. Thanks. I will provide it to the DD. In the meantime, can you arrange for the package to be returned to the DD's office?
24 7/28/16 13:49	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	so three things I want to talk to you about : 1 2) Our open CI investigations relating to Trump's Russian connections
25 7/28/16 19:42	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	3) Whether two things I bought frames for are OK to hang
26 7/28/16 19:42	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	I may get tapped to do CI briefings to Presidential transition campaigns...
27 8/1/16 14:54	lcpage@	jerybicki@	You around for a bit
28 8/1/16 19:52	jboone@	lcpage@	Hey can you call me about next steps re MVE?
29 8/1/16 19:59	jboone@	lcpage@	maybe strok would grace us with his Mid-Year presence
30 8/2/16 19:31	lcpage@	lcpage@	I think we need to get pete a clip on tie. mid-year took enough out of him that his big boy ties have been askew more often than not
31 8/2/16 19:32	lcpage@	jcmoffa@	Are you aware of a "tasking from the Deputy" that might have gone to Cyber today? They are desperately trying to find me for some reason. I'm not sure I know what that is...
			We had a brief related to the DNC hack -- cyber is going up to the Hill tomorrow to brief

Req FBI004584

32	8/2/16 19:32	lcpag@	jcmoffa@	But he was VERY clear in that he didn't want Cyber venturing into the CD realm, i.e., he didn't want them speculating about what the FG's INTENTIONS were.
33	8/2/16 19:33	lcpag@		ok. Got it. That helps to know...
34	8/2/16 19:33	lcpag@	jcmoffa@	Other than that, I'm not sure.
35	8/2/16 19:33	lcpag@		That's definitely it. It's about the DNC thing...
36	8/2/16 19:33	lcpag@	jcmoffa@	Was a silly brief. He SPECIFICALLY asked for a pre-brief, as in, with the people who would actually be giving the brief. Neither one of them (Yearwood or Lauder) was there. 8-)
37	8/2/16 20:03	lcpag@	jcmoffa@	Did we ever figure out if Papadopolous is an USPER or not?
38	8/2/16 20:06	lcpag@		I believe he is but will confirm...
39	8/3/16 15:49	lcpag@	keclinesmith@	. Although our investigation found some evidence of potential violations of the statutes regarding the handling of classified information, [lcp1] the FBI did not recommend prosecution based on our assessment that the evidence was insufficient to support such a prosecution beyond a reasonable doubt, and a review of how these statutes have been charged in the past, among other things.
40	8/3/16 15:50	lcpag@	keclinesmith@	Although our investigation found some evidence of potential violations of the statutes regarding the handling of classified information, [lcp1] a review of how these statutes have been charged in the past, the FBI did not recommend prosecution based on our assessment that the evidence was insufficient to support such a prosecution beyond a reasonable doubt, and, among other things
41	8/3/16 18:07	lcpag@		DOI had a million little annoying changes to the report that I'm having to try to input. I could outsource it but that would probably take more time. I'll hopefully have a draft to everyone soon...
42	8/3/16 18:15	lcpag@	jcmoffa@	. Although our investigation found some evidence of potential violations of the statutes regarding the handling of classified information, [lcp1] based on a review of how these statutes have been charged in the past, the FBI did not recommend prosecution based on our assessment that the evidence was insufficient to support such a prosecution beyond a reasonable doubt.
43	8/3/16 22:03	lcpag@		Tomorrow we should probably talk about the other MVE-related referral status too, right? We went through that chart but then I haven't really kept up on where we left it.
44	8/3/16 22:03	lcpag@		You have the copy of the report and I asked [redacted] to import her redactions so we can get it to DOJ. All of their edits are incorporated.
45	8/5/16 17:23	lcpag@		Still might be on some level OGA
46	8/5/16 17:24	lcpag@	jcmoffa@	okay, I'm obviously curious now.
47	8/5/16 19:31	lcpag@	jrsmith7@	DNC
48	8/5/16 19:31	lcpag@	jrsmith7@	DCCC
49	8/5/16 19:31	lcpag@	jrsmith7@	Hillary
50	8/5/16 19:34	lcpag@	jcmoffa@	CSIS
51	8/5/16 19:34	lcpag@	jcmoffa@	Atlantic Nuclear Threat Initiative Carnegie Center for International Peace DNC DCCC Hillary
52	8/8/16 16:13	lcpag@	sip:jcmoffa@	csis Atlantic Nuclear Threat Initiative Carnegie Center for International Peace DNC DCCC Hillary I saw Pete, but the gist is, we were going to publish an article for the Director and Deputy tomorrow providing the historical context of Soviet and Russian intelligence targeting of US elections. Per the OGA this morning, one of McDonough's two main questions is "What has Russia done in the past." This piece was just intended to give the D and DD that context before we give it to the WH. OGA and thinks the whole thing is stupid. I'll send it to you both on the high side. I'm totally not understanding why it is so offensive. Cyd appears to be missing the point.

Req FBI004585

53	8/8/16 16:17	jcmoffa@		slp:jcmoffa@	I guess Trainor is so cranked up he is going to call him, but I'll definitely talk to him at 2:30. Bill has had copies since Thursday. I'll show you hard copies when I see you since it has weird compartments in it that I'm not supposed to email...
54	8/10/16 22:21	samoyer@		lcpage@	Just wanted to follow up on your call with DOS today. Kevin has a 302 list which I believe we agreed to provide to DOS before we make our production to Congress. Are we still good to go with that?
55	8/10/16 22:22	samoyer@		lcpage@	Also, has there been a final decision made about whether or not we are going to do further coordination with DOS prior to the production. We will need to get going tomorrow am on final redactions, printing, copying in order to have the production ready for Friday.
56	8/10/16 22:23	samoyer@		lcpage@	Finally, the template letter (plus various inserts). Should we have those ready to be signed out by OCA (?) so that we can provide written answers at the same time we produce the documents? What steps need to happen? Is OCA finalizing the various versions of the letter?
57	8/10/16 22:34	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	I left him a VM just now closing the loop. Moffa for Clinton and me for Trump. If he wants just one we'll go with Jon OGA that we'll need to talk about. Rick sent it to OGA. Rick wants to set up a call for the OGA to make sure that the analysts who are working crossfire all have access to each other's reporting.
58	8/18/16 14:35			lcpage@	Yup, give me a couple of minutes to get to the NSTS
59	8/29/16 20:28	lcpage@		lcpage@	I'll be here.
60	8/29/16 20:28	kistefanik@		lcpage@	So if it's on Russia, the staff here doesn't know about it.
61	8/29/16 20:56	kistefanik@		lcpage@	Yearwood and McGonigal going
62	8/29/16 22:30	lcpage@		ppstrzok@	Kids are genius At this time, Flynn interjected, "I did SIGINT." Writer responded that he could then appreciate what our country can do technically to exploit a Tier 1 target and we must extrapolate a Foreign Intelligence Service could do the same to us. Trump then stated, "Yes I understand it's a dark time. OGA We used to lock things in a safe in a room now anyone can get in. My son is ten years old. OGA
63	8/30/16 23:02	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	
64	8/31/16 13:06			lcpage@	Hey Lisa -- both OIG and CyD have finally signed-out the OGA memo -- how do we get it to the DD to transmit to the DAG?
65	8/31/16 13:29	lcpage@			The DD already has it.
66	8/31/16 18:43	jcmoffa@		lcpage@	Hi. I have an update. I talked to the OGA guys and I think I have a plausible explanation for that OGA you gave me...
67	8/31/16 18:43	jcmoffa@		lcpage@	I think it might be a garble actually.
68	8/31/16 18:44	lcpage@		jcmoffa@	Want to chat over lync?
69	8/31/16 18:44	jcmoffa@		lcpage@	That's what happens when the main guys speak unchaperoned.
70	8/31/16 18:44	lcpage@		jcmoffa@	Want to tell you something as well.
71	8/31/16 18:44	jcmoffa@		lcpage@	Want me to call?
72	8/31/16 18:44	lcpage@		jcmoffa@	I'm ready when you are.
73	8/31/16 19:36	lcpage@		lcpage@	Just read about the OGA - that is nuts. I can't believe I am so behind - thanks for the heads up
74	8/31/16 19:42	lcpage@			NP. Yeah, it's really not good.
75	9/1/16 17:43	rpquinn@		lcpage@	Lisa-let me know when you get back...just spoke with Washington Times regarding matter we discussed yesterday (Violations of Federal Records Act) and they've got a couple of fairly specific items they'll be adding that I want to make you aware of.
76	9/7/16 18:32	lcpage@		jmler1@	And one more thing: I get that Andy may not know what to do about this right now. But I need him communicating with me about it. Opening, honestly, so that I do understand that he gets it, that it SIGNIFICANTLY impacts my ability to effectively do my job FOR HIM, particularly when it causes me to question every step I take and whether I should be taking certain actions or not.
77	9/9/16 14:46			lcpage@	Hey Lisa. Sorry to bug you but I hear that our data review memo went to the DAG some time ago. Is that official? Has there been any feedback? Last I remember we were cleared to review the data "as soon as practicable", sooooo....
78	9/9/16 14:46	lcpage@			Nope, not some time ago. Last Thursday.

Req FBI004586

79	9/9/16 14:48	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	They have questions about some of the details of how we would conduct the review, dissemination procedures, etc., which Trisha is working to get answers on.
80	9/9/16 14:49	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	Once we have answered those questions for ourselves, I would expect we will probably be asked to sit down with the AG and DAG to discuss access (or not, if it is denied).
81	9/9/16 14:49	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	Everyone understands the need for expedience. I actually think that is happening
82	9/9/16 14:58	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	OK. Thanks. As long as OGC is tracking it. I mean, technically I still object to the additional questions but whatever. As long as we are getting to the end of this.
83	9/16/16 13:32	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	There is some debate today over whether the DD authorized us to query THE phone or simply a model of the phone. I'm confident it was THE phone.
84	9/16/16 13:32	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	Is that your understanding as well?
85	9/16/16 13:33	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	I don't know. I would ask Jonathan.
86	9/16/16 13:33	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	I would think the phone, but he should know better.
87	9/16/16 13:33	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	It's very clear in the Scion write up, but folks have their panties in a bunch. Sigh
88	9/22/16 22:20	ppstrzok@ [REDACTED]	You heard Russians back on DNC?
89	9/22/16 22:21	ppstrzok@ [REDACTED]	Andy' sold source into Teterboro tonight?>
90	9/22/16 22:21	ppstrzok@ [REDACTED]	Andy's old source
91	10/5/16 20:36	ppstrzok@ [REDACTED]	Madsen just now: "I can't see a way forward without legal process."
92	10/7/16 14:39	jcmoffa@ [REDACTED]	What is McCabe's wife's first name? Is it Samantha or Sam?
93	10/7/16 14:45	jcmoffa@ [REDACTED]	Nevermind. It's [REDACTED] I think...
94	10/7/16 15:06	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	Jill
95	10/7/16 15:07	jcmoffa@ [REDACTED]	But true real name is [REDACTED]. Why?
96	10/7/16 16:37	jcmoffa@ [REDACTED]	My guys dug up a list o email addresses it looks like [REDACTED] (of which Capricia Marshall who was outed on cdeaks yesterday was one). A Sam McCabe gmail is being targeted along with about 20 other email addresses for people you have heard of and who the Russians will make great use of in the next days....
97	10/7/16 19:43	ppstrzok@ [REDACTED]	Hey I took the liberty of forwarding some of those WH statement emails...
98	10/7/16 19:43	ppstrzok@ [REDACTED]	And I have election/CH daily update for you
99	10/7/16 19:45	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	Dina said she just emailed them. I can go print them off.
100	10/11/16 17:04	keclinesmith@ [REDACTED]	Lisa, we have the final draft of the DRAGON FISA
101	10/11/16 17:05	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	Okay. I texted the DD to let him know I had a couple of things to discuss with him. Unfortunately, when he responded, he was getting on a plane, so we'll be out of touch for the next 5.5 hours.
102	10/11/16 17:05	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	so.
103	10/11/16 17:05	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	I can call Rybicki, ask him to check in with the D.
104	10/11/16 17:06	keclinesmith@ [REDACTED]	If you think that's acceptable, it might be a good thing to do; that would help us get it to the FISC more quickly
105	10/11/16 17:06	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	Yeah, I think DD would be okay with that. Let me see if I can track down Rybicki
106	10/11/16 17:06	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	will let you know whether or not we connect.
107	10/11/16 18:19	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	I heard back from Rybicki. Long and short, if I don't hear back from him by 3:00, we can launch the read copy. But let's talk about the best way to communicate that to DOJ.
108	10/11/16 18:44	keclinesmith@ [REDACTED]	Ok. I'll convey that to Sally. Let me know if you would like to provide a paragraph for the e-mail to O
109	10/11/16 18:45	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	Do you want me to just call Sally?
110	10/11/16 18:46	keclinesmith@ [REDACTED]	It's up to you. She's in an out of meetings all the time; if you help me with the language, I can let OI know if the existing e-mail chain (or we can call them together)
111	10/11/16 18:46	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	*in the existing
112	10/11/16 19:45	keclinesmith@ [REDACTED]	I reached out to OI. They are going to push for final approval and will circulate a final read copy when they have it.
113	10/12/16 14:37	keclinesmith@ [REDACTED]	Lisa, I have a couple of items to discuss about the forthcoming Congressional and FOIA production in re the Clinton Matter when you have time today.
114	10/12/16 14:45	keclinesmith@ [REDACTED]	Ready when you are.
115	10/12/16 16:45	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	No, Mike said last week you mentioned that Bob Litt and others were drafted bullets regarding the Yahoo Reuters article.
116	10/12/16 16:45	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	Does that help?

Senate HSGAC_Transition Req FBI004587

117	10/12/16 16:46	lcpag@			I don't recall bullets. It was in the course of a back and forth about what COULD be said, but I don't think officially they decided on anything other than Bob telling the Europeans that everything he had previously said re Privacy Shield was still accurate.
118	10/12/16 16:47	lcpag@			Some Commerce or other lumpy is supposed to be meeting with some feckless European body this week so we should know more soon.
119	10/12/16 16:47	lcpag@			Ha! I luv' your editorials.
120	10/13/16 14:06	lcpag@		ppstrzk@	Jason will provide OPA with the three FBI employee 302s. If you can just provide the "problematic" State ones, that would be great.
121	10/13/16 14:09	ppstrzk@		lcpag@	sending a unet email to all of you now
122	10/13/16 14:09	ppstrzk@		lcpag@	Does he have the name of the current SA?
123	10/13/16 14:13	lcpag@		ppstrzk@	He has the 302, so I presume so.
124	10/13/16 14:20	lcpag@		ppstrzk@	Okay, I'm just doing a smidge of work now.
125	10/13/16 14:20	ppstrzk@		lcpag@	Ooh, I'll come up and help!
126	10/13/16 14:22	lcpag@		lcmoffa@	Hmm. Think it is doubtful that it is a relation, but I'll ask.
127	10/13/16 14:23	lcmoffa@		lcpag@	I'm sure there is no relation. That's who it is though just to close the loop..
128	10/13/16 14:23	lcmoffa@		lcpag@	I sent a big list up the chain today based on our initial analysis of the spreadsheets and who is on there
129	10/13/16 14:24	lcpag@		lcmoffa@	Awesome. Too bad we had no such analysis back in say, January before all this nonsense started.
130	10/13/16 14:24	lcpag@		lcmoffa@	Anyway, thanks again. You rock.
131	10/13/16 14:24	lcmoffa@		lcpag@	Better late than never...I guess. I really appreciate it. Trying to keep election air traffic control from resulting in a major disaster...
132	10/13/16 14:25	lcpag@		lcmoffa@	I know you are.
133	10/13/16 14:30	lcmoffa@		lcpag@	By the way, I found the thing I was referring to yesterday.
134	10/13/16 14:30	lcmoffa@		lcpag@	There are lots of scary things hiding in those big data buckets of collection.
135	10/13/16 14:30	lcpag@		lcmoffa@	It was a Google search.
136	10/13/16 14:30	lcmoffa@		lcpag@	You know what I never get tired of? MYE
137	10/13/16 14:30	lcpag@		lcmoffa@	I'm tired of it. I'm also tired of "senior FBI officials" being quoted
138	10/13/16 14:30	lcpag@		lcmoffa@	Interesting. That's when he took over as acting EAD of NSB, if I'm not mistaken.
139	10/13/16 15:01	lcpag@		lcmoffa@	Yeah, no sh*t.
140	10/13/16 19:29	lcmoffa@		lcpag@	No Sam McCabe. Andy just confirmed.
141	10/13/16 20:25	lcpag@		lcmoffa@	Any word on the DD's talk with the white house about the OGA ? I've acquired additional details for him when he's back to get him a better sense of what's on there.
142	10/14/16 14:23	lcmoffa@		lcpag@	Yes. call my desk?
143	10/14/16 14:27	lcpag@		lcmoffa@	Hi. No problem. I'm back until the 11am with Cyber, so just let me know when you have a minute. I just want to make sure I'm OGA prepped for when the DD is back...
144	10/14/16 14:28	lcmoffa@		lcpag@	I'm here. Want to talk now?
145	10/14/16 15:02	lcpag@		lcmoffa@	Sure. Lync?
146	10/14/16 15:45	ppstrzk@		lcpag@	Just called WH Counsel's office. Now DOJ will be there, and it will be a meeting hosted at DOJ. Will let you know when I know more.
147	10/14/16 15:45	lcpag@		ppstrzk@	I'm going to email Stu and let him know we've gotten all the info we're going to get reCrown and sourcing questions I don't think I want to go to the WH event. If I have to get up early, I'd rather go to bootcamp
148	10/21/16 17:28		ov/gruu:op aque=app:conf:focus:id:lvqz7vd3		It's all over the news that among John Podesta's hacked gmails are ones between him and Obama going back to at least 2008. I wonder if the Obama email account is this same Yahoo account that Chris is now talking about?
149	10/21/16 17:28		ov/gruu:op aque=app:conf:focus:id:lvqz7vd3		
150	10/27/16 17:29	lcpag@		lcmoffa@	Seems like a good question for the 7th Floor to handle...
151	10/27/16 17:31	lcmoffa@		lcpag@	I have a non-MYE question that I need a quick answer to. Can you call me?
152	10/27/16 17:31	lcpag@		lcmoffa@	What's your number?
153	11/3/16 12:54	lcpag@		ppstrzk@	give me 30 seconds. Hey can you send me that timeline?

Senate HSGAC_Transition Req FBI004588

154	11/3/16 20:41	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	In short- we are sending out a letter to providers to provide the updated judicial review language that now accompanies our new and improved NSLs. The question is whether to say in the letter "you may have received" NSLs or actually stating that they "have received at least one NSL" during the time period when the language in the NSLs still needed updating.
155	11/3/16 20:43	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	She has concerns that providers will publish the letter, and that we have taken the position that this information is classified (i.e. it falls outside the reporting bands of USAFA). She noted that there is an old McCabe declaration that may speak to this, so wanted me to check in with you.
156	11/3/16 20:49	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	My thought is that it may be best to say "may have received" after thinking through it, but it does sound a little awkward. If you have any insight/strong feelings here, will you please let us know?
157	11/3/16 20:51	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	(FYI, [REDACTED]'s edited the letter to have it read "has received" so I think [REDACTED] wants to have you weigh in)....sorry, I will stop lync-ing you now. :))
158	11/4/16 14:10	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	Fwiw, I support may have received. First, because of the reasons [REDACTED] articulates, and second, because maybe they didn't actually receive an NSL that was in the time frame between issuance of the Freedom Act and the update of the letter.
159	11/4/16 14:12	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	quick call?
160	11/7/16 17:56	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	OGC Attorney [REDACTED] with OGC attended the weekly meetings for the development of the EC as well as the policy. The Policy that was approved was sent to OGC for review and they had no comments. OGC Attorney [REDACTED] was the Attorney that ended up being in the middle of the 2008 OIG Audit, so that is why we included him on the policy and EC [REDACTED]
161	11/7/16 18:34	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	(IOD) (FBI) 12:30 PM The office of Integrity and Compliance was also involved with the Policy Thanks. I'll reach out to [REDACTED], as the DD wanted to know whether this particular request has been reviewed by OGC/is consistent with policy.
162	11/7/16 19:23	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	MCGONIGAL, CHARLES F. (CD) (FBI) 2:17 PM Do you have any time on Thursday for me to stop by? STRZOK, PETER P. (CD) (FBI) 2:18 PM Bill's trying to set up an offsite for him and the DADs all day Thurs... Wed afternoon? MCGONIGAL, CHARLES F. (CD) (FBI) 2:19 PM Are you going to Enrichment night? STRZOK, PETER P. (CD) (FBI) 2:20 PM no How's NYO? A lot of rumbling up there from the whole crim side on our stuff. MCGONIGAL, CHARLES F. (CD) (FBI) 2:20 PM All good. Not a big deal just wanted someone from PC to be part of the team. Also, thought we had leaks here in NYC.... I'm sure you will be glad once Tuesday has come and gone. Anyway, let me know about Thursday as I want to chat about Blanket DIDs. I will also pin down [REDACTED] in CD-3.
163	11/7/16 19:23	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	Funny hrm, not funny haha
164	11/7/16 19:24	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	I didn't pursue, figure we can talk about it
165	11/7/16 19:24	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	I don't understand why they would STILL be asking for someone from PC to be part of the team
166	11/7/16 19:25	[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@ [REDACTED]	And christ, does everyone's judgment just fly out the window as soon as they land in NY?
167	11/7/16 19:25	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	I think he might be referring to the request last week. He wants to talk about blanket DIDs
168	11/8/16 14:25	[REDACTED]	slp:jcmoffa@ [REDACTED] gruu:op aque=app:confocus:ld:73ag3g05	Great. Can we steal him to go see the Crossfire and Russia program guys? The only ones in SIOC are the CD social media people and the multi-division command post (I assume that is who he is going to see). We are also supposed to be in Cyber meeting at 11am but I'll skip it if he has time to come see the team...
169	11/9/16 18:34	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	Lisa: do you have a moment to discuss the DD's concerns with the FISA package that came back? Lyn
170	11/21/16 18:42	[REDACTED]	dlbowditch@ [REDACTED]	Hey Dave. Have a mid-year question for you. Can you let me know when you have a minute to chat? Thanks so much.
171	11/25/16 15:58	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	Good article in the post today about Russia propaganda effort. Guy cited within is former Bureau, now works for Tim Murphy at Thompson Reuters.
172	11/25/16 15:58	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	Oh, nice.
173	11/25/16 19:00	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	Same here, and filed lots and lots and lots and lots of HRC-related emails. 8-
174	11/25/16 19:01	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	BTW, Mike also said he flipped out when he read the NYT headline
175	11/25/16 19:02	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	I read the article. Yes, the headline is REALLY misleading
176	11/25/16 19:02	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	But then he read the article and was like "what's the point?" Which is really a question that answers itself
177	11/25/16 19:03	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	I'm glad it was so superficial. If they decide to start digging deep, we are screwed on trying to protect some of our stuff related to that case.
178	11/25/16 19:04	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	My guess is that we "suggested" a good news story about the Bu. To remind people that we are still the good guys trying to keep America safe, and not political operatives who sway elections.

Senate HSGAC_Transition Req FBI004589

179	11/25/16 19:04	lcpag@		Just a guess... ;)
180	11/25/16 19:04	lcpag@		Yeah. Now we should "suggest" that they move on from this story to something else I suspect that's it.
181	11/25/16 19:05	lcpag@		Hey - Did you ever get anything more from OCA about when (and to what degree) we are required or obligated to brief Congress?
182	11/30/16 14:32	samoy@		Ha. No.
183	11/30/16 14:32	lcpag@		Just that stupid chart that was entirely useless [redacted] claims to be embarking on some related project, but I'm not holding my breath.
184	11/30/16 14:32	lcpag@		Awsome. I'm so glad they're on top of it.
185	11/30/16 14:33	samoy@		Of course, Bill's asking me about our obligations now as you can imagine. So not sure that [redacted] s "related project" will be much help.
186	11/30/16 14:33	lcpag@		Oh god. Bill. stop.
187	11/30/16 14:34	samoy@		Do you still have a copy of that chart, at least? I might have thrown mine away
188	11/30/16 14:34	lcpag@		Want me to bring it to the 11? I bet we can just copy it there.
189	11/30/16 14:34	samoy@		Yeah, that'll work. Thanks!
190	11/30/16 14:34	lcpag@		And I can't believe you would throw it away! It was so helpful ;
191	11/30/16 14:34	samoy@		Hahaha...yeah. I was going ot frame it, but somehow it got lost in the shuffle
192	11/30/16 14:35	lcpag@		Lisa.? I sent you the RNC timeline and related narrative on yellow.? DNC to follow after I make sure I have the most up to date version.? Hope all is well.?
193	12/16/16 16:30	lcpag@		Thank you.? I'll let Baker know.? Hope you're well too.
194	12/16/16 17:46	lcpag@		The best I've been able to sniff out is OGA [redacted] but he is hearing from his folks that they have not had access to all the intel. OGA [redacted] that's my best guess re conflict.
195	12/22/16 22:03	lcpag@		Ok. Ultimately I think everyone can get on the same page. I just don't think we will get there by tomorrow. Does the boss have what he needs for the conversation tonight?
196	12/22/16 22:03	lcpag@		Yup. We're not the source of the conflict, and he'll explain how we think about C the way he always does
197	12/22/16 22:06	lcpag@		Roger. I figure if he's not ready by now he'll never be. It seems like it is all any of us talk about anymore.
198	12/22/16 22:12	lcpag@		Do you know if the D mentioned anything specific to the DD that he wanted included from past Russian activity here in the US during elections? We looked and the existing section on pgs. 9-10 covers most of the high points (it is essentially the PDB we wrote a couple of months ago), but we didn't know if there was something specific he was looking for. We can suggest more details but I'm not sure its going to change the meaning much....
199	12/22/16 22:26	lcpag@		I don't know. But I can reach out to the DD in an hour or so and double check with him
200	12/27/16 19:03	lcpag@		Also, maybe this is misguided but I'm going to go talk to Bill in an hour or so about the whole pete/jen effort. Bottom line, I agree that a lot of this should live in CD1, but not all of it should. It's unsolicited advice, but at least I will have said my piece.
201	12/27/16 19:03	lcpag@		Plus, our boy is still pretty messed up about it all, and I think Bill should know that
202	12/27/16 19:04	lcpag@		Roger. Thanks. If the DD has any sense of what he thought was missing, that would be helpful. It's also possible the D missed the whole section because it is titled something weird that doesn't point right at the fact that it is discussing Russian targeting of past elections. Pete got hit with a lot at once last week. I felt bad for him when I left on Friday because I could tell it was really bothering him.
203	12/27/16 19:05	lcpag@		can you lync for a sec?
204	12/27/16 19:10	lcpag@		Hi. I'm still alive and kicking. We are fighting with OGA [redacted] We are complicating their lives and they don't really like it.
205	12/27/16 19:12	lcpag@		I bet they don't. "But we have an assessment! And we're smart!" Yes, but we have a tech out. A bunch of them. Sorry.
206	12/30/16 22:04	lcpag@		Yep. You really can't deny that Putin being told that this is the preferred option of the new administration and it will mean good things for the relationship doesn't weigh on the decisionmaking.
207	12/30/16 22:05	lcpag@		OMG they are not trying to say that.
208	12/30/16 22:06	lcpag@		They don't want to use it when it may be the singlemost important fact to explain why they are doing what they are doing.
209	12/30/16 22:06	lcpag@		
210	12/30/16 22:07	lcpag@		

Senate HSGAC_Transition Req FBI004590

211	12/30/16 22:07	lcpag@		jcmoffa@	Holy moly how do they justify not using it?
212	12/30/16 22:07	lcpag@		jcmoffa@	!!!
213	12/30/16 22:10	lcpag@		jcmoffa@	Although at the end of the day, if we gave it to them and they don't include it, that's on them. We just don't approve and they can push without our coord.
214	12/30/16 22:14	jcmoffa@		lcpag@	We can't not approve and not dissent. That's an approval. If you don't approve you have to write a dissent which we probably don't want to do.
215	12/30/16 22:15	jcmoffa@		lcpag@	That's the challenge. Ultimately what they wrote is not wrong it just doesn't give all of the details. We're working through it...
216	1/4/17 19:19	ppstrzok@		lcpag@	Razor still open. :@ but serendipitously good. I guess. You want those chips and oreos?
217	1/4/17 19:19	lcpag@		ppstrzok@	phew.
218	1/4/17 19:20	lcpag@		ppstrzok@	But yeah, that's amazing that he is still open. Good, I guess.
219	1/4/17 19:20	ppstrzok@		lcpag@	Yeah, our utter incompetence actually helps us. 20% of the time, I'm guessing :)
220	1/5/17 15:39	lcpag@		ppstrzok@	OOH? McCain just asked Clapper how would you describe Mr. Assange.
221	1/5/17 16:46	ppstrzok@		lcpag@	What?!!? God I want to listen to this
222	1/5/17 23:53	ppstrzok@		lcpag@	Rgr. Let me know if he's square with the Crown timing. D seemed interested from the standpoint of defending when we knew, what we did, etc.
223	1/5/17 23:53	ppstrzok@		lcpag@	I suppose we should consider a more detailed timeline. Or maybe just wait for when the time come:
224	1/6/17 20:02	lcpag@		lcpag@	I just want to know one thing w/o details - is your respect for him higher, lower, or mixed given the steps he took under the circumstances in which he was under?
225	1/6/17 20:02	lcpag@		lcpag@	not asking for details... until the beer is flowing
226	1/6/17 20:39	lcpag@		lcpag@	for whom?
227	1/6/17 20:40	lcpag@		lcpag@	certainly not PEOTUS ;)
228	1/6/17 20:40	lcpag@		lcpag@	what an asshole
229	1/6/17 20:40	lcpag@		lcpag@	and i dont care if these are logged
230	1/6/17 20:41	lcpag@		lcpag@	was referring to Director as im sure youve been exposed to god knows what these past few months, and know better than anyone the circumstances under which certain decisions were made
231	1/6/17 20:42	lcpag@		lcpag@	we heard things about the situation he was put in, but who knows how credible they are.
232	1/6/17 20:42	lcpag@		lcpag@	He's a good man. And is making the best decisions he can, I think.
233	1/6/17 20:43	lcpag@		lcpag@	thats what i was hoping to hear. i am consistently amazed at the way he handles things and the messages he writes
234	1/6/17 20:43	lcpag@		lcpag@	he was put in such a shit situation by DOJ that first time, and my sense is everything flowed from there
235	1/6/17 21:04	lcpag@		lcpag@	in any event, the DD is lucky to have you and i hope that youve been able to find a decent balance w/ your personal life these last few months. hopefully, we'll have a chance to catch up in person soon
236	1/6/17 21:04	lcpag@		lcpag@	Thanks. Let's chat soon.
237	1/6/17 21:04	lcpag@		lcpag@	have a good wknd
238	1/9/17 21:42	ppstrzok@		lcpag@	ok this won't take long. Rich talking about cnn background
239	1/9/17 21:43	ppstrzok@		lcpag@	Story no longer Sciutto, now Evan Perez. Internal nervousness about nature of allegations
240	1/9/17 21:44	lcpag@		ppstrzok@	Yeah, they should be.
241	1/9/17 21:44	ppstrzok@		lcpag@	Also Carl Bernstein (yes that Carl) called OPA, said he got a "dossier" from McCain
242	1/9/17 21:45	lcpag@		ppstrzok@	Awesome. Let Carl run it down then
243	1/11/17 1:43	lcpag@		ppstrzok@	Also funny, Joe just said, "yeah, so I get why you went to London now." I said no comment. ;)
244	1/11/17 15:30	samoyer@		lcpag@	Just want to confirm: The original, unredacted classified report was provided to the DAG for her review before Friday's meeting, right? Did anyone else in ODAG see it?
245	1/11/17 16:33	lcpag@		samoyer@	Yes? I don't know. ? It was "officially" provided to the DAG and AG. ? If they gave it to others to review we don't know.
246	1/11/17 16:33	lcpag@		samoyer@	Of course, the President only allowed for two people at State to review (the Sec and DepSec), so the WH intends for it to be extremely closehold.
247	1/13/17 14:34	lcpag@		mjperry@	Oh, but we had the IG thing, didn't we. Yeah, I'll take it.
248	1/13/17 14:35	lcpag@		lcpag@	Yeah, was thinking of you last night as i read the times article. So sorry. But you ARE a great American, no matter what those armchair QBs at the IG try to say from the comfort of their no-pressure offices.

Senate HSGAC_Transition Req FBI004591

249	1/13/17 14:38	lcpag@			Honestly, that piece I'm not worried about. I KNOW how the investigation was carried out. I KNOW how we carried out the investigation, I KNOW that Andy need not have recused himself, that the Director had NO political intentions. So whatever, it's fine.
250	1/13/17 14:39	lcpag@			The truth will set us free.
251	1/13/17 14:40	lcpag@			Actually, I have a question for you. Let me get off this call and call you?
252	1/13/17 14:42	lcpag@			So, this was the public statement released to the press: - I am grateful to the Department of Justice's IG for taking on this review. He is professional and independent, and the FBI will cooperate fully with him and his office. I hope very much he is able to share his conclusions and observations with the public, because everyone will benefit from thoughtful evaluation and transparency regarding this matter."
253	1/13/17 14:42	lcpag@			Good statement. Call when ready.
254	1/13/17 20:31	lcpag@			Hi.? Sorry.? I totally screwed that up.? Was in with Dawn going through a bunch of things.? Of course now I totally don't feel like talking Midyear, but I can if you want.? Just let me know.
255	1/13/17 23:25	lcpag@			And I really want to hear about heated D-DWS interaction CNN is reporting
256	1/13/17 23:26	ppstrzok@			You'd be an awesome reporter ;)
257	1/17/17 14:45	lcpag@			Hey, you up for lunch? Saw tomorrow's out and Thurs will be dicey. Also, want to talk to you about what to do with Pence
258	1/23/17 16:32	lcpag@			statement re. RAZOR...
259	1/23/17 22:35	lcpag@			Bill just went upstairs to take RAZOR proposal to Mike
260	1/24/17 13:57	lcpag@			Turn on cnn
261	1/24/17 13:57	lcpag@			hahha. No timing yet. I'm still trying to connect with Flynn's office to set up the call. I left a message for Flynn's EA to call me.
262	1/24/17 13:57	lcpag@			I'll let you know as soon as I know.
263	1/24/17 13:58	lcpag@			Rgr thanks.
264	1/24/17 15:05	lcpag@			We're taking bets on whether or not and when it actually happens...I have no certainty...
265	1/24/17 15:16	lcpag@			The call will be at 12:30.
266	1/24/17 15:17	lcpag@			Rgr thanks
267	1/25/17 21:39	lcpag@			Is anyone going to sit in with him? Lisa and I discussed, and then I discussed with Sally - Sally's thought was that it was to serve as a witness, it should not be anyone in a counsel capacity.
268	1/25/17 21:39	lcpag@			I still have your Flynn cut NP. I'll get it eventually.
269	1/27/17 23:59	lcpag@			STRZOK PETER P II January 25, 2017 - 6:12 PM (U//FOUO) Please add NSLB to approval line. KEVIN E. CLINESMITH January 26, 2017 - 12:03 PM (U//FOUO) Please review for CD-3 equities. (Requested review from [REDACTED])
270	1/30/17 23:31	lcpag@			January 27, 2017 - 8:15 AM (U//FOUO) "NSLB" is now "NSCLB." Otherwise, NSCLB approves.
271	2/1/17 23:37	lcpag@			did they call WHC?
272	2/1/17 23:37	lcpag@			Ah. Yeah, that sucks. I get it. Can I stop by at some point and finish reading that transcript? Not urgent, and I suppose people are milling around still up there.
273	2/1/17 23:37	lcpag@			Have someone taking the cuts to WH tomorrow
274	2/1/17 23:38	lcpag@			yes, but there are a million people still milling.
					And they're talking to Typhoon now, without an atty

Senate HSGAC_Transition Req FBI004592

275	2/1/17 23:38	[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@ [REDACTED]	oh good
276	2/1/17 23:38	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	And the thing Bruce O sent is pretty cool
277	2/1/17 23:38	[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@ [REDACTED]	Am I on it?
278	2/1/17 23:38	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	No And and and but i don't want to make your late night later
279	2/2/17 18:53	[REDACTED]	sip:ppstrzok@[REDACTED]gruu;o paque=app:conf:focus:id:rn6gsth7	I'm not. I just talked to Kevin and he said he heard they were concerned about leaks of the transcripts from calls with Mexico and Australia.
280	2/2/17 18:53	[REDACTED]	sip:ppstrzok@[REDACTED]gruu;o paque=app:conf:focus:id:rn6gsth7	He gave me a different presentation of the facts
281	2/2/17 18:54	[REDACTED]	sip:ppstrzok@[REDACTED]gruu;o paque=app:conf:focus:id:rn6gsth7	(in terms of urgency)
282	2/2/17 18:55	[REDACTED]	sip:ppstrzok@[REDACTED]gruu;o paque=app:conf:focus:id:rn6gsth7	The urgency was high when presented to me too.
283	2/3/17 21:06	[REDACTED]	sip:lcpage@[REDACTED]gruu;opa que=app:conf:focus:id:qfhhbpkwp	I have not met the new ^{DOA} detailee. Jen is the Mayor of the 7th floor.
284	2/3/17 21:07	[REDACTED]	sip:lcpage@[REDACTED]gruu;opa que=app:conf:focus:id:qfhhbpkwp	I told her I didn't even know there were any, to which she said she'd ask Jon
285	2/3/17 21:07	[REDACTED]	sip:lcpage@[REDACTED]gruu;opa que=app:conf:focus:id:qfhhbpkwp	And Dina said she went to defensively brief Hope Hicks. With the EAD. Face time
286	2/3/17 21:09	[REDACTED]	sip:lcpage@[REDACTED]gruu;opa que=app:conf:focus:id:qfhhbpkwp	Super. She asked me for the write-up we did on Hope Hicks and what we found about her in the system. So I guess that's where she went.
287	2/3/17 21:09	[REDACTED]	sip:lcpage@[REDACTED]gruu;opa que=app:conf:focus:id:qfhhbpkwp	And didn't tell you why? That's classy.
288	2/3/17 21:12	[REDACTED]	sip:lcpage@[REDACTED]gruu;opa que=app:conf:focus:id:qfhhbpkwp	BOONE, JENNIFER C. (CD) (FBI) 12:29 PM Do you have the cuts (or summary of cuts) re Hicks? STRZOK, PETER P. (CD) (FBI) 12:29 PM I dont think so. Didn't know there were any.... BOONE, JENNIFER C. (CD) (FBI) 12:33 PM what jon referenced in the 1100s when we were still in the big conf room no worries. i'll ask mofta what he has
289	2/3/17 21:12	[REDACTED]	sip:lcpage@[REDACTED]gruu;opa que=app:conf:focus:id:qfhhbpkwp	she didnt tell me either
290	2/3/17 21:19	[REDACTED]	sip:ppstrzok@[REDACTED]gruu;o paque=app:conf:focus:id:4gsvcbs2	[REDACTED] managed to piss off both Steinbach and Flynn on the same day.
291	2/3/17 21:20	[REDACTED]	sip:ppstrzok@[REDACTED]gruu;o paque=app:conf:focus:id:4gsvcbs2	What did he do to Flynn?
292	2/3/17 21:20	[REDACTED]	sip:ppstrzok@[REDACTED]gruu;o paque=app:conf:focus:id:4gsvcbs2	Flynn expected the brief and was told it wouldn't happen because of Kevin.
293	2/10/17 0:10	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	Just thinking he might have not (or been afraid) to tell Flynn
294	2/10/17 0:10	[REDACTED]	lcpage@ [REDACTED]	Told Kevin to tell Ezra in no uncertain terms the FBI had done everything it could and was waiting on WH before we could do anything else
295	2/10/17 0:12	[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@ [REDACTED]	Yup, I can hear it now.

296	2/10/17 0:30	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	Hey while I'm thinking about it, can you send those lists to me? We aren't getting those names unless they affirmatively hit our indices
297	2/10/17 18:49		lcpage@	just learned that Trump was briefed on Op Legion threat from a couple years back for part of effort to get him up to speed on national security
298	2/10/17 18:50	lcpage@		briefed by whom?
299	2/15/17 14:46	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	Thoughts on sharing Andy's write up of convo with Flynn with DOJ?
300	2/15/17 14:51	lcpage@	ppstrzok@	Convo with Flynn? The one when he called to have you guys go over? I don't remember a write-up, but there have been a lot of them
301	2/15/17 14:57	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	Yes. I'll send to you
302	2/15/17 14:57	lcpage@	ppstrzok@	Can we meet at 11 instead? I am concerned this pre-brief will run over, and I would like to talk to Andy about his 702 materials. I don't think it's urgent re the call recap. We did mention the call occurred
303	2/15/17 14:58	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	Oh yeah. Now I recall. Why would we need to provide this to DOJ?
304	2/15/17 14:59	lcpage@	ppstrzok@	Do you think your discussion with Andy might go into 11, or does he have a hard stop?
305	2/15/17 14:59	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	Flynn's statements
306	2/15/17 14:59	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	He has a hard stop.
307	2/15/17 14:59	lcpage@	ppstrzok@	k. I'll make 11 work
308	2/15/17 14:59	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	Apparently D may speak about leaks
309	2/15/17 20:00	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	You should dial in
310	2/15/17 20:01	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	finishing typhoon update, done in 5 but go ahead and ask here, or I'll call in a sec
311	2/17/17 14:17	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	Talking this morning, you said something about whether to include in the D's prep, and I paused about it, and now I can't remember what it was.
312	2/17/17 14:18	lcpage@	ppstrzok@	I have a swirl of correspondence on SCION from OCA (some you're on, some you're not), asking for info about this. I have a very uneasy feeling that there isn't enough centralized management of our responses/messaging. I am working on responses to HPSCI QFRs right now...
313	2/27/17 19:44	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	So start documenting what you need via email.
314	2/27/17 19:45	lcpage@	ppstrzok@	Hi - hey FYI earlier on the phone, I hope I didn't come across the wrong way - just want info, as Sally and I are trying to call and neither of us has detail on what was decided, eg, are we "suggesting," "asking," or some other term that GSA do it. Are we doing it verbally or in writing, etc.
315	3/1/17 14:22	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	Meeting with the D at 10:30. Please come if you can. I think Trisha is on the Hill, so I am prepared to talk about [REDACTED] thing in case you are not coming. I read it Monday but then was out yesterday. If you are there, I will defer to you.
316	3/1/17 14:49	lcpage@	samoyet@	For the summary for the D - just the USPERs, or include the Russians/intermediaries. That quickly gets both long and complicated. Thinking Papa, Page, Manafort, Flynn, Phares
317	3/1/17 17:10	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	And also changed the first sentence on Flynn to make it less of such a self-evident thing (yeah no sh*t he had access to Trump...)
318	3/1/17 21:40	ppstrzok@	sip:ppstrzok@gruu.org	Thanks for the edits...entering them now
319	3/1/17 22:53	ppstrzok@	paque=app:conf:focus:id:14cnrpbf	Hey could you send me the new annotated Times article?
320	3/2/17 14:43	lcpage@	lcpage@	yes. Call me...
321	3/2/17 15:02	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	watching cnn?
322	3/2/17 15:21	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	Hey have you had a chance to finalize that Razor timeline? If not, can I just get a softcopy of whatever you have? Thx
323	3/2/17 16:37	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	hey can I come down and get a copy of this [REDACTED]?
324	3/2/17 17:39	lcpage@	ppstrzok@	yes. Can you email me the timeline? Or, wait, because I have things to add
325	3/2/17 17:40	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	you watching? he's being an adult so far...
326	3/2/17 17:43	lcpage@	ppstrzok@	Yes - though I missed the beginning as I was photocopying for you :\$
327	3/2/17 17:45	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	We need to fix the D's stuff. Not horrible but not great
328	3/2/17 17:45	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	Not sure if I want to do that, he was very specific in telling me to limit it
329	3/2/17 17:47	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	can we go down together in the context of something, or one follow the other? Let's talk about it
330	3/2/17 17:47	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	Page had contact which WAY preceded his involvement in the campaign
331	3/7/17 20:57	lcpage@		

Senate HSGAC_Transition Req FBI004594

332	3/7/17 20:57	lcpag@			And we didn't see those contacts while the campaign was ongoing.
333	3/8/17 19:32	ppstrzok@			Hey call me
334	3/8/17 19:45	ppstrzok@			Apparently Spicer just got asked if the President was the target of a CI investigation, and he responded, that's what we're trying to find out :]
335	3/13/17 15:49	lcpag@			Are we doing a MYE f/u today?
336	3/13/17 15:49	lcpag@			but only 30 minute
337	3/13/17 15:49	lcpag@			minutes
338	3/13/17 21:49	ppstrzok@			OK. Is JM the right person to talk to about it?
339	3/13/17 21:50	lcpag@			Depends on the question.
340	3/13/17 21:52	ppstrzok@			OK. Who may (/should) I talk to in order to understand our organizational perspective going forward, as well as to ensure our investigative interests (ie, companies get material from Wiki which has not been released that might be of value to us in our investigation, and weighing how that factor plays into what we tell the providers? Trisha may know all of this but she hasn't told anyone. At least, no one actually working it.
341	3/14/17 18:42	ppstrzok@			And now they're getting into request to SSC/HPSCI....where Jim and Trisha would be helpful..
342	3/14/17 18:43	ppstrzok@			Did the request to notify SSC/HPSCI come from DD or D?
343	3/14/17 18:45	ppstrzok@			Where would we stand on just telling SSC/HPSCI that GSA may have information of interest to them?
344	3/14/17 18:55	lcpag@			Not sure where the request to notify HPSCI came from. I think DD's idea, no?
345	3/14/17 18:56	lcpag@			If not him, Baker suggested it to him.
346	3/14/17 18:56	ppstrzok@			NP. Talking with Sally now - need to talk to you before you do to explain something...
347	3/15/17 18:36	ppstrzok@			can now
348	3/15/17 21:23	lcpag@			Kevin is (mostly) completed with the redactions of the Dragon initiation/renewal. We still need copies of the Secondary Orders from OI, and then I will redact those. I have one quick thing to make you aware of when you have a minute.
349	3/16/17 19:52	lcpag@			CHS
350	3/16/17 19:53	ppstrzok@			Hey it's not clear to me from that email if the Mitch stuff got redacted...
351	3/16/17 19:53	lcpag@			is saying that it did.
352	3/17/17 13:40	ppstrzok@			Hi. Looks like Bill going to London
353	3/21/17 14:59	ppstrzok@			Let me know when you have a sec, talked a lot about perceptions of here outside of HQ/WF/DC circles.
354	3/22/17 19:05	ppstrzok@			Nunes speaking now in front of WH. We need to talk about the response to that Mar 15 letter
355	3/24/17 19:11	lcpag@			rando question. Are you/JM working the Russia stuff with HPSCI? Don't need/want specifics.
356	3/27/17 14:20	ppstrzok@			Hey so I may miss you the rest of the morning - Greg mentioned wanting to talk because SSC looking for guidance in their investigation. Andy loathe to do anything: D wanted to see if we could at least get a list of who they're talking to, as they go along - Jim B mentioned offers of immunity screwing things up, he is going to talk to SSC counsel about that topic - Would like to talk about both above topics at MYE meeting, as well as discussion with OGA about OGA - D provided update about convo with Warner on Friday about CH matters - Jim B talked about OGA
357	3/30/17 13:48	lcpag@			Let me know when you want to prep for the D's unmasking briefing
358	3/30/17 13:48	lcpag@			I'm free all morning, but my afternoon is a little tight
359	3/30/17 19:58	lcpag@			Jim Baker advised SSC (during an unrelated call) that FBI has determined that we don't have equities in the O and we defer to our sister agency. Is that consistent with your understanding?
360	3/31/17 17:20	ppstrzok@			btw, forgot to mention this nugget from the news roundup. From The Hill
361	3/31/17 17:23	ppstrzok@			AG Sessions "indicated Thursday evening that the Trump administration would pursue criminal charges to end alleged leaks from U.S. agencies. 'I expect that we'll get to the bottom of some of this,' Sessions said during an interview on Fox News's 'The O'Reilly Factor' ... 'It's not right. We've never seen this kind of leaking.' Sessions continued. 'It's almost as if people think they have a right to violate the law. This has got to end and it will probably take some convictions to put an end to it.'
362	4/5/17 13:41	lcpag@			Also, I now have to go to the weekly A/DAG briefing re Crossfire. It's scheduled 1015-1045, but it has run late the past couple of times.
363	4/5/17 14:06	lcpag@			Can you come to the 11 prep with Baker? Sally might not make it back from Crossfire in time.
364	4/5/17 14:06	lcpag@			Can you come to the 11 prep with Baker? Sally might not make it back from Crossfire in time.

Senate HSGAC_Transition Req FBI004595

365	4/5/17 14:55	kedlinesmith@		Is it in the GC's office?	
366	4/5/17 16:21	lcpag@		I said that the person we spoke about last week going to HPSCI is going to Nunes' office	
367	4/5/17 16:21	lcpag@		he's been a problem for us in the past and very forward leaning.	
368	4/5/17 16:21	lcpag@		Crap.	
369	4/5/17 16:22	lcpag@		FBI is not a fan, at least at the CTD leve	
370	4/5/17 16:22	lcpag@		I will probably share gently with OCA, but will not source it.	
371	4/6/17 14:01	samoyer@		Do you have the written guidance from OIC re the media leaks recusal issue?	
372	4/6/17 14:14	lcpag@		I don't think I do. Let me call Rybicki	
373	4/6/17 14:14	lcpag@		I don't think I do. Let me call Rybicki.	
374	4/6/17 14:15	lcpag@		I'm not certain that there is written guidance, but I'll ask.	
375	4/7/17 13:22	samoyer@		Hey - Do you have a copy of the full Russia report? I read and returned, but now we're using that to prep Bill for his interview on the Hill. I can't find Moffa.	
376	4/7/17 13:22	samoyer@		Hey - Do you have a copy of the full Russia report?? I read and returned, but now we're using that to prep Bill for his interview on the Hill? I can't find Moffa.	
377	4/7/17 14:39	lcpag@		I have the full report.	
378	4/7/17 14:45	samoyer@		Great. Can I get a copy? And also, do you have a TS copier up there? We don't have any in NSLB	
379	4/10/17 23:08	ppstrzok@		Hey I'm leaving but the things I wanted to ask - whether or not we want to go with OGA with your Petraeus point with your point to him living with accuracy of reporting - I was going for a different point but fine as it was; did JR confirm/clarify # of meetings with DAG and AG (just curious); any feedback from f*cked up stuff from AG and DAG contact re media leaks	
380	5/3/17 16:20	lcpag@		[<U+200E>S/<U+200E>3/<U+200E>2017 11:57 AM] (OGC) (FBI): Jonathan, I know this is well outside the scope of my work, but since I know you and know you have the ear of everyone in EM, I just figured I'd highlight quickly. D's testimony referenced Clinton's emails going to "Anthony Weiner" and did not say Weiner's computer. I don't know any aspect of the case, but all prior reporting was that it was on his computer, which he shared with his wife who advised HRC (and thus that made sense). thought it was peculiar at the time, and now press is off and running with it. Just wanted to highlight in case that was a misstatement by the Director (something I know he almost never makes) - again just in case ; takeaway is that emails were being forwarded to weiner's account essentially.	
381	5/3/17 16:20	lcpag@		[75/73/?2017 11:57 AM] (OGC) (FBI): Jonathan, I know this is well outside the scope of my work, but since I know you and know you have the ear of everyone in EM, I just figured I'd highlight quickly. D's testimony referenced Clinton's emails going to "Anthony Weiner" and did not say Weiner's computer. I don't know any aspect of the case, but all prior reporting was that it was on his computer, which he shared with his wife who advised HRC (and thus that made sense).? thought it was peculiar at the time, and now press is off and running with it. Just wanted to highlight in case that was a misstatement by the Director (something I know he almost never makes) - again just in case ; takeaway is that emails were being forwarded to weiner's account essentially.	
382	5/4/17 13:50	ppstrzok@		So, a) wtf is with's response about "yes I talked with DD" about no access in Rome and b) no way we should let go up there, can we send instead?	
383	5/4/17 13:50	lcpag@		haven't read yet	
384	5/4/17 13:57	bjauten@		In terms of cases related to Russia, we mean our specific team's investigations, correct??	
385	5/4/17 13:57	bjauten@		In terms of cases related to Russia, we mean our specific team's investigations, correct?	
386	5/4/17 13:59	lcpag@		So I would list those that are open, and probably highlight that there are other subjects that are not yet open but in consideration.	
387	5/4/17 13:59	bjauten@		Perfect -- can do	
388	5/4/17 14:00	bjauten@		Do we want summaries/status updates, or just list? Seemed like there were two thoughts in the email	
389	5/12/17 13:33	ppstrzok@		Hey did you see the NYT push??	
390	5/12/17 13:34	lcpag@		reading it now	
391	5/12/17 13:34	ppstrzok@		"Trump warn Comey and says he may cancel press briefings	
392	5/12/17 13:35	ppstrzok@		Also he tweeted Comey better hope there arent recordings of our conversations	
393	5/12/17 13:39	ppstrzok@		Wow.	

394	5/12/17 14:55	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Hi Lisa - it's [REDACTED] from the Midyear Exam team. The Acting Director represented us honorably yesterday. I am so grateful he was able to redirect the narrative regarding the internal level of support for Director Comey. I know it helped ease some of my anxiety.
395	5/12/17 17:06	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	Let Andy know that his testimony about Director Comey was like a vitamin B shot to morale around here
396	5/12/17 17:07	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	This morning, we started collecting cards for Comey.
397	5/12/17 17:08	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	That sounds like a great add campaign - Cards for Comey.
398	5/12/17 17:11	[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@	Nice. Source saying D not worried about tapes, that he didn't ask for the meeting, and that he didn't ask to keep his job
399	5/12/17 17:35	[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@	Spicy's back and about to get the podium back from McMaster for questions if you're around....
400	5/12/17 17:42	[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@	Hey serious question: does Trump's tweet to Comey break any law? Witness tampering?
401	5/12/17 18:03	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	Thanks [REDACTED] I will.
402	5/12/17 18:04	[REDACTED]	jcmoffa@	no problem. Just let me know what you need
403	5/12/17 18:18	[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@	Did you know that Wilmer Hale is representing Manafort?
404	5/17/17 15:18	[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@	t
405	5/17/17 15:18	[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@	Found that out yesterday.
406	5/17/17 15:19	[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@	Also Wilmer who is representing Kushner/Ivanka
407	5/17/17 15:19	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	who is representing D?
408	5/17/17 15:19	[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@	beats me
409	5/17/17 15:19	[REDACTED]	ppstrzok@	me
410	5/17/17 15:24	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	Talked to Pete. Will proceed accordingly. We also will have the special FISA package for the D today. Just need to coordinate handing that off for signature at some point.
411	5/17/17 15:25	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	okay, that's easy enough. He should be available around 2 to sign that
412	5/17/17 15:44	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	I did a quick word search and didn't see anything particularly on point. I'm concerned that maybe it was something in closed?
413	5/17/17 15:45	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	I'm reviewing my notes now, but if it was, we're not really going to be able to adequately respond
414	5/17/17 17:44	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	is there time tomorrow, say 3PM or after, that you can schedule a signing for Cross Wind with the Acting Director? It appears the field is still finalizing it today.
415	5/17/17 18:00	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	totally doable. Let's talk later.
416	5/17/17 18:11	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	3:00 crossfire case update with DAG is cancelled
417	5/17/17 18:31	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	Tash is going to ride with the DAG
418	5/22/17 11:27	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	Would you bring the Flynn cuts too?
419	5/23/17 21:36	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	understandably so, but you're going to be with Director Mueller, and at the center of it all. what a very cool opportunity. i was the counsel thrown into the INSD internal review of FBI training materials as directed by Mueller when we had all the scrutiny over anti-muslim training, and you find your footing pretty quickly and realize you know more than you do
420	6/1/17 19:52	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	Hey give me a call re SSCI request
421	6/2/17 14:35	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	PS, I'm on the Special Counsel team now. For better or worse I guess
422	6/7/17 18:07	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	I am listening to CNN essentially read from the comey memos right now.
423	6/7/17 18:08	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	So, this is about that. if you have time I want to tip you off on some things
424	6/7/17 18:08	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	[REDACTED] drives --- UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO
425	6/7/17 18:08	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	I think the A/D needs to lock those down.
426	6/7/17 18:08	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	can you come down here?
427	6/7/17 18:08	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	Yes. OMW.
428	6/7/17 20:14	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	Spoke to baker. Explained that I thought that this issue was not in our purview. I'll confirm with SC team, but in the meantime, he knows you guys should proceed based on FBI equities.
429	6/7/17 20:41	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	ok, thanks
430	6/8/17 13:42	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	LISA! Any chance that you are here at HQ today and planning to watch Comey's testimony? if you are, I would LOVE to sit and watch it with you... what are your plans?
431	6/13/17 18:54	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	God Sessions has that funny hand position...
432	6/13/17 19:02	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	Didn't someone say he DIDNT consult with an ethics official?
433	6/14/17 18:54	[REDACTED]	lcpag@	Do you have an updated Flynn timeline?

Senate HSGAC_Transition Req FBI004597

434	6/28/17 13:39	lcpag@			Oh crap. I might be logged on at SC if you are trying to lync. I'm sitting at HQ right now. Do you have a desk phone handy?
435	6/28/17 15:10	@			Hey Lisa, do you have time for a quick chat? I got an email from SSCI today on an issue and wanted to quickly deconflict with you before reaching out to Stephen.
436	7/19/17 14:32	ppstrzk@			Hey do you have softcopies of the three primary Flynn verbatims?
437	7/19/17 14:33	lcpag@			No, I've only ever had hard copies.
438	7/19/17 14:33	ppstrzk@			OK. Sorta related, how are Andy/your memos about the DAG stuff going? You want me to coord with you or Baker or someone else?
439	7/19/17 14:35	ppstrzk@			K. Also, please, if you have an updated Flynn timeline that you were maintaining, that would be helpfu
440	7/19/17 14:36	lcpag@			Sorry for all the asks...
441	7/19/17 15:11	lcpag@			I don't have an updated timeline. I can send what I have, but I don't recall ever updating it
442	7/19/17 15:11	ppstrzk@			Re memos, I am meeting with Andy at noon, and hope to get the rest of them then
443	7/19/17 15:54	ppstrzk@			OK pls send what you have, I need to dig up my annotated hard copy
444	7/19/17 15:55	ppstrzk@			And yes that would be fine to give to
445	8/3/17 14:09	lcpag@			oh nothing. I just didn't know if you were back. There was a rumor that your TDY was up
446	8/3/17 14:10	lcpag@			From Special Counsel? Yes. I decided not to renew. Trying to actually be a parent for a while
447	8/4/17 15:05	lcpag@			It was some article about people who might be called before the grand jury (was yesterday). It was Andy, Carl, a few others and Andy's attorney
448	8/4/17 15:06	lcpag@			I actually left the Special Counsel team. I need to fix my life
449	8/4/17 15:06	lcpag@			Oh yeah.
450	8/4/17 15:06	lcpag@			where r u
451	8/4/17 15:06	lcpag@			same office in the glass doors
452	8/4/17 15:06	lcpag@			back to working for Andy for now.
453	8/4/17 15:07	lcpag@			I don't think I've spoken to you since the D's firing. Geez, I don't know where the time goes
454	8/4/17 15:07	lcpag@			good. can I call in a sec
455	9/25/17 14:28	samoyer@			Steinbach is not on the emails that are being released (re the MYE statement)

Strzok Lync Messages

Date UTC	From	To	Content Text
2016-06-28 22:02:28	ppstrzok@	jerybicki@	Jim - I have the POTUS - HRC emails D requested at end of briefing yesterday. I hesitate to leave them, please let me know a convenient time to drop them off
2016-07-01 15:42:09	jcmoffa@	ppstrzok@	We need to talk [redacted] when you get back. I got pulled into a conference call with Trainor because he saw me in the hallway...
2016-07-06 21:06:05		ppstrzok@	I have three packets of the (C) e-mails in the review room. I'm doing a quality control check of them now, so they're ready whenever you want/need them. (Kevin has a separate copy for Sally right now.)
2016-07-07 20:20:00		ppstrzok@	[redacted] and I are working on the HRC additions to the paper. Since the material is new, do you want her to send the latest internally first (you, JM, SM)? As opposed to DOJ?
2016-07-11 11:50:34	ppstrzok@	ramains@	Hey I have the Clinton 302 with a couple of very minor edits
2016-07-11 15:09:58	lcpage@	ppstrzok@	Have a couple of mye briefing ideas too
2016-07-12 14:19:36	jcmoffa@	sip:samoyer@	Also, should we get together this morning to talk MYE since we have to go in front of the DD at 1pm tomorrow with some kind of plan?
2016-07-12 14:20:35	samoyer@	pp:conf:focus:id:yn4v2vw	Unfortunately, I have a meeting at 10:30 and 11:45. Want to catch up at noon or before the 1?
2016-07-12 14:21:28	samoyer@	sip:samoyer@	Also, gathering some facts for the meeting tomorrow with the DD.
2016-07-12 14:32:49	jcmoffa@	pp:conf:focus:id:yn4v2vw	I took Jonathan's email as a tasking for OCA (at this point).
2016-07-12 15:30:23	ppstrzok@	pp:conf:focus:id:yn4v2vw	They have a tasking to make a binder summarizing the questions, but doesn't OGC have the ticket on telling him tomorrow what our plan/obligations are for how to answer them? That was my understanding, right?
2016-07-20 21:17:25		ppstrzok@	Varacalli is going. We talked earlier, and are on the same page. While we shouldn't be doing a damage assessment, we need to do some assessment and mitigation work. It's similar to Snowden that way...
2016-07-21 12:22:33	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	Hi Pete, EAD Coleman is asking for the MYE talking points in advance of a trip to Dallas FO. Just checking to see if you were able to satisfy Baker's questions re: the fact-based examples. I will also ping you on UNET (sorry to stalk you)
2016-07-21 13:19:29		ppstrzok@	Does this strike you as odd? I mean, he KNOWS the case, right?
2016-07-21 19:27:50		ppstrzok@	5:17 PM Hi Pete, EAD Coleman is asking for the MYE talking points in advance of a trip to Dallas FO. Just checking to see if you were able to satisfy Baker's questions re: the fact-based examples. I will also ping you on UNET (sorry to stalk you)
2016-07-25 15:47:34		ppstrzok@	I got them from a NY Times article I found on Google that described the charges and the guilty plea - also Priestap sent an email to the EAD, which I can forward to you.
2016-07-27 20:00:26		ppstrzok@	are you all having the MYE review tomorrow?
2016-07-27 20:01:06	ppstrzok@		do we need the MYE meeting today @ 1
2016-07-27 21:08:32		ppstrzok@	Pete - Lisa Page sent an email to Bill requesting immediate review of the MYE Congress response as she is trying to get it out tonight. There were apparently some revisions. Bill may want to know you review and are good or not with language.
			Id did, we worked on it together. There is an issue of granularity at one point but she has flagged and i agree with her comment
			just closing loop... i talked to Bill about the MYE paper. He was fine with you taking the lead. I saw you email to that effect directed to Lisa plus.

2016-07-28 13:49:20	ppstrzok@		so three things I want to talk to you about : 1) [REDACTED] 2) Our open CI investigations relating to Trump's Russian connections 3) Whether two things I bought frames for are OK to hang
2016-07-28 19:30:07	jcmoffa@	lcpage@	I haven't seen it yet. Dealing with the OGA
2016-07-28 19:30:52	ppstrzok@	ppstrzok@	DNC hack?
2016-07-28 19:38:35	jcmoffa@	jcmoffa@	Yep. OGA
2016-07-28 21:12:12	ppstrzok@	ppstrzok@	dnc
2016-07-28 21:12:20	ppstrzok@	jcmoffa@	related to mye
2016-07-29 13:09:16	kheard@	ppstrzok@	do we need MYE today?
2016-08-01 12:20:27	ppstrzok@	jcmoffa@	Talked with [REDACTED] following his email this morning. I think we're fine. Australians want to keep this low key and protect the career din FGI who met with Papadopoulos. DCM is notifying State since FGI I asked Paul to ask DCM to limit dissem at State as much as possible. Hopefully means 60 people instead of 120
2016-08-01 12:27:45	ppstrzok@	ppstrzok@	Pete, I am going to send you the doc I plan on giving DCM Williams -- please tell me if you're good with it
2016-08-01 13:11:09	ppstrzok@	ppstrzok@	Would you ask DCM to classify all discussion of our activity at the S/NF level?
2016-08-01 13:11:27	ppstrzok@	jcmoffa@	I asked Paul to tell DCM to classify all discussion of our activity at the S/NF level
2016-08-01 13:23:13	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	Also, note we asked LEGAT to tell DCM everything about our involvement should be treated as S/NF
2016-08-01 13:23:34	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	Also asked that he find out from DCM who he was sending to at main State how much did Mains get awarded for MYE? I heard it wasn't much. We have
2016-08-01 15:21:54	bjvandeun@	ppstrzok@	hours of Time Off left I could add to whatever you're giving him if so
2016-08-02 12:19:42	ramains@	ppstrzok@	Pete - hit me up when able to talk about closing MYE and opening the new referral from Congress. Thanks
2016-08-04 19:54:07	kheard@	ppstrzok@	do you know what time the meeting for MYE at congress on 8/12 is?
2016-08-08 14:07:39	ppstrzok@	jpienka@	Hey I talked with Sally after the meeting re approvals. For the first few weeks, would you run paper past her before serializing? I'd like to ensure we get the right phrasing/detail/focus as we start out, particularly with regard to some of the stickiness surrounding the campaign and protected political activity stuff. Thanks I saw Pete, but the gist is, we were going to publish an article for the Director and Deputy tomorrow providing the historical context of Soviet and Russian intelligence targeting of US elections. Per the OGA this morning, one of McDonough's two main questions is "What has Russia done in the past." This piece was just intended to give the [REDACTED] that context before we give it to the WH. OGA
2016-08-08 16:13:16	jcmoffa@	sip:jcmoffa@	[REDACTED] nd thinks the whole thing is stupid. I'll send it to you both on the high side. I'm totally not understanding why it is so offensive. CyD appears to be missing the point.
2016-08-09 23:05:10	samoyer@	p:conf:focus:id:8d5h510r	Do you have the opening for Crossfire Hurricane?
2016-08-09 23:55:10	ppstrzok@	samoyer@	Its in Sentinel. I think you have access
2016-08-10 00:02:49	ppstrzok@	samoyer@	(S/OC/NF) Based on the information provided by Legat London, this investigation is being opened to determine whether individual (s) associated with the Trump campaign are witting of and/or coordinating activities with the Government of Russia.
2016-08-10 00:03:52	ppstrzok@	samoyer@	Sent the opening to both of you

2016-08-10 13:00:02	jpiientka@	sip:jpiientka@	Also my squad called - we run a very reliable CHS - [REDACTED] who has a
2016-08-10 15:08:19	keclinesmith@	pp:conf:focus:85mzvchd	that can obtain the [REDACTED] file on FURY...cost would be \$15K.
2016-08-10 22:11:43	ppstrzok@	jpiientka@	MIDYEAR EXAM Final Draft LHM VD (accepted) --- SECRET//NOFORN
2016-08-10 22:34:12	ppstrzok@	lcpage@	Let's send early, give him the option. And don't worry about me - you're doing great, believe me this is night and day compared to MYE. I left him a VM just now closing the loop, Moffa for Clinton, and me for Trump.
2016-08-15 13:53:05		ppstrzok@	If he wants just one, we'll go with Jon Pete. OPA called this AM about DOJ and/or FBI providing our FBI HRC interview notes to Congress. [REDACTED] wanted to know how OPA should respond if contacted by media outlets. OPA would prefer having a prepared response which indicates we did, did not, or are planning to release the note and to whom. Short answer is we are not providing interview notes. What we want to say publicly about that is a different matter. Would you ask [REDACTED] to send an email to the MYE distro list she (the one where Rybicki and Lisa Page are ccc'd) has asking the question? Thanks
2016-08-15 14:30:45	ppstrzok@	mlaird@	Also, need to ask them to see if they're able to provide someone here to bump Papadopoulos ASAP.
2016-08-16 14:16:29	ppstrzok@		Briefed D yesterday, he's in as much info as soon as possible mode
2016-08-16 14:16:46	ppstrzok@		For people here to bump Papadopoulos
2016-08-16 14:41:57	ppstrzok@	ppstrzok@	Pete - are you around to discuss MVE?
2016-08-16 16:42:53			OGA [REDACTED]
2016-08-16 19:49:29	mfvaracalli@	ppstrzok@	OGA [REDACTED]
2016-08-17 18:19:25	ppstrzok@		OGA [REDACTED]
2016-08-17 18:55:58		ppstrzok@	OGA [REDACTED]
2016-08-23 12:37:48	jpiientka@	ppstrzok@	thoughts from you regarding what I write-up if anything regarding the CI brief I gave? Assessment on RAZOR as he is a subject, but leave the other two out other than I provided a CI brief? I think it prudent to document what I said and admonished them of...especially if any of the classified is ever on CNN, debate, etc and is referred to the BU. EC or 302?
2016-08-23 14:41:44	ppstrzok@	jpiientka@	Def let's write up. I think an EC should be OK. Do you envision anything
2016-08-23 18:07:09	jpiientka@	ppstrzok@	testimonial in there? If Sally or Kevin have strong opinions I'd defer to them
2016-08-23 18:07:26	jpiientka@	ppstrzok@	Democratic candidate - Saturday 9/11 at White Plains RA
2016-08-23 18:07:50	jpiientka@	ppstrzok@	dont know +2 yet
2016-08-23 18:07:55	jpiientka@	ppstrzok@	Pence looking like FBI Indianapolis on 9/2
2016-08-23 18:08:14	jpiientka@	ppstrzok@	dont know +2
2016-08-23 18:08:23	jpiientka@	ppstrzok@	Trump part 2 - next week in NY Tues, Weds or Thurs...
2016-08-23 22:48:18	jpiientka@	ppstrzok@	Kaine - nothing yet
2016-08-23 22:48:21	ppstrzok@	jpiientka@	manafort
			Where?

2016-08-30 23:02:54	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Kids are genius At this time, Flynn interjected, "I did SIGINT." Writer responded that he could then appreciate what our country can do technically to exploit a Tier 1 target and we must extrapolate a Foreign Intelligence Service could do the same to us. Trump then stated, "Yes I understand its a dark time. OGA We used to lock things in a safe in a room, now anyone can get in. My son is ten years old. OGA
2016-09-16 17:06:17	samoyer@		ppstrzok@	Moffa and I are meeting with ODAG about access to the OGA at 1:30. We may be a little late for the 2:30 CH meeting. I do have something I'll need to raise with you about CH EVI just sent Joe M to get with Joe P on something that just came up: and agent in IOD was out to dinner recently and sat next to, what she later learned was DOS A/S Toria Nunland and Senator Corker. overheard discussions about Trump, etc. Toria is in my NSC meetings over the harassment and was the big decision maker on the PNG, etc after the assault. not sure if any issue but something work looking at
2016-09-20 19:56:21			ppstrzok@	Also, they will want to discuss the Russian DNC hack as well. As soon as I receive the OCAs piece which will be provided to the D, I will forward to you. Hey can you bring by the OGA which details the Russian and the German? Thanks You heard Russians back on DNC?
2016-09-20 21:01:20	cfmcgonigal@		ppstrzok@	Any updates before I walk upstairs? (eg Deripaska positive contact, CG cyber stuff?)
2016-09-21 12:11:07	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	however, this is a cut and paste from CG at 3PM for you guys: Miami followed up this morning with Central Dynamics who confirmed that the mail1.trump-email.com domain is an old domain that was set up in approximately 2009 when they were doing business with Trump Organization that was never used. They released the domain via GoDaddy to the Trump Organization over a year ago; however, the DNS tables were not updated and that domain still pointed to Central Dynamics servers. As of this afternoon, a WHOIS look-up revealed that the mail1.trump-email.com no longer resolves to Central Dynamics, indicating they likely updated their DNS tables after the FBI informed them of the oversight. This email domain is no longer pointing to any active mail server. Central Dynamics provided reviewed a picture of a Barracuda (spam filter) service connected to server [trump-email.com]. The information displayed by the Barracuda spam filter for trump-email.com indicates that during an unspecified time period, 15 inbound emails were received, 1 was allowed to pass through the filter, and 1 outbound email was marked as spam and blocked. The information provided only reflects email smtp traffic, and we have requested that Miami obtain logs for the email server on which the domain was residing to identify whether or not there was any other traffic (non-smtp) that indicates malware or another ALEA BANK traffic (including the alleged DNS queries) residing on the server OGA
2016-09-22 22:20:50	ppstrzok@		ppstrzok@	
2016-09-23 18:25:52	ppstrzok@		jpiientka@	
2016-09-23 19:49:17	jpiientka@		ppstrzok@	

2016-09-23 22:21:23	ppstrzok@		bjaute@	Loking at the Yahoo article, I would definitely say at a minimum Crown's reports should be viewed as intended to influence as well as to inform\
2016-09-27 14:22:26			ppstrzok@	SAP in HRC's account: All but one. SAP in Sullivan's account: No. I spoke with Iris and think explained generally how we're approaching the broad election issue, and I hope corrected any misperception. I think she (don't know to what extent Tash is) is looking for more regular info. Some of that desire I get given Andy is updating the DAG every M/W/F. I offered that we're meeting with NSD once a week to discuss the election/influence activities (we're expanding the Crossfire discussion to include Cyber as I understand Carlin and were caught unaware by the Yahoo! publicity).
2016-09-28 21:36:17	ppstrzok@			But I don't want to get in the middle of an information battle between ODAG and NSD.
2016-09-28 21:36:19	ppstrzok@			Hey Steve mentioned last night Victoria Nuland received something from a US Ambo somewhere that he/she had received from a investigative firm made up of former UK IOs. Said it related to Trump, and she was going to give it to him but was called into a meeting. Steve mentioned having grab it - bottom line, we need it today (have folks traveling OCONUS on Sat on potentially the same reporting).
2016-09-30 14:09:12	ppstrzok@		jdmarrasco@	Any update from Mitch?
2016-10-17 17:01:55	ppstrzok@		jpietka@	update: 2 hour meeting. 10:45-12:45. Subject staying in reston and has meeting downtown at 4PM. NFI, however SSG is on. Overall cagey. No straight answers. Parced words/threaded the needle very similar to the letter and other interactions. Most interesting nuggets - did not deny knowing Sechin. Steve says hard to explain but audio will convey, there was a line where Mitch asked DRAGON to help him invite Sechin to Acmbidge, DRAGON advised his attorneys would be wary of such an act. he outright denied knowing Deveykin.
2016-10-17 17:57:42	jpietka@		ppstrzok@	Also mentioned his most campaign career will be to start a think tank - along lines of counter to current US policy. More inline with Russia and his Op Ed piece. When it came to money he inferred he had an open check...but didnt say who was paying, he is aware the other candidate has hired Pls to look into him and he knows they are doing it in London....
2016-10-17 18:00:04	jpietka@		ppstrzok@	UK - claimed to be staying with friends in UK and has an interview on Monday. We have a specific town he will be staying and willrelay to paul.
2016-10-17 18:00:51	jpietka@		ppstrzok@	Pretoria - again cagey - just mentioned a couple of meetings.
2016-10-17 18:01:12	jpietka@		ppstrzok@	Claimed to have two passports. Im unfamiliar with the practice but mentioned one had a "leave to remain" stamp in it for UK. Will clarify.
2016-10-17 18:02:02	jpietka@		ppstrzok@	Team will have more this afternoon and will be back around 3PM.
2016-10-17 18:02:24	jpietka@		ppstrzok@	We will work to process and get highlight reel up.
2016-10-17 18:02:39	jpietka@		ppstrzok@	steve and are back
2016-10-17 19:06:12	jpietka@		ppstrzok@	And guess what? DOJ still hasn't moved Dragon FISA past DAG...
2016-10-18 10:44:13	ppstrzok@		jcmoffa@	Hey let me know when you're at your desk, I'll come up and we can input JB and s comments into the TPs and get them to Rybicki prior to our 111 here working the timeline and Woodings what we can short of the cert copy reading dragon fisa
2016-10-18 14:14:43	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Hi do you have the Midyear talking points you drafted for the SACs way back when? Looking for general case overview information. Thanks
2016-10-19 09:44:37	jpietka@		ppstrzok@	
2016-10-19 12:08:50	ppstrzok@		jcmoffa@	
2016-10-19 13:40:22	ppstrzok@		meryan2@	

2016-10-19 15:43:22					Pete - Cyber is asking for information regarding the Romanian server that housed the Blumenthal emails. The latest release of 302s resulted in a request to LEGAT by the Romanians. We have the info but I wanted to check with you as to how much we can release.
2016-10-19 19:52:28	ppstrzok@				I think we can. I'd defer to CYD. Is it pretty much the info that was on thumb drive that the WF CHS gave us?
2016-10-19 20:07:07	ppstrzok@				Yes. it is all on the thumb drive. I ran it by Kevin so that is how Sally knows. Are you going tonight?
2016-10-21 17:28:22	sip:ppstrzok@			gruu;opaque=a	it's all over the news that among John Podesta's hacked gmails are ones between him and Obama going back to at least 2008. I wonder if the Obama email account is this same Yahoo account that Chris is now talking about?
2016-10-24 17:49:35	dpieper@			gruu;opaque=a	Are you referring to Midyear?
2016-10-24 22:42:14	ppstrzok@				Bob- for MYE, I'm trying to pull out from the way back time machine the date we met with INSD and provided the 302s. I remember meeting the guy in your office. Do you (or Myra) still have your old calendar? I have a note to myself on 9/4/15 that I needed to do so. Thanks. I hate this case...
2016-10-26 19:08:41	sip:ppstrzok@			gruu;opaque=a	27 confirmed classified TOTAL (26 to ClintonEmail, 1 to Yahoo): - 6 of the 27 were SECRET then (4 of which remain SECRET now and 2 of which are CONFIDENTIAL) - 21 of the 27 were CONFIDENTIAL then (16 of which remain CONFIDENTIAL now and 5 of which are UNCLASS or FOUO)
2016-10-26 19:45:43	sip:ppstrzok@			gruu;opaque=a	And point of clarification, we are just talking about Huma's ClintonEmail or Yahoo accounts? Do we care how many of the Confirmed Classified were in her State.gov? That will take a lot longer to figure out since we didn't track that on the spreadsheet.
2016-10-26 20:16:09	ppstrzok@			gruu;opaque=a	And yes, just the clintonemail and yahoo for now
2016-10-26 20:30:22	ppstrzok@				Hi [REDACTED] are you there, by any chance is Sally with you? Or re whether DOJ restrictions on sharing crim info w/ WH applies to [REDACTED] Thanks
2016-10-31 17:08:37	ppstrzok@				Bill also said DD said MYE brief may get pushed till tomorrow
2016-11-01 15:09:31	jcmoffa@			gruu;opaque=a	She was with me and now she is headed down to the 11am with DOJ in 4017 I believe. We were briefing in the MYE review team.
2016-11-07 18:01:40	samoyer@				I won't be able to meet at 2:30 anyway. I have two other meetings at that time. One with Baker so hoping to follow up with him on the [REDACTED] OGA
2016-11-08 14:25:29	jcmoffa@			gruu;opaque=a	Great. Can we steal him to go see the Crossfire and Russia program guys? The only ones in SIOC are the CD social media people and the multi-division command post (I assume that is who he is going to see). We are also supposed to be in Cyber meeting at 11am but I'll skip it if he has time to come see the team...
2016-11-10 13:07:23	ppstrzok@			gruu;opaque=a	Are we having a CH intel SVTC this afternoon?
2016-11-28 13:16:15	ppstrzok@				I'd like to get together this morning to discuss London. Would 10:30/11:00 work?
2016-11-28 15:17:22	ppstrzok@				Hey what IR# have we been using for this years Crossfire travel?
2016-11-28 15:41:22	mfaracalli@				[REDACTED] which is now completely depleted as a result.
2016-11-28 15:47:24	ppstrzok@				OK I will ask Lori to plus it up Thanks
2016-11-28 19:08:26	jcmoffa@				Yo. I have this memo laying out past/future Flynn investigation that the AD wanted today. You want to see it before I hand it to him?

2016-12-12 16:09:09			ppstrzok@		Pete-Sec/DHS is sitting down to speak with WaPo's David Ignatius tomorrow to speak about the Russian hack issue...we have an opportunity to clarify some things through the Secretary if desired...you have about fifteen minutes today to huddle with myself and Mike?
2016-12-16 14:42:38	jpiotka@		ppstrzok@		Just passing this along as I write the Ohr 302 from Monday. Just want you to know this narrative is out there: Simpson received a bizarre tip on December 9 that the initial impetus to look at Anthony Weiner's computer came from FGI
2016-12-16 14:53:56	ppstrzok@		jcmoffa@		Simpson is not sure whether to believe this. Hey stop by, DD wants Crown added to material we're providing to CIA/DNI influence report
2016-12-19 15:45:01	ppstrzok@				Hey Paul, need to talk. hit me here when you can. BLUF - I need to talk to FGI
2016-12-19 16:24:36	ppstrzok@		jpiotka@		at the FGI about using his sh*t in the report POTUS has asked for Where are we in interview of Winer?
2016-12-19 23:10:07			ppstrzok@		I can ask you this over lync. Steinbach is covering a deputies secure call tomorrow from 10 to 11. My hunch is that the call will cover every potential cost we could impost on Russia in the next couple weeks. My question: is it a bad idea to invite Laycock to sit in for the call? Would you or Bill prefer to sit in for CD without Laycock?
2016-12-20 16:03:29	jpiotka@		ppstrzok@		Pete - also forgot to mention: Regarding our discussions with OI specifically
2016-12-20 20:43:52	ppstrzok@		lcpage@		Apparently they are doing us a solid by meeting with us on continued discussions re: TYPHOON. they've made it clear would not support even our discussions let alone an app...
2017-01-03 11:24:27	ppstrzok@		jcmoffa@		Papadopoulos Hey can you send me that FGI reporting? I don't have it
2017-01-03 20:54:16	samoyer@		ppstrzok@		How do you want to handle this SKorea case out of Atlanta? Do you want it to go to the special "Crossfire Unit" or should it stay with CD4? If it's a CD4 case, do you want to handle this as a close hold (NSLs, etc done outside the system)?
2017-01-03 21:50:09	ppstrzok@		samoyer@		I want this and in CD4. Ned to convince Bill
2017-01-03 21:55:11	samoyer@		ppstrzok@		Got it. These should definitely be in CD4. They are traditional 65 or FARA cases. We can't work the entire administration out of one unit (esp a unit that (1) is focused on the Russian side of things and (2) has no real prosecution or espionage experience)). Ok. Off my soapbox.
2017-01-03 21:57:36	ppstrzok@		samoyer@		He's still stuck on having it all together, but I think i saw some daylight fo rnon-Russia stuff
2017-01-03 21:57:50	ppstrzok@		samoyer@		I'm worried when Flynn blabs himself into a legit 65
2017-01-03 21:58:13	samoyer@		ppstrzok@		Yeah, there's absolutely no reason to have the non-Russia stuff with this special unit.
2017-01-03 21:58:21	samoyer@		ppstrzok@		If he hasn't already....
2017-01-03 21:59:58	ppstrzok@		samoyer@		I'm angry at him about it. I told him before Xmas I wanted to keep OGA and laid out all the reasons its bad to have a Trump Unit (which is what it would become) rather than a Russian influence one. Did it again with Moffa this morning. Seemed to have a little more impact. Need to talk to a third time
2017-01-03 22:00:24	ppstrzok@		samoyer@		And I still cant get the OGA which apparently went to Jen and she's not talking about it

2017-01-03 22:01:00	samoyer@		ppstrzok@	Yeah, a "Trump Unit" is a REALLY bad idea. [REDACTED] unit needs to look at the Russian threat in a different way. But our cases have to resolve back to the units that have expertise.
2017-01-03 22:05:50	ppstrzok@		jboone@	Hey do you have the OGA (or extended reporting) on [REDACTED] corresponding to the OGA sent on the 30th? Want to talk to you (and Bill) about the disposition of the OGA ... would like to house it in 4C
2017-01-04 19:11:22	ppstrzok@		jpienka@	Hey if you havent closed Fury, don't do it yet
2017-01-04 19:12:33	ppstrzok@		jpienka@	Sorry RAZOR
2017-01-04 19:23:46	bjauten@		ppstrzok@	[REDACTED] has been handling RAZOR's closure -- do you want me to reach out to him?
2017-01-04 19:37:01	ppstrzok@		jpienka@	they're trying to decide if the contact between CR and Kislyak merits a crimes report to DOJ based on biolating 953
2017-01-04 22:59:11	ppstrzok@		mfaracalli@	Hey got clarity on what legacy Crossfire cases are going where. Let's discuss when you have a sec. I have a D brief tomorrow at 9
2017-01-05 16:44:27	ppstrzok@		sip:ppstrzok@	Hey can one of you source the info about Putin using the roof of Trump Tower in NY for secure comms back to rodina?
2017-01-05 16:46:17	ppstrzok@		pp:conf:focus:id:2m0vb8v1	What?!! God I want to listen to this
2017-01-05 16:46:34	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	No, we may assign him somewhere else, don't send yet
2017-01-05 23:12:18	ppstrzok@		bjauten@	Hi Brian, do you have the most recent CROWN report grid with receipt dates?
2017-01-05 23:53:28	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Thanks
2017-01-05 23:53:46	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Rgr. Let me know if he's square with the Crown timing. D seemed interested from the standpoint of defending when we knew, what we did, etc.
2017-01-06 16:15:12	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	I suppose we should consider a more detailed timeline. Or maybe just wait for when the time comes
2017-01-06 16:15:35	ppstrzok@		sip:ppstrzok@	Pls I need a copy of a cheat sheet FGI gave us with Crown sourcing, as well as to give you something
2017-01-06 21:51:56	ppstrzok@		pp:conf:focus:id:fd6nspmb	Will bring it down in just a sec
2017-01-09 21:43:38	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	This re holding copy of report for Trump people? If not, need to talk to you, too
2017-01-09 21:44:35	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Story no longer Sciutto, now Evan Perez.
2017-01-09 21:45:24	lcpage@		ppstrzok@	Internal nervousness about nature of allegations
2017-01-09 22:17:04	ppstrzok@			Also Carl Bernstein (yes that Carl) called OPA, said he got a "dossier" from McCain
2017-01-11 21:19:31	ppstrzok@			Awesome. Let Carl run it down then.
2017-01-11 21:19:48	ppstrzok@			OK relayed FGI they will hold off for now
2017-01-11 21:21:15	ppstrzok@			WSJ identity has published identity and current employment of source
2017-01-11 21:21:33	ppstrzok@			sorry, strike the first "identity" above
2017-01-11 21:22:28	ppstrzok@			Thanks Pete - I bumped into Steve in the corridor who let me know
2017-01-11 22:06:07	ppstrzok@			FGI
2017-01-11 22:06:22	ppstrzok@			I haven't heard anything further from FGI on this. Still a requirement from Neil to get hold of the report if possible
				Hi John, you there? I have [REDACTED] with me
				Excellent...let me call you...

2017-01-12 13:41:09	jpientak@	ppstrzok@	HEIDE, CURTIS A. (CG) (FBI) 8:21 AM I know you're not point on this anymore, but typhoon got a call from the VP at Fox News yesterday, who advised that the government was conducting "checks" on him a few months back. i haven't listened to the exact audio, but i'm guess that's the FARA checks that we did with DOJ on our 4 main guys; especially given the article that you pushed yesterday. (S//NF) The CHS has booked travel to Las Vegas, for both himself and CROSSFIRE TYPHOON. The two plan to travel out on the morning of January 13, 2017 and return to Chicago on the evening of January 14, 2017. FBI Chicago has identified them to be booked on SOUTHWEST AIRLINES flights SW 1633 and SW 4707, respectively. The CHS further reserved one hotel room for their travel, which Chicago has identified as the LINQ HOTEL, located at 3535 South Las Vegas Boulevard, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109. sorry.? was out and just got your email and IM.? will send Huma draft now and I will check the low side and get back to you. Hey, you up for lunch? Saw tomorrow's out and Thurs will be dicey. Also, want to talk to you about what to do with Pence statement re. RAZOR.... Sigh. I don't know if that is a good thing. No one having anything?
2017-01-12 20:07:57	bjaute@	ppstrzok@	Hi - sorry I missed you yesterday. About to email you questions for Andy to think about in advance of his call with Flynn. I'm sure he's thought of them already, but just in case
2017-01-13 19:35:07		ppstrzok@	Any feedback on timing for DD-Flynn call? I'd appreciate it, as Joe is sitting on my sofa on short standby and loving his opportunity for an impromptu DAD shadow program...
2017-01-17 14:45:27	ppstrzok@	lcpag@	hahha. No timing yet. I'm still trying to connect with Flynn's office to set up the call. I left a message for Flynn's EA to call me.
2017-01-18 20:30:03	lcpag@	ppstrzok@	I'll let you know as soon as I know.
2017-01-18 20:30:17	ppstrzok@	lcpag@	Pete-when you get sec, need to get your opinion on how to navigate queries on Flynn. No rush...4-5035.
2017-01-24 11:46:07	ppstrzok@		I still have your Flynn cut
2017-01-24 13:56:33	ppstrzok@	sip:ppstrzok@ pp:conf:focus:id:z3srtdz	Hey per DD, good to go to interview TYPHOON. Let's get it done tomorrow. Bottom line, need to get to the point in the interview where he's discussing allegations the Russians offered assistance to the Trump camp. If they can get him there without direct question, great. But if he doesn't go there, that question needs to be directly asked.
2017-01-24 13:57:20		sip:ppstrzok@ pp:conf:focus:id:z3srtdz	Let's talk this morning about TYPHOON.? I thought about this last night and given the fact that the focus is on the Russian angle, I think we should shift it to [REDACTED]'s team for now as that aspect plays out.? Particularly if we're looking at the DRAGON ties.? If it washes and the interest in only 303, then it would go back.? Keeping it apart though is causing a hole for the team now.
2017-01-24 13:57:33		sip:ppstrzok@ pp:conf:focus:id:z3srtbdz	
2017-01-25 15:51:29		ppstrzok@	
2017-01-25 21:39:13	ppstrzok@	lcpag@	
2017-01-27 00:30:03	ppstrzok@	mfvacalli@	
2017-01-27 13:03:17	jcbone@	ppstrzok@	

2017-01-27 13:30:48	ppstrzok@		Let's talk. I think our anticipation is he has visibility into a conversation about the Russian offer of help (and I'd be willing to bet if he talks, he fingers Page or Manafort), and the great majority of what we're seeing him doing is with regard to Israel - his meetings, his alleged clandestine activity, working towards the FISA, everything else. Plus, we decided on it a few weeks ago - though I'm not sure why that message never got to [REDACTED] as much as my guys had some frustration that he continues to reach out to Curtis - and I don't want to switch up on the literal day of the interview. Maybe see what he has to say and consider then? As far as a hole, I told Mike and [REDACTED] yesterday, I expected them to be sharing everything about him with [REDACTED]
2017-01-27 14:30:11	jdboone@	jdboone@	Concur with most but let's talk
			BOONE, JENNIFER C. (CD) (FBI) 8:03 AM Let's talk this morning about TYPHOON. I thought about this last night and, given the fact that the focus is on the Russian angle, I think we should shift it to [REDACTED]'s team for now as that aspect plays out. Particularly if we're looking at the DRAGON ties. If it washes and the interest in only 303, then it would go back. Keeping it apart though is causing a hole for the team now. STRZOK, PETER P. (CD) (FBI) 8:30 AM Let's talk. I think our anticipation is he has visibility into a conversation about the Russian offer of help (and I'd be willing to bet if he talks, he fingers Page or Manafort), and the great majority of what we're seeing him doing is with regard to Israel - his meetings, his alleged clandestine activity, working towards the FISA, everything else. Plus, we decided on it a few weeks ago - though I'm not sure why that message never got to [REDACTED] inasmuch as my guys had some frustration that he continues to reach out to Curtis - and I don't want to switch up on the literal day of the interview. Maybe see what he has to say and consider then? As far as a hole, I told Mike and [REDACTED] yesterday, I expected them to be sharing everything about him with [REDACTED] BOONE, JENNIFER C. (CD) (FBI) 9:30 AM Concur with most but let's talk
2017-01-27 14:55:19	ppstrzok@	jcmoffa@	Yeah. She came by. Talked about all his connections with Page and Millian. I said let's see his demeanor today. If he's willing to work with us against either of them, I'll reconsider. I would be more sympathetic if there weren't 25 higher priority unaddressed things we're not doing. Is there a case on RT opened? What are we doing about Millian? There's a lot of focus on I Want to Do What You're Doing and not so much on I want to do what no ones doing right now
2017-01-27 15:53:32	ppstrzok@	jcmoffa@	There is a case on RT out of WFO. No clue what is happening with it. Millian does not appear to have been advanced at all.
2017-01-27 17:15:07	jcmoffa@	ppstrzok@	FYSA, CG is talking to TYPHOON.
2017-01-27 17:56:32	mfvaracalli@	ppstrzok@	His mom wasn't going to let him go to the FBI office to talk, but he went anyway. : -)
2017-01-27 17:57:09	mfvaracalli@	ppstrzok@	Also, the text data was provided to [REDACTED] this morning.
2017-01-27 17:57:26	mfvaracalli@	ppstrzok@	Ha, that's funny re Typhoon. That's what you get for not listening to mom...:)
2017-01-27 18:18:46	ppstrzok@	mfvaracalli@	FYI, just clarified/verified with FBI CG that Joseph Mifsud stated to TYPHOON it was the "Russians" who had the e-mails.
2017-01-27 23:00:31	mfvaracalli@	ppstrzok@	VARACALLI, MICHAEL F. (CD) (FBI) 6:00 PM FYI, just clarified/verified with FBI CG that Joseph Mifsud stated to TYPHOON it was the "Russians" who had the e-mails.
2017-01-27 23:03:07	ppstrzok@	jcmoffa@	[REDACTED] called over to WFO to be sure the digital copies of the calls were being passed. ? He was told Varacalli had gotten them.
2017-01-30 18:42:01	jdboone@	ppstrzok@	

2017-01-30 20:10:19	mfvaracalli@		ppstrzok@	FYSA: CD-1 opened a 105H on MISFUD, OO:CG, handled by Curtis. We'll work closely with CD-1 to ensure good coordination as we continue with TYPHOON.
2017-01-30 20:43:47	ppstrzok@		lcboone@	yep got them
2017-01-30 21:15:28	mfvaracalli@		ppstrzok@	Any insight into how the DD's meeting with Flynn went regarding [REDACTED] Friday evening?
2017-02-01 19:31:41	samoyer@		ppstrzok@	Are you around? I'd like to talk to you (and maybe Varacalli and Moffa) before advising Curtis. See email.
2017-02-01 22:32:12	mfvaracalli@		ppstrzok@	CG is reaching out to TYPHOON via phone to try to get a one-on-one meet. If he wants to meet us with an attorney present, we may send Sally or Kevin tomorrow to join Curtis in any interview.
2017-02-01 22:42:24	samoyer@		ppstrzok@	Sibh. Everyday we get something new. Remind me to tell you about (1) OGA and (2) JK criminal case re Russian oligarch with ties to former CD1 SC and others. (I believe Mike V is aware of this and may have already briefed you).
2017-02-01 22:58:10	samoyer@		ppstrzok@	OGA as recently as yesterday. Field may be looking for guidance from CD as to what to put in our response (this doesn't even include the fact that he hit on our CH 97 case).
2017-02-01 23:05:14	mfvaracalli@		ppstrzok@	TYPHOON has opted to meet without an attorney and is meeting with Curtis @ 1800 (now).
2017-02-01 23:27:51	samoyer@		ppstrzok@	Yeah. [REDACTED] has all the facts and details. Maybe we can do a lync call with field tomorrow or Friday. I'll touch base with you tomorrow.
2017-02-01 23:37:35	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Ah. Yeah, that sucks. I get it. Can I stop by at some point and finish reading that transcript? Not urgent, and I suppose people are milling around still up there.
2017-02-01 23:37:55	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Have someone taking the cuts to WH tomorrow
2017-02-01 23:37:59	lcpage@		ppstrzok@	yes, but there are a million people still milling.
2017-02-01 23:38:05	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	And they're talking to TYPHOON now, without an atty
2017-02-02 18:47:46	ppstrzok@		sip:ppstrzok@gruu;opaque=a	Hey heads up per [REDACTED] WH is ripsh*t about leak of contents of call between P and foreign counterpart and are urgently asking process for media leak referral. I haven't yet told Bill but will as soon as a call I'm on is done. To the extent we have people sharing information of this nature with us, we need to be very cautious about it. Including caution about reaching out to them now. Think about it now, let's discuss later
2017-02-02 18:53:14			sip:ppstrzok@gruu;opaque=a	I'm not. I just talked to Kevin and he said he heard they were concerned about leaks of the transcripts from calls with Mexico and Australia.
2017-02-02 18:53:41	ppstrzok@		sip:ppstrzok@gruu;opaque=a	He gave me a different presentation of the facts
2017-02-02 18:53:51	ppstrzok@		ppstrzok@	But I think WHC is involved.
2017-02-02 23:28:44	mfvaracalli@		ppstrzok@	Did you get the TYPHOON update from them when you spoke to [REDACTED] /Kevin? If not, Curtis contacted TYPHOON and he said he wanted to meet with his attorney. Curtis is trying to get a few minutes with him at least...otherwise, we'll try to get both him and his attorney and have the attorney sign an NDA.
2017-02-02 23:59:41	mfvaracalli@		ppstrzok@	And Dina said she went to defensively brief Hope Hicks. With the EAD. Face time Super. She asked me for the write-up we did on Hope Hicks and what we found about her in the system. So I guess that's where she went.
2017-02-03 21:07:47	ppstrzok@		sip:lcpage@gruu;opaque=app	
2017-02-03 21:09:17	jcmoffa@		sip:lcpage@gruu;opaque=app	

2017-02-03 21:12:10	ppstrzok@		sip:lcpage@ :conf:focus:id:4gsvchb2	BOONE, JENNIFER C. (CD) (FBI) 12:29 PM Do you have the cuts (or summary of cuts) re Hicks? STRZOK, PETER P. (CD) (FBI) 12:29 PM I don't think so. Didn't know there were any.... BOONE, JENNIFER C. (CD) (FBI) 12:33 PM what jon referenced in the 1100s when we were still in the big conf room no worries. i'll ask moffa what he has
2017-02-03 21:19:55			sip:ppstrzok@ pp:conf:focus:id:4gsvchb2	managed to piss off both Steinbach and Flynn on the same day.
2017-02-03 21:20:04	ppstrzok@		sip:ppstrzok@ pp:conf:focus:id:4gsvchb2	What did he do to Flynn?
2017-02-03 21:20:21			sip:ppstrzok@ pp:conf:focus:id:4gsvchb2	Flynn expected the brief and was told it wouldn't happen because of Kevin.
2017-02-08 22:28:20	mfvaracalli@		ppstrzok@	Sent you an e-mail message about Dale Killinger briefing Flynn this afternoon. Any insight into that? Can't make this stuff up... FYSA, I learned that FBI Insider Threat Section Chief Dale Killinger provided a briefing to Flynn this afternoon. I am not sure of the content of the briefing, but can assume it was related to how FBI handles insider threat-CD type issues, and thought you should be aware.
2017-02-08 22:57:33	ppstrzok@			Unable to get any validation on either rumor.... no Econ EO, no Killinger brief.? Went up to Flynn's office on both.
2017-02-09 00:00:34			ppstrzok@	I hope it'll settle out once all the 'Obama people' are flushed out. (Whenever I hear that term, I wonder if they're including me...)
2017-02-09 00:04:12			ppstrzok@	hey are you here to try and talk MYE for 10 minutes?
2017-02-09 14:21:50	ppstrzok@		jcmoffa@	Did we hear that WashPo has FISA transcripts of Kislyak calls?
2017-02-09 20:41:38			ppstrzok@	Pete: Met with WaPo re: the matter we discussed via Lync last week, received assurance they wouldn't run name. will fill you in on other.
2017-02-09 20:46:17			ppstrzok@	I'm told that a reporter was reading to Flynn from the transcript.
2017-02-09 21:57:49			ppstrzok@	On the leaks of the EOs, yes. For the FISA transcript, that would be FBI information, right?
2017-02-10 00:03:02			ppstrzok@	And the leak of the internal transcript, yes.
2017-02-10 00:03:24			ppstrzok@	Talking with about Andy's comment re Australia transcript
2017-02-10 00:06:08	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Just thinking he might have not (or been afraid) to tell Flynn
2017-02-10 00:10:01	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Might have been good to like, you know, have a plan, come up with the right questions, wait until the Typhoon interviews are done and we had the CHS meet tomorrow. I don't know, I'm just an analyst.
2017-02-10 20:51:03	jcmoffa@		sip:jcmoffa@ p:conf:focus:id:cc8sts2p	My carefully cultivated network of sources and finely honed political sensitivity tell me that Gen. Flynn is no longer warming the chair in the APNSA office.?
2017-02-14 13:44:25			ppstrzok@	Last thing we had was a sub-PCC on Russian CT cooperation
2017-02-14 15:09:04	lcboone@		ppstrzok@	Hey what day did Jen run the transcripts over to the WH for Eisenberg to read?
2017-02-14 22:04:42	ppstrzok@		mfvaracalli@	Convo with Flynn? The one when he called to have you guys go over? I don't remember a write-up, but there have been a lot of them
2017-02-15 14:51:00	lcpage@		ppstrzok@	Flynn's statements
2017-02-15 14:59:15	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Sir...ASAC Carroll from Chicago.? Wanted to touch base with you to make sure you are good with Chicago activities and support with our Typhoon investigation.
2017-02-15 17:10:42	tmcarroll@		ppstrzok@	From where I'm sitting, it looks like the entire future credibility of the FBI is sitting on your shoulders.? Just my opinion, but thought you should know.
2017-02-15 21:58:54			ppstrzok@	finishing typhoon update, done in 5 but go ahead and ask here, or i'll call in a sec
2017-02-17 14:17:18	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Hi Brian, do you have the O&A date for
2017-02-17 14:41:56	ppstrzok@		bjautea@	

2017-02-17 17:38:32	samoyer@			ppstrzok@	Hey - Can I get a final copy of the Flynn 302?
2017-02-17 19:13:27	ppstrzok@			mfvaracalli@	Did they ask, or are we offering? And have you checked with Sally?
2017-02-17 21:35:10	mfvaracalli@			ppstrzok@	Hit me up when you are back and I'll give you the latest on the TYPHOON drama series.
2017-02-22 14:57:04				ppstrzok@	Pete - following up on our email exchange yesterday, do you want OCA to draft talkers that essentially demur on responding to Qs re MYE and Russia?
2017-02-22 15:12:38	ppstrzok@				Hi Beth - sorry I missed your call. If you could, that would be great. I emailed you a Russian influence summary from the unclassified version of the USIC report which would be a good starting place. Thanks
2017-02-23 13:26:05	bpboetig@			ppstrzok@	Interesting developments out here I need to update your or the AD on when you get a chance. Lync is best for me.
2017-02-24 13:20:21	ppstrzok@			samoyer@	A lot of media about Priebus asking Andy to say something about the inaccuracies in the NYT article last week
2017-02-24 13:21:01	samoyer@			ppstrzok@	I saw that headline in the Post. We will never get away from this.
2017-02-24 13:21:15	ppstrzok@			samoyer@	I don't know. CNN is going on and on about it.
2017-02-24 13:21:34	ppstrzok@			samoyer@	Jen Psaki and every Dem up in arms about how wildly inappropriate it is
2017-02-24 13:22:25	samoyer@			ppstrzok@	Oh goodness. Like no other politician has ever done anything inappropriate.
2017-02-24 13:22:45	ppstrzok@			samoyer@	David Gregory just asked, "what if the President fires the FBI Director?"
2017-02-24 13:22:49	samoyer@			ppstrzok@	I'll have to read the whole Post story to catch up. I don't have CNN in my office (luckily - or I'd get no work done).
2017-02-24 13:23:01	samoyer@			ppstrzok@	Every time I see him, I think of Beth.
2017-02-24 13:23:15	samoyer@			ppstrzok@	Yeah, what if the President fires the Director?
2017-02-24 13:23:20	ppstrzok@			samoyer@	And why, again, is Karen coming? Because she can, I know. Still...8-)
2017-02-24 13:23:34	samoyer@			ppstrzok@	We can what if it to death.
2017-02-24 13:24:06	samoyer@			ppstrzok@	Oh, yeah. Karen wants to learn. She wants to have some clue about what's going on in her section. Seeing you once every couple of weeks really does that.
2017-02-24 13:24:25	ppstrzok@			samoyer@	Don't get me started
2017-03-01 17:10:24	ppstrzok@			lcpage@	For the summary for the D - just the USPERS, or include the Russians/intermediaries. That quickly gets both long and complicated.
2017-03-01 17:12:28	lcpage@			ppstrzok@	Thinking Papa, Page, Manafort, Flynn, OGA
2017-03-01 20:30:56	ppstrzok@			samoyer@	I think just that. I would just make reference that there are Russia cases but not go into detail about them.
2017-03-01 20:31:47	ppstrzok@				What facilities are we covering for Dragon?
2017-03-01 20:34:54	ppstrzok@				Hi Kate - do you know off hand what facilities we're up on for Dragon?
2017-03-01 20:42:12	ppstrzok@				Perfect thanks
2017-03-01 20:45:26	ppstrzok@			samoyer@	Nevermind got the Dragon data
2017-03-01 21:40:40	ppstrzok@			keclinesmith@	NP - I needed Dragon facilities but I got them from
2017-03-01 23:29:57	samoyer@			ppstrzok@	And also changed the first sentence on Flynn to make it less of such a self-evident thing (yeah no sh*t he had access to Trump...)
2017-03-02 12:23:20				ppstrzok@	You there? Typhoon was a no show at the airport on the 27th. And no OGA
2017-03-02 12:23:30	ppstrzok@			ppstrzok@	of US. He's telling the source he's overseas, but we think he's still in the US
2017-03-02 12:23:30	ppstrzok@			ppstrzok@	Whitehall is chasing him on things involving General Flynn and media reports
2017-03-02 16:37:24	ppstrzok@			lcpage@	talking to him now Hey have you had a chance to finalize that Razor timeline? If not, can I just get a softcopy of whatever you have? Thx

2017-03-02 16:51:19	ppstrzok@			Hey when/who took the Flynn/Kislyak transcripts over to the WH a second time for review? Who was there (I think it was McGahn and Eisenberg but want to confirm). Thx
2017-03-02 17:17:32			dpieper@	We have 5 others, mostly crossfire, one furtive exposure. i'll send you the
2017-03-02 17:20:15			ppstrzok@	OGA numbers:
2017-03-02 17:20:28			ppstrzok@	Crossfire: OGA
2017-03-02 20:02:14	ppstrzok@		bjauten@	Hi Brian, how are we looking on the Sessions data pull? Also, has anyone done research about the Trump foreign policy speech circa April 2016 ref'd in the OGA?
2017-03-03 14:37:53	caheide@		ppstrzok@	no we haven't told them. we just briefed papa and said he may have had knowledge of Russian stuff before it happened. that's all we told them.
2017-03-03 18:54:25			ppstrzok@	Can you talk?? This one's a bit tricky...
2017-03-03 18:55:02			ppstrzok@	(Re: Russian election interference, not DRPK)
2017-03-06 16:38:19	ppstrzok@		mivaracalli@	Fine. Ran through cases and working on TPs for the D for the Hill. He said he's included to keep Typhoon and all of these cases together here and out of a local USAO. If I had to bet, that means EDVA. But not NDIL. I wouldn't relay that to CG, let DOJ manage that rollout of that
2017-03-08 19:43:31			ppstrzok@	And now Sean Spicer's remarks about trying to find out if the President is the target of a CI investigation... an interesting day here.
2017-03-08 19:43:45	ppstrzok@			Didn't hear it. What did he say?
2017-03-08 19:44:18			ppstrzok@	Someone asked if the President was the target of a CI investigation and Spicer responded, that's what we're trying to find out. (Not an exact quote).
2017-03-08 19:45:00	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Apparently Spicer just got asked if the President was the target of a CI investigation, and he responded, that's what we're trying to find out :
2017-03-08 20:04:17	samoyer@		ppstrzok@	Did you know NYO is planning to interview Dragon today?
2017-03-08 20:05:52	samoyer@		ppstrzok@	Yeah, NYO called Kevin for advice on how to answer if he's a subject.
2017-03-08 20:06:08	samoyer@		ppstrzok@	They said they were just waiting for D approval. So I guess it's been briefed???
2017-03-08 20:06:13	samoyer@		ppstrzok@	I can only assume the D will not approve. It seems like terrible timing.
2017-03-16 19:53:06	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	And unnecessary at this point.
2017-03-16 19:53:20	lcpage@		ppstrzok@	Hev it's not clear to me from that email if the Mitch stuff got redacted...
2017-03-17 13:41:54	lcpage@		ppstrzok@	is saying that it did.
2017-03-20 17:40:00			ppstrzok@	I'll follow up with rybicki. He also made changes to our statement -- I'm waiting on that too.
2017-03-21 13:40:47	samoyer@		ppstrzok@	Pete, we are still checking on the SF-86 for RAZOR, but it doesn't look like we have one.
2017-03-21 14:54:54			ppstrzok@	The 2 pm meeting on your calendar is to provide an overview of Crossfire to Karen Miller (as we discussed). Bob and [redacted] will be attending b/c (although they've been workin gon this for Branch II) they have never been "read in." I would think you can do the same briefing you gave to the A/DAG several weeks ago.
2017-03-21 16:20:53	ppstrzok@		ppstrzok@	The other one relates to Razor's SF86. We can likely obtain via DIA, but going through DIA will result in a conversation about their request for access to the Razor information that I think we are still waiting for a decision on. the other alternative is the routine route, which is via OPM.
2017-03-22 13:37:51	ppstrzok@		samoyer@	OK thanks. Pls check with Sally - I'm OK going to DIA for the SF86
2017-03-23 16:51:37			ppstrzok@	Ha. I agree. Main thing I want to do is push them on getting to Typhoon to talk And Kwok (seeking a briefing for tomorrow), and the OGA reporting (which is being run up to Bannon and Kushner). Interesting times.

2017-03-23 16:51:37			ppstrzok@	And Kwok (seeking a briefing for tomorrow), and the OG reporting (which is being run up to Bannon and Kushner).? Interesting times.
2017-03-23 20:57:23	samoyer@		ppstrzok@	Went to the Wiki hearing on the Hill. SSCI. They broke in the middle for a "members only" discussion about what happened "over the last 24 hours."
2017-03-23 20:57:33	samoyer@		ppstrzok@	They also asked about Roger Stone and his connections to Wiki.
2017-03-23 21:10:35	samoyer@		ppstrzok@	Well, Bill E punted to me so I relied on the Director's old adage - "I'm not prepared to speak to that today." We'll probably get a Q it though.
				Hey so I may miss you the rest of the morning. mentioned wanting to talk because SSCI looking for guidance in their investigation. Andy loathe to do anything; D wanted to see if we could at least get a list of who they're talking to, as they go along. - Jim B mentioned offers of immunity screwing things up, he is going to talk to SSCI counsel about that topic - Would like to talk about both above topics at MYE meeting, as well as discussion with OGA D provided update about convo with Warner on Friday about CH matters UGA
2017-03-27 14:20:10	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Hey - I have some more info re Typhoon interview. After the call from Typhoon on Friday, DOJ (Brandon van Grack) and EDVA have asked Kevin to reach out to Typhoon's attorney. They don't want their participation known yet.
2017-03-27 19:14:42	samoyer@		ppstrzok@	Let me know if you want to talk about Kushner this afternoon
2017-03-27 20:46:42	ppstrzok@		sip:ppstrzok@	I just left a meeting with the current Fusion GPS client who wants to share their holdings
2017-03-28 21:02:13	jpietka@		ppstrzok@	And this was the list of all OG related to CROSSFIRE and correct?
2017-03-28 21:16:31			ppstrzok@	Yes, and I Don't know re Mike. Maybe Moffa
2017-03-28 21:26:25	ppstrzok@			we're getting pinged by SSCI - any chance we got the list of doc #s from our friends today?
2017-03-28 22:06:29			ppstrzok@	AG Sessions "indicated Thursday evening that the Trump administration would pursue criminal charges to end alleged leaks from U.S. agencies. 'I expect that we'll get to the bottom of some of this,' Sessions said during an interview on Fox New's 'The O'Reilly Factor' ... 'It's not right. We've never seen this kind of leaking,' Sessions continued. 'It's almost as if people think they have a right to violate the law. This has got to end and it will probably take some convictions to put an end to it."
2017-03-31 17:23:03	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Hey, did you hear there was an exchange between Dir. Comey and McMaster Friday evening about Mar-a-Lago?
2017-04-03 17:52:51			ppstrzok@	Hey - Did you know was at the meeting with Flynn
2017-04-10 21:48:26	samoyer@		ppstrzok@	and Turkish officials in NY in the fall?
2017-04-10 21:48:26	samoyer@		ppstrzok@	Hey - Did you know was at the meeting with Flynn, Woolsley and Turkish officials in NY in the fall??
2017-04-10 21:48:54	ppstrzok@		samoyer@	How do we know that
2017-04-10 21:50:03	samoyer@		ppstrzok@	The New Yorker. I'll forward you the article on UNET.

2017-04-10 23:08:28	ppstrzok@			Hey I'm leaving but the things I wanted to ask - whether or not we want to go with NSA to SSCI re the cut they did Gates notification for (I have cut if you want a copy, Andy asked about it when I was acting for Bill); general follow up on discussion with your Petraeus point with your point to him living with accuracy of reporting - I was going for a different point but fine as it was; did JR confirm/clarity # of meetings with DAG and AG (just curious); any feedback from f*cked up stuff from AG and DAG contact re media leaks
2017-04-11 12:50:55	bjauten@			Human Source Validation Report (HSVR).pdf
2017-04-11 14:12:19	samoyer@			The 11 am Crossfire meeting was pulled off the calendar. Did anyone inform DOJ? They were attending the 11s on Tuesday in prep for the A/DAG briefing on Weds.
2017-04-11 14:12:19	samoyer@			The 11 am Crossfire meeting was pulled off the calendar.? Did anyone inform DOJ?? They were attending the 11s on Tuesday in prep for the A/DAG briefing on Weds.?
2017-04-11 16:13:25	bjauten@			Olga Igorevna Buzova.docx
2017-04-11 19:51:08	bjauten@			Do you want me to have Buzova put on the Op Black notification list?
2017-04-11 19:51:15	ppstrzok@			Yes please
2017-04-17 19:30:01	ppstrzok@			When do you want Razor and Typhon kicked to Holdaman?
2017-04-18 21:55:50	ppstrzok@			I have a conv of the two OGA and Trisha's write in for you
2017-04-20 13:29:09	ppstrzok@			mixed Dina and ESOC issue in working to get the answer to that OGA May have
2017-04-20 13:29:09	ppstrzok@			and ESOC issue up, working to get the answer to that.
2017-04-21 21:17:50	ppstrzok@			We need to consider what classification to open under and what statutes we're considering
2017-04-21 21:21:00	samoyer@			At the end of the day, the case file number is an FBI invention. So it really doesn't matter as long as we actually have predication for a PI or full (BTW - I'd open this as a PI, I think)
2017-04-21 21:25:38	samoyer@			Maybe. The underlying contacts are with the Russians.....let me think about it. I just worry about having this as a CI case when we are really doing a criminal investigation. But we are working it out of CI due to the reporting....
2017-04-21 21:27:46	samoyer@			Yeah, it would be weird to have CD working a strictly criminal violation....
2017-04-25 18:42:00	ppstrzok@			Not exactly as described by the guy to you, but I can see this being what they're referring to. Notably, consistent with what I told you yesterday, it DOESNT say Manafort was recruited and paid to infiltrate the campaign....
2017-04-25 21:35:18	ppstrzok@			Need background on Would he take his write up on Manafort that he gave us to the press? Would his subsource(s)?
2017-04-25 22:33:53	ppstrzok@			Copy/I'm reaching out to them tonight to see if they are willing to give us a copy. for your info, CBS news saw what they claimed was a 20 page single spaced intel report cited similarly to but better than Crown's
2017-04-26 15:21:50	ppstrzok@			said the info was written by someone in intel or with intel training, had a lot of links to Ukraine
2017-04-26 15:22:05	ppstrzok@			

2017-04-26 15:22:30	ppstrzok@		jpienka@	Specific allegation was that Manafort was recruited and paid by RIS to infiltrate the Trump campaign.
2017-04-26 15:22:40	ppstrzok@		jpienka@	Except for that last part, seems a lot like the report he gave us
				Ok after looking, getting a number (even an approximate one) will be tough because (1) there's multiple people (Oscar and Marina, namely), (2) a lot of datasets (HA Open Net, Datto Backups, etc), and (3) often a back and forth discussion after the initial Forward for a print, and (4) sometimes HRC isn't on the chain but its obvious she is the recipient. However, in just HA's open net (so this wouldn't even include huma@clintonemail) there are hundreds of emails back and forth with Oscar that contain the word "print."
2017-05-09 15:05:44			ppstrzok@	Huma also made the following statements: "ABEDIN was shown an email dated June 23, 2011 with subject "Marina print." After reviewing the email, ABEDIN stated she did not recall the specific email but would often forward emails to her email account so Marina could print the forwarded email for Secretary Clinton to view in hardcopy at her residence in Whitehaven." (Interview 2)
2017-05-09 15:06:27			ppstrzok@	and "ABEDIN provided that the team would also occasionally email things to SANTOS or FLORES to print for CLINTON." (Interview 1)
2017-05-09 15:07:42			ppstrzok@	Read it again, and I assume you may not want to get too in the weeds, but my only thought is the statement could be tweaked to clarify attribution (i.e., Backups of HA's emails and forwards to AW's email). I think these group of 50 emails fall into a mix of coming from AW backups and emails just residing on the computer in his outlook (but we could have to check with OTD)
2017-05-09 18:35:32			ppstrzok@	[REDACTED] more thing. it appears that th [REDACTED] OGA [REDACTED] .not sure what that is,
2017-05-09 18:41:25			ppstrzok@	but [REDACTED] was told you had a copy.
2017-05-11 11:36:02			ppstrzok@	"Trump warn Comey and says he may cancel press briefings
2017-05-12 13:34:56	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Also he tweeted Comey better hope there arent recordings of our conversations
2017-05-12 13:35:12	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	[REDACTED] did not search the Clinton case (or anything else of note). He did search for the article that he provided information for, but that was all that ESOC found.
2017-05-12 15:19:24			ppstrzok@	Nice. Source saying D not worried about tapes, that he didn't ask for the meeting, and that he didn't ask to keep his job
2017-05-12 17:11:19	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Spicy's back and about to get the podium back from McMaster for questions if youre around....
2017-05-12 17:35:40	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Hey serious question: does Trump's tweet to Comey break any law?
2017-05-12 17:42:52	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Witness tampering?
2017-05-15 19:03:31	ppstrzok@			No - there might have been some confusion by Laycock. Since I'm in the subject pool for the Page/FISA media leak, it isn't a good call to brief me on specifics of case discussion. But I told him I did want to meet about the March Toll/ OGA investigations
				Sounds like a large disconnect. I am told he did not want to meet on [REDACTED] OGA [REDACTED] Will make it right. OGA [REDACTED] may wait until next week to allow PC squad to get everything in order. I will meet with PC Section today. Copy re: Page, think that was more for squad to get legal questions out of the way.
2017-05-15 19:25:27			ppstrzok@	

2017-05-15 19:27:02	ppstrzok@			That's fine. I told him re OGA that I wanted to ensure he had everything he needed to aggressively work alongside PC/crim folks. With regard to March Toll, don't need him at any meeting. I'd like a briefing on both cases (entirely if you want WF there or not) to get a background on the OGA PC stuff and to get paths forward for both. I don't need anything on Page.
2017-05-16 13:10:00	ppstrzok@			I spoke with him, but didn't say that. I told him I wanted to hear from you and the team and then brief Bill, which I did. I'm good with the plea if you are
2017-05-16 13:45:11	ppstrzok@		bjauten@	Do you have a draft opening EC I can review, or still working?
2017-05-16 13:45:18	ppstrzok@		bjauten@	OGA
2017-05-16 13:45:31	ppstrzok@		bjauten@	can you ask whoever the person working for her is to stop by?
2017-05-16 13:45:37	ppstrzok@		bjauten@	EC on POTUS
2017-05-16 13:50:35	ppstrzok@		ipientka@	Hey I keep signing the damn corrected Flynn 302 and it hangs in my inbox. Do I have to do something different other than click "sign and complete"?
2017-05-16 15:13:11	ppstrzok@			Joe - for the DNC sub bullet, did that come from gthe unclassified ICA?
2017-05-16 21:25:09	ppstrzok@		sip:ppstrzok@	He any good? For russia influence thing. Probably need to do a lot of interviews, several high level
2017-05-17 14:10:09	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Ha. Bob Litt wrote something on McMaster for Lawfare
2017-05-17 14:25:37	ppstrzok@		ppstrzok@	OGA PCU wants to meet with DC Attorneys Office tomorrow at 430p
2017-05-17 15:18:44	lcpage@		ppstrzok@	Did you know that Wilmer Hale is representing Manafort?
2017-05-17 15:18:54	lcpage@		ppstrzok@	Found that out yesterday.
2017-05-17 15:19:05	lcpage@		ppstrzok@	Also wilmer who is representing kushner/ivanka
2017-05-17 15:19:20	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	who is representing D?
2017-05-17 18:11:41	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	3:00 crossfire case update with DAG is cancelled
2017-05-18 16:56:10	ppstrzok@		mfvaracalli@	What is OGA doing for employment right now
2017-05-18 18:21:08			ppstrzok@	Pete, we are coordinating serving the preservation letters. We are ultimately hoping to get to Scott Schools at DoJ. I think the AD is in with Mueller now or getting ready to walk in, any chance you know someone at DoJ or Schools himself to place a call ahead of time to coordinate receipt?
2017-05-18 20:27:58	mfvaracalli@		ppstrzok@	OGA OGA
2017-05-19 12:34:15			sip:ppstrzok@	For 4 - we got the LHM on CHS London travel - will push in light of overnight news on Assange.
2017-05-19 17:45:35			ppstrzok@	They basically want DD to try to kill the story because it could compromise an active investigation. Hail mary.
2017-05-22 20:51:40			ppstrzok@	Sorry to disturb you but I wanted to advise that we just found out that the PC squad at WFO is planning to officially open the OGA case tomorrow.
2017-05-23 12:45:24			ppstrzok@	Yeah, involved CROWN, a request to interview again, and FGI being in town. Just wanted that discussion to happen at that level
				OK. Couple of things about Crown. Hopefully this all gets translated. 1) SSCI wants to talk FGI 2) Crown wanted to use our confidentiality agreement to not talk to SSCI/HPSCI people. Initial thought is we were going to say he was not bound by that in talking to the Committee Investigators. 3) Was FGI the person we met with FGI when we came over last summer?
2017-05-23 12:49:22	ppstrzok@			Hey Pete, can you give us some more info on the analyst at the WH who might have the read out on the Trump-Kislyak/Lavrov meeting...
2017-05-26 12:14:51			ppstrzok@	Hey Pete, are you aware of OGA briefing with SC tomorrow at 11:30 am in SIOC? FYI - ADIC Vale will be attending...
2017-06-01 20:15:31			ppstrzok@	

2017-06-05 23:29:18	ppstrzok@			Hi Kevin. quick question for you - is the term OGA
2017-06-13 18:51:18	ppstrzok@			Bill's also got a meeting called "Crossbow data" with Baker tomorrow 11:15-11:45 I think I mentioned yesterday - maybe to Paul - that the obstruction folks need to loop in with 4D to see about getting the call logs between the D and POTUS. Some will be complicated if they were on CMS phones. Also, I think some were WH calling the D and saying, "call POTUS back." Anyway, we need to start figuring out how to do that
2017-06-13 18:52:41	ppstrzok@			Copy. Should we reach out to [REDACTED] Or the UC?
2017-06-13 18:53:20	jjnelson@		ppstrzok@	God Sessions has that funny hand position....
2017-06-13 18:54:52	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Didn't someone say he DIDNT consult with an ethics official?
2017-06-13 19:02:05	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Back. Did I miss anything other than the senile moment?
2017-06-13 19:25:20	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	someone did, but he claims that he did.
2017-06-13 19:26:18	lcpage@		ppstrzok@	Oh - figured it out - he was late to the CEO of Starbucks. :)
2017-06-14 14:37:04	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Carl met with him as well today
2017-06-14 14:37:35	lcpage@		ppstrzok@	Regular interview panel....
2017-06-14 14:37:46	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Hey who's the POC for the MYE file now? Dargis?
2017-06-14 15:26:57	ppstrzok@		mjcondon@	Do you have an updated Flynn timeline?
2017-06-14 18:54:32	ppstrzok@		lcpage@	Can you grab a copy of the Flynn-Kislyak calls on CD? Thanks
2017-06-27 20:31:12	ppstrzok@			Would [REDACTED] be willing to come over to work Flynn w/ Barnett?
2017-06-29 13:49:35	ppstrzok@			Does [REDACTED] have any white collar background? I am worried there is a lot of follow the money coming in Flynn. Not saying we can't assign [REDACTED] but I want a good WC agent in there
2017-06-29 13:58:31	ppstrzok@			She came from the WCC side of the house before going to CPC. We can ask Bill how he has been working with her and how she is...
2017-06-29 14:02:43			ppstrzok@	And dang I still need to email Steve Richardson
2017-06-29 17:39:24	ppstrzok@			and CT to let them know about the bodies they're losing
2017-06-29 17:43:05			ppstrzok@	Yes, OK thanks for the heads up. Shall we add [REDACTED] the Flynn team?
2017-06-29 17:44:30			ppstrzok@	Also we need to assign someone to the Crown work (assuming we don't want to take [REDACTED] with us)
2017-06-29 17:56:07	ppstrzok@			Yes re [REDACTED] Let's talk re [REDACTED]
2017-06-29 18:03:54	samoyer@			He didn't put the CD side on the 6(e) list so he won't share grand jury results.
2017-06-29 18:04:03	samoyer@		ppstrzok@	And we need them to get an OGA
2017-06-29 18:04:50	ppstrzok@		ppstrzok@	That was supposed to be 6e
2017-06-29 18:06:29	samoyer@		ppstrzok@	I will fix that. Who is on the CD side that needs to be on it?
2017-06-30 18:29:20	ppstrzok@			Well, presumably he would be sharing these results with the entire CW team. But for right now, we need Kevin [REDACTED] s done reviewing the Comey memos (and confirm that he showed, if he came alone, and questions/comments, etc)? Thanks
2017-07-03 15:24:50	ppstrzok@		bjauten@	I need a couple of things please. First is a clean marked copy of the Comey memos (a copy of what we showed [REDACTED] last week). Second item is a 10 year (or whatever makes sense) Ukrainian political background for Director Mueller - key players, parties, events, etc
2017-07-03 15:25:16	ppstrzok@		bjauten@	Also a CD with the Flynn-Kislyak calls. Thanks
2017-07-03 15:40:26	bjauten@		ppstrzok@	Re: Comey memos. To keep, or just to review?

2017-07-03 18:08:57	samoyer@		Hey! We're trying to finalize the Filter Team process for Crossfire. I think Kevin reached out about who (agents) should be assigned with our NSCLB attorneys. Did you give that any thought? Currently, the filter agents are located in the field offices where the cases were (Chicago and NYO).
2017-07-11 11:53:16		ppstrzok@	RE: Goldstone, If we have Zeitlin be the primary I believe the second should be someone who knows the Russian players. If you are good with Auten or having a second agent that knows Crown and then have Auten in the wings it may be the best chance at an interview. He is likely more than just a scheduler of that singular meeting.
2017-07-11 12:05:13	ppstrzok@		Take a Crown knowledgeable agent [REDACTED] /you/Pientka along with Brian I think [REDACTED] Auten would do a fine job. Jon has done a fine job interviewing and I wouldn't mind having a crim minded person there just in case. So long as Auten is present and/or running the content.
2017-07-11 12:06:57	ppstrzok@		And Manafort...did they ask the criminal agents from NY to come down?
2017-07-12 17:55:42	ppstrzok@		Waiting to hear from Baltimore. Do you mean NY agents permanently?
2017-07-12 17:57:50	ppstrzok@		No, the NY Agents on the Manafort case are down here but NY was not aware they were coming down. And neither was I. I'm talking to [REDACTED] now. Do we know if Team Manafort had them come down?
2017-07-12 17:58:52	ppstrzok@		We just heard Baltimore is canvassing their whole office...
2017-07-12 17:59:37	ppstrzok@		I heard NY was coming to brief "oligarchs." Who paid/approved them coming?
2017-07-12 18:00:37	ppstrzok@		Are you kidding me? Like an all office email?
2017-07-12 18:02:25	ppstrzok@		Not sure if it was an email, but the ASAC has provided us a possible new name...
2017-07-12 18:07:25	ppstrzok@		Hey what is our total count by classification of Comey memos?
2017-07-13 22:04:35	samoyer@		2 Secret; 2 Confidential and 3 Unclassified (I think - based on my bootleg copy).
2017-07-13 22:07:02	samoyer@		1 of the SECRET and 1 of the UNCLASS are emails.
2017-07-19 14:18:04	ppstrzok@		I have the 12/31 flynn kishyak verbatim
2017-07-19 14:32:18	ppstrzok@		Hey do you have softcopies of the three primary Flynn verbatims?
2017-07-19 14:56:08	mivaracalli@		We do not have the soft copies anymore. The disk was used to play for DOJ, then eventually provided to [REDACTED] on the influence team. We have transcripts and the data should be in Red Tiger.
2017-07-25 13:34:30	ppstrzok@		Hi Kevin, can I get whatever we have on a response to FGLie Crown?
2017-07-25 14:11:07	keclinesmith@		I will get it to you within the hour
2017-07-28 17:43:16	samoyer@		I heard you had a late night last night. I've been following up with NYO and Paul re the Dragon search. I was planning to come over for the 5, but let me know if you need me over there before then. I can leave here at 3:15, if needed.
2017-08-01 16:03:08	ppstrzok@		Hi Brian/Sally - can you go with me and Aaron to the FGL Embassy this Friday at 10? First item is to discuss FGL [REDACTED] what we can and can't do.
2017-08-24 13:32:29	keclinesmith@		Judicial Watch sent in a FOIA in re your assignment to SCO, just FYI
2017-10-12 19:57:05	ppstrzok@		MYE Congressional Letter --- UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO
2017-12-01 14:34:06	ppstrzok@		Hey you watching the news re Flynn?
2017-12-15 20:20:44	aebyrant@		Good Afternoon SSA Strzok, I work in RMD and have a FOIA request for your assignment to the Special Counsel's investigation. I'd like to give you the opportunity to see what is being released and to answer any questions you may have. Is it OK if I send you the six pages in a high-side e-mail? Thanks!

2018-06-15 17:49:27			Hey I'm here with INSD, trying to get a comprehensive list of all the compartments that OIG would need to read my (Russia related) notebook material. At a minimum the complete ICA list. I know OGA, but I know there are others. Do you have a complete list?
2018-06-15 17:49:40	ppstrzok@		And if they want ALL of my notebook content, that's going to be a nightmare
2018-06-15 17:50:13	ppstrzok@		Those two for sure. Probably OGA as well
2018-06-15 17:51:13			Sorry—you need the full ICA list—I think Bill has that.
2018-06-15 17:51:22			I will get it and send it to INSD
2018-06-15 17:51:33			It will be both OGA I think
			I think that's right. They've now asked for OGA which might be in my the TOTALITY notes (think every PRC, Iran, DPRK, wildass global country, DOD prolif SAPs, and random covert action programs), which I'm not even sure I can do at this point.
2018-06-15 18:00:32	ppstrzok@		I'll talk to INSD—they'll need to get someone in the DI to pull your clearances. Not on you—I also have the ICA list—I'll get that to them as well.
2018-06-15 18:02:16			DOI too for OGA
2018-06-15 18:02:27			I've explained the issue and will give them my best and likely impossible to be completely complete
2018-06-15 18:07:20	ppstrzok@		

Strzok Lync Messages

Messages	8/23/2016 22:50	pstrzok	lpage	Manafort flying to Argentina on private air out of Suffolk (NY), Pienke and simultaneously deduced Nazis and gold bars... In the scope note of the entire paper, we need to make sure that there is boilerplate language indicating that the product does not include information related to ongoing investigations. In the textbox, we need to indicate the following: "The same reliable source provided the FBI with additional information not developed by the above-referenced, layered sub-source network. The FBI source caveated, however, that while similar to previously-provided reporting in terms of content, the source was unable to vouch for the additional information sourcing and accuracy. Hence, this additional information is not included in this product."
Messages	12/22/2016 22:41	bauter	pstrzok	In the textbox, we need to indicate the following: "The same reliable source provided the FBI with additional information not developed by the above-referenced, layered sub-source network. The FBI source caveated that while similar to previously-provided reporting in terms of content, the source was unable to vouch for the additional information sourcing and accuracy. Hence, this additional information is not included in this product."
Messages	12/22/2016 22:42	bauter	pstrzok	In the textbox, we need to indicate the following: "The same reliable source provided the FBI with additional information not developed by the above-referenced, layered sub-source network. The FBI source caveated that while similar to previously-provided reporting in terms of content, the source was unable to vouch for the additional information sourcing and accuracy. Hence, this additional information is not included in this product."

TAB 7

(U) FBI Minimized FISA: This report includes information from FBI FISA collection. Such information, and any information derived therefrom, may only be used in a US legal or administrative proceeding with the advance authorization of the Attorney General. Any recipient interested in obtaining authorization for such use in a US legal or administrative proceeding should contact FBI Headquarters. Any further dissemination outside of intelligence channels and/or for law enforcement purposes must be made through FBI Headquarters. Any reproduction, dissemination, or communication (including, but not limited to oral briefings) of this information must be accompanied by a statement of these restrictions.

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
(U) 2016/80 *6/20/16 **9/19/16	(U) "Russian regime has been cultivating, supporting and assisting TRUMP for at least 5 years. Aim, endorsed by PUTIN, has been to encourage splits and divisions in western alliance"	(U) Trump was in St. Petersburg in 1987 working on a real-estate deal, and in 2008 Trump claimed to have been to Russia six times in 18 months. Though he did not mention St. Petersburg, the real estate deal he was negotiating included a hotel in St. Petersburg. ^{1,2} In 2013, Trump hosted a pageant in Moscow, and according to the Washington Post, Aras Agalarov served as a liaison between Trump and Putin. At the pageant and its after party, Trump claimed, "Almost all of the oligarchs were in the room." In the same article the Washington Post reported that in 2008, Donald Trump, Jr., claimed, "Russians make up a disproportionate cross-section of a lot of our assets." ³
(U) 2016/80 *6/20/16 **9/19/16	(U) "So far TRUMP has declined various sweetener real estate business deals offered him in Russia in order to further the Kremlin's cultivation of him."	(U) Trump was in St. Petersburg in 1987 working on a real-estate deal, and in 2008 Trump claimed to have been to Russia six times in 18 months. Though he did not mention St. Petersburg, the real estate deal he was negotiating included a hotel in St. Petersburg. ^{4,5} In 2013, Trump hosted a pageant in Moscow, and according to the Washington Post, Aras Agalarov served as a liaison between Trump and Putin. At the pageant and its after party, Trump claimed, "Almost all of the oligarchs were in the room." In the same article the Washington Post reported that in 2008, Donald Trump, Jr., claimed, "Russians make up a disproportionate cross-section of a lot of our assets." ⁶
(U) 2016/80 *6/20/16 **9/19/16	(U) "However, he and his inner circle have accepted a regular flow of intelligence from the Kremlin, including on his Democratic and other political rivals"	
(U) 2016/80 *6/20/16 **9/19/16	(U) "Former top Russian intelligence officer claims FSB has compromised TRUMP through his activities in Moscow sufficiently to be able to blackmail him. According to several knowledgeable sources, his conduct in Moscow has included	This information was provided by [REDACTED] sub-source. The sub-source has been identified as [REDACTED]

*Report Date

**Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI
Report Not Provided to Corn

[REDACTED]

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
	perverted sexual acts which have been arranged/monitored by FSB"	On [REDACTED] 2017, a [REDACTED] reported to FBI [REDACTED] that Cody Shearer was attempting to provide information about a [REDACTED] [REDACTED] claimed to have compromising tapes on President Donald Trump.⁷ [Analyst Note: It is unknown if the tapes mentioned in this reporting are the same tapes mentioned in report 80. The only similar information is the use of "tapes" [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (U) An uncorroborated source, journalist and author Andrea Chalupa, was cited in an Australian magazine article dated 1 November 2016 as mentioning Trump having an orgy in Russia.⁹ [REDACTED] The details in the Crown reporting differ somewhat from the Australian reporting ("golden showers" versus "orgies"), although these differences may have been a result of how the writers decided to characterize the information.
(U) 2016/80 *6/20/16 **9/19/16	(U) "A dossier of compromising material on Hillary CLINTON has been collated by the Russian Intelligence Services over many years and mainly comprises bugged conversations she had on various visits to Russia and intercepted phone calls rather than embarrassing conduct. The dossier is controlled by chief Kremlin spokesman, PESKOV..."	This information was provided by [REDACTED] sub-source. The sub-source has been identified as [REDACTED] (U) Dmitry Peskov is Deputy Head and Press Secretary, Presidential Administration since 2012.

*Report Date

**Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI
Report Not Provided to Crown

[REDACTED]

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
	Version sent to FBI: "... on direct orders from Putin. It has not been distributed abroad as yet, including to TRUMP team; but PUTIN's intentions for its use remain unclear." Version sent to Journalists: "...directly on Putin's orders. However, it has not as yet been distributed abroad, including to TRUMP. Russian intentions for its deployment still unclear."	[redacted] OGA [redacted] 10,11,12,13 (U) As US Secretary of State, Hillary Clinton took four trips to Russia (one each in 2009 and 2010, and two in 2012).¹⁴ Bill Clinton met with Boris Yeltsin 18 times while serving as President, but it is unclear how many times the first lady accompanied him on those trips.¹⁵
(U) 2016/80 *6/20/16 **9/19/16	(U) "1. Speaking to a trusted compatriot in June 2016 sources A and B, a senior Russian Foreign Ministry figure and a former top-level Russian intelligence officer still active inside the Kremlin respectively, the Russian authorities had been cultivating and supporting US Republican presidential candidate, DONALD TRUMP for at least 5 years. Source B asserted that the TRUMP operation was both supported and directed by Russian President Vladimir PUTIN. Its aim was to sow discord and disunity both within the US itself, but more especially within the Transatlantic alliance which was viewed as inimical to Russia's interests. Source C, a senior Russian financial official said the TRUMP operation should be seen in terms of PUTIN's desire to return to Nineteenth Century 'Great Power' politics, anchored upon countries' interests rather than the ideals-based international order established after World War Two. S/he had overheard PUTIN talking in this way to close associates on several occasions."	[redacted] This information was provided by [redacted] Danchenko's sub-source. The sub-source has been identified as [redacted] (U) No direct mention of the "Great Game" could be found in Putin's public speeches, including his annual address to the Valdai Discussion Club. However, there is plenty of media attention and analysis paid to his "19th century outlook." This outlook can be viewed in the context of his speeches "Presidential Address to the Federal Assembly"¹⁶ "Message from the President of Russia to the leaders of several European countries"¹⁷ and "Vladimir Putin's Interview with Radio Europe 1 and TF1 TV Channel."¹⁸

*Report Date

**Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI
Report Not Provided to Corn

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
		(U) Trump was in St. Petersburg in 1987 working on a real-estate deal, and in 2008 Trump claimed to have been to Russia six times in 18 months. Though he did not mention St. Petersburg, the real estate deal he was negotiating included a hotel in St. Petersburg.^{19, 20} In 2013, Trump hosted a pageant in Moscow, and according to the Washington Post, Aras Agalarov served as a liaison between Trump and Putin. At the pageant and its after party, Trump claimed, "Almost all of the oligarchs were in the room." In the same article the Washington Post reported that in 2008, Donald Trump, Jr., claimed, "Russians make up a disproportionate cross-section of a lot of our assets."²¹
(U) 2016/80 *6/20/16 **9/19/16	(U) "2. In terms of specifics, Source A confided that the Kremlin had been feeding TRUMP and his team valuable intelligence on his opponents..." Version sent to FBI: "..., including Democratic presidential candidate Hillary Clinton,..." Version sent to Journalists: [omitted] "...for several years (see more below). This was confirmed by Source D, a close associate of TRUMP who had organized and managed his recent trips to Moscow, and who reported, also in June 2016, that this Russian intelligence had been "very helpful." The Kremlin's cultivation operation on TRUMP also had comprised offering him various lucrative real estate development business deals in Russia, especially in relation to the ongoing 2018 World Cup soccer tournament. However, so far, for reasons unknown, TRUMP had not taken up any of these."	(U) Trump was in St. Petersburg in 1987 working on a real-estate deal, and in 2008 Trump claimed to have been to Russia six times in 18 months. Though he did not mention St. Petersburg, the real estate deal he was negotiating included a hotel in St. Petersburg.^{22,23} In 2013, Trump hosted a pageant in Moscow, and according to the Washington Post, Aras Agalarov served as a liaison between Trump and Putin. At the pageant and its after party, Trump claimed, "Almost all of the oligarchs were in the room." In the same article the Washington Post reported that in 2008, Donald Trump, Jr., claimed, "Russians make up a disproportionate cross-section of a lot of our assets."²⁴ OGA [REDACTED]²⁵
(U) 2016/80 *6/20/16 **9/19/16	(U) "3. However, there were other aspects to TRUMP's engagement with the Russian authorities. One which had borne fruit for them was to exploit TRUMP's personal obsessions and sexual perversion in order to obtain suitable 'kompromat' (compromising material) on him. According to Source D, where s/he had been present, TRUMP's (perverted) conduct in Moscow included hiring the presidential suite of the Ritz Carlton Hotel, where he knew President and Mrs OBAMA	[REDACTED] This information was provided by [REDACTED] Danchenko's sub-source. The sub-source has been identified as [REDACTED] (U// [REDACTED]) There is no confirmation that Trump stayed here. There is no "Presidential Suite" currently listed on the Ritz Carlton website, though they do have the Moscow Suite, Ritz-Carlton Suite, Carlton

*Report Date

**Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI
Report Not Provided to Corn

100

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI

Report Not Provided to Corn

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
	current position on various issues. Others were most probably from phone intercepts."	(U) This could also be Directorate K of the FSB, "Counterintelligence in Financial Services," which monitors employees in the financial sector.³¹ (U) As US Secretary of State, Hillary Clinton took four trips to Russia (one each in 2009 and 2010, and two in 2012).³² Bill Clinton met with Boris Yeltsin 18 times while serving as President, but it is unclear how many times the first lady accompanied him on those trips.³³
(U) 2016/80 *6/20/16 **9/19/16	(U) "6. Continuing on this theme, [REDACTED] a senior Kremlin official, confided that..." Version sent to FBI: "...the CLINTON dossier was controlled exclusively by senior Kremlin spokesman, Dmitry PESKOV, on the direct instructions of PUTIN himself. The dossier had not been made available, as yet, inter alia, to any foreigners, including TRUMP and his inner circle. However PUTIN's intentions with regard to the dossier and its future dissemination remained unclear." Version sent to Journalists: "...[t]he CLINTON dossier was controlled exclusively by chief Kremlin spokesman, Dmitry PESKOV, who was responsible for compiling/handling it on the explicit instructions of PUTIN himself. The dossier however had not as yet been made available abroad, including to TRUMP or his campaign team. At present it was unclear what PUTIN's intentions were in this regard."	[REDACTED] This information was provided by [REDACTED] sub-source. The sub-source has been identified as [REDACTED] OGA [REDACTED]^{35, 36, 37} OGA [REDACTED]³⁸
(U) 2016/086 *7/26/15	(U) Russia has extensive programme of state-sponsored offensive cyber operations. External targets include foreign	

*Report Date

**Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI
Report Not Provided to Crown

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
	governments and big corporations, especially banks. FSB leads on cyber within Russian apparatus. Limited success in attacking top foreign targets like G7 governments, security services and IFIs but much more on second tier ones through IT back doors, using corporate and other visitors to Russia.	
(U) 2016/086 *7/26/15	(U) FSB often uses coercion and blackmail to recruit most capable cyber operatives in Russia into its state-sponsored programmes. Heavy use also, both wittingly and unwittingly, of CIS emigres working in western corporations and ethnic Russians employed by neighbouring governments e.g. Latvia	
(U) 2016/086 *7/26/15	(U) Example cited of successful Russian cyber operation targeting senior Western business visitor. Provided back door into important Western institutions.	
(U) 2016/086 *7/26/15	(U) Example given of US citizen of Russian origin approached by FSB and offered incentive of "investment" in his business when visiting Moscow.	
(U) 2016/086 *7/26/15	(U) Problems however for Russian authorities themselves in countering local hackers and cyber criminals, operating outside state control. Central Bank claims there were over 20 serious attacks on correspondent accounts held by CBR in 2015, comprising Roubles several billion in fraud.	
(U) 2016/086 *7/26/15	(U) Some details given of leading non-state Russian cyber criminal groups	
(U) 2016/086 *7/26/15	(U) 1. Speaking in June 2016, a number of Russian figures with a detailed knowledge of national cyber crimes, both state-sponsored and otherwise, outlines the current situation in this area. A former senior intelligence officer divided Russian state-sponsored offensive cyber operations into four categories (in order of priority):- targeting foreign, especially western governments; penetrating leading foreign business corporations, especially banks; domestic monitoring of the elite; and attacking political opponents both at home and	

*Report Date

**Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI
Report Not Provided to Corn

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
	abroad. The former intelligence officer reported that the Federal Security Service (FSB) was the lead organization within the Russian state apparatus for cyber operations.	
(U) 2016/086 *7/26/15	(U) 2. In terms of the success of Russian offensive cyber operations to date, a senior government figure reported that there had been only limited success in penetrating the “first tier” foreign targets. These comprised western (especially G7 and NATO) governments, security and intelligence services and central banks, and the IFIs. To compensate for this shortfall, massive effort had been invested, with much greater success, in attacking the “secondary targets”, particularly western private banks and the governments of smaller states allied to the West. S/he mentioned Latvia in this regard. Hundreds of agents, either consciously cooperating with the FSB or whose personal and professional IT systems had been unwittingly compromised, were recruited. Many were people who had ethnic and family ties to Russia and/or had been incentivized financially to cooperate. Such people often would receive monetary inducements or contractual favours from the Russian state or its agents in return. This had created difficulties for parts of the Russian state apparatus in obliging/indulging them e.g. the Central Bank of Russia knowingly having to cover up for such agents’ money laundering operations through the Russian financial system.	This information was provided by [REDACTED] sub-source. The sub-source has been identified as [REDACTED]
(U) 2016/086 *7/26/15	(U) 3. In terms of the FSB’s recruitment of capable cyber operatives to carry out its, ideally deniable, offensive cyber operations, a Russian IT specialist with direct knowledge reported in June 2016 that this was often done using coercion and blackmail. In terms of ‘foreign’ agents, the FSB was approaching US citizens of Russian (Jewish) origin on business trips to Russia. In one case a US citizen of Russian ethnicity had been visiting Moscow to attract investors in his new	This information was provided by [REDACTED] sub-source. The sub-source has been identified as [REDACTED]

*Report Date

**Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI
Report Not Provided to Corn

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
	information technology program. The FSB clearly knew this and had offered to provide seed capital to this person in return for them being able to access and modify his IP, with a view to targeting priority foreign targets by planting a Trojan virus in the software. The US visitor was told this was common practice. The FSB also had implied significant operational success as a result of installing cheap Russian IT games containing their own malware unwittingly by targets on their PCs and other platforms.	
	(U) 4. In a more advanced and successful FSB operation, an IT operator inside a leading Russian SOE, who previously had been employed on conventional (defensive) IT work there, had been under instruction for the last year to conduct an offensive cyber operation against a foreign director of the company. Although the latter was apparently an infrequent visitor to Russia, the FSB now successfully had penetrated his personal IT and through this had managed to access various important institutions in the West through the back door.	
(U) 2016/086 *7/26/15	(U) 5. In terms of other technical IT platforms, as FSB cyber operative flagged up the 'Telegram' enciphered commercial system as having been of especial concern and therefore heavily targeted by the FSB, not least because it was used frequently by Russian internal political activities and oppositionists. His/her understanding was that the FSB now successfully had cracked this communications software and therefore it was no longer secure to use.	The sub-source was identified as [REDACTED] because [REDACTED] This information was provided by [REDACTED] sub-source.
(U) 2016/086 *7/26/15	(U) 6. The senior Russian government figure cited above also reported that non-state sponsored cyber crime was becoming an increasing problem inside Russia for the government and authorities there. The Central Bank of Russia claimed that in 2015 alone there had been more than 20 attempts at serious cyber embezzlement of money from corresponding accounts held there, comprising several billions Roubles. More generally, s/he understood there were circa 15 major organized crime	

*Report Date

**Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI
Report Not Provided to Corn

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
	groups in the country involved cyber crime, all of which continued to operate largely outside state and FSB control. These include the so-called 'Anunak', 'Buktrap' and 'Metel' organisations.	
(U) 2016/94 *7/19/16 **9/19/16	(U) "Speaking in July 2016...Igor SECHIN confided the details of a recent secret meeting between him and visiting Foreign Affairs Advisor...Carter Page"	This information was provided by [REDACTED] sub-source was identified as [REDACTED]. (U// [REDACTED] FISC ordered Sequestered [REDACTED] 39 [REDACTED] FISC ordered Sequestered [REDACTED] 40, 41 [REDACTED] FISC ordered Sequestered [REDACTED] 42, 43 (U) PAGE worked as Gazprom as a "Corporate Broker" which is Corporate Investment Marketing. During that time, he was instrumental in instituting an investor's day. PAGE attended the Gazprom Investor's day on 02/28/2017 in Singapore. When asked by FBI NY who from Gazprom he met at the event, PAGE said he thought the CFO was there⁴⁴. [REDACTED] FISC ordered Sequestered [REDACTED] 45, 46, 47 [REDACTED] FISC ordered Sequestered [REDACTED]

*Report Date

**Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI
Report Not Provided to Corn

[REDACTED]

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
		[REDACTED] FISC ordered Sequestered⁴⁸ [REDACTED] FISC ordered Sequestered⁴⁹ [REDACTED] FISC ordered Sequestered⁵⁰ [REDACTED]⁵¹ (U) Valery L. Marakov [REDACTED] is the President and Professor of Economics at NES. He is part of the faculty there and has been a lifelong academic.⁵² (U) "Though he publicly attended the [July 2016 New Economic School] event, Page refused to answer questions about his itinerary in Moscow. The media criticized him for specifically avoiding questions about his plans to meet with GOR officials."⁵³ (U) [REDACTED] Page reported to have met with Deputy Prime Minister Arkady Dvorkovich at NES.⁵⁴ (U) In December of 2016, Page visited the NES again. He had dinner with Schlomo and Yuval Weber, and Andrej Krickovic. During the dinner, DPM Dvorkovich congratulated Page on Trump's victory, and asked about how to connect for future cooperation. Page told Dvorkovich that he was no longer involved with the Campaign.⁵⁵ (U) On 16 March 2017, Page told FBI NY that he was invited to speak at the NES by Weber. Page received permission from Corey Lewandowski to attend the NES graduation and give the commencement speech. Page offered to have Trump do the speech instead; the campaign refused. Page, according to the e-mail, was to attend outside of his

*Report Date

**Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI
Report Not Provided to Crown

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
		OGA [REDACTED] 57
(U) 2016/94 *7/19/16 **9/19/16	(U) "In July 2016...DIVYEKIN also had met secretly with PAGE on his recent visit..."	[REDACTED] This information was provided by Danchenko's sub-source. The sub-source has been identified as [REDACTED] OGA [REDACTED] 59 OGA [REDACTED] 60

*Report Date

**Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI
Report Not Provided to Crown

[REDACTED]

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
		(U) On 23 March 2015, an article in Gazeta.ru detailed the departure of Oleg Morozov, the head of the Russian Presidential Administration's Domestic Policy Administration. Morozov, who had served in the position since May 2012, was replaced by Tatyana Voronova. In turn, the article named Igor Diveykin, "current leader of [first deputy head of the Presidential Staff Vyacheslav] Volodin's secretariat."⁶¹ (U) On 23 September 2016, Yahoo News published an article claiming Carter Page was under investigation by the FBI and US Intelligence due to his ties with Russia. This article mentioned Page's alleged meeting with Diveykin.⁶² [REDACTED] FISC ordered Sequestered [REDACTED] [REDACTED] FISC ordered Sequestered [REDACTED]
(U) 2016/94 *7/19/16 **9/19/16	(U) "[SECHIN] had raised with PAGE the issues of future bilateral energy cooperation and prospects for an associated move to lift Ukraine-related western sanctions against Russia."	This information was provided by [REDACTED] sub-source. The sub-source was identified as [REDACTED] (U) In a September 2014 interview, Igor Sechin was quoted saying "Neither I nor my company have anything to do with the crisis in Ukraine. As such, there is no foundation for the sanctions against me and Rosneft. They represent a violation of international law."⁶⁷
(U) 2016/95 *Jul/Aug 16 **9/19/16	(U) "Close associate of Donald TRUMP, admitted that there was a well-developed conspiracy of co-operation between them and the Russian leadership. This was managed on the TRUMP	(U) On 16 March 2017, Page told FBI NY Ed Cox introduced Page to Corey Lewandowski in January of 2016. Lewandowski then introduced Page to the Deputy Campaign Manager Michael Glassner. Glassner

*Report Date

**Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI
Report Not Provided to Court

[REDACTED]

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
	side by the Republican candidate's campaign manager, Paul MANAFORT, who was using foreign policy advisor, Carter PAGE, and others as intermediaries."	introduced Page to Sam Clovis. The first public announcement of Page's participation in the Campaign came in March of 2016. ⁶⁸ (U) On 16 March 2017, Page told FBI NY that he never met nor spoke to Paul Manafort. There was one email in which Manafort was a party to a reply-all email by Page. Page signed Non-Disclosure Agreements with the Trump Campaign and Global Partners in Democracy. PAGE did not attend any Principles' meetings. ⁶⁹ [REDACTED] FISC ordered Sequestered [REDACTED] ⁷⁰ [REDACTED] FISC ordered Sequestered [REDACTED] ⁷¹ (U) On 16 March 2017 Page told FBI NY after the attention that Page received from his NES speech, Burt Mizusawa and Joe Schmitz both asked Page to keep a low profile. PAGE was also told by Boris Epstheyn that he was still in the "orbit" of the campaign. ⁷²
(U) 2016/95 *Jul/Aug 16 **9/19/16	(U) "Source E acknowledged that the Russian regime had been behind the recent leak of embarrassing e-mail messages emanating from the Democratic National Committee (DNC), to the WikiLeaks platform."	(U// [REDACTED] In October 2016, the United States Government officially blamed Russia for interfering with the election process and assisting with the DNC hacks that occurred earlier in the year. Open Source

*Report Date

**Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI
Report Not Provided to Corn

[REDACTED]

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
		reporting about Russia's potential involvement in the DNC hack began to appear in media outlets since late July 2016.^{73, 74, 75} (U) On 10 March 2017, Roger Stone spoke with <i>The Washington Times</i> and acknowledged he had been in contact with Guccifer 2.0, an entity tied to the DNC hack, via Twitter direct message (DM). Stone provided a copy of the DM to <i>The Washington Times</i>.⁷⁶ (U) <i>The Washington Times</i> provided the following overview of the DM exchange between Stone and Guccifer: • (U) On 14 August 2016, Stone DM'd Guccifer and stated he was "delighted" to see the user's twitter handle reinstated after having been suspended. • (U) On 16 August 2016, Stone sent a DM asking Guccifer to retweet an article he had written regarding the 'riggling' of the 2016 presidential elections. • (U) Guccifer later replied to Stone stating: "wow. thank u for writing back, and thank u for an article about me!!! did you find anything interesting in the docs I posted" • (U) On 17 August 2016, Guccifer sent a DM to Stone stating "i'm pleased to say u r great man. please tell me if I can help u anyhow. It would be a great pleasure to me."
(U) 2016/95 *Jul/Aug 16 **9/19/16	(U) "In return the TRUMP team had agreed to sideline Russian intervention in Ukraine as a campaign issue and to raise US/NATO defence commitment in the Baltics and Eastern Europe to deflect attention away from Ukraine"	

*Report Date

**Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI
 Report Not Provided to Corn

[REDACTED]

(U) Report	(U) Information	(U) Corroboration/Analyst Notes
		[REDACTED] FISC ordered Sequestered [REDACTED] (U) On 16 March 2017, Page provided FBI NY the 07/14/2016 e-mail received from JD Gordon informing of the policy change. Page said that he had no part in the decision, but he supported it. Page assessed that JD Gordon was controlling and Gordon did not disclose much of his decisions to the team. PAGE later stated that he felt that Manafort more-likely-than-not recommended the pro-Russian changes.⁷⁹ (U) In July 2016, during the RNC in Cleveland, members of the Trump campaign convinced the platform committee to change [Diana] Denman's proposal. It went from calling on the U.S to provide Ukraine "lethal defensive weapons" to the phrase "appropriate assistance."⁸⁰ (U) "They were over sitting in chairs at the side of the room,' Denman said of two men who said they were working for the Trump campaign, one of whom was [J.D] Gordon. 'When I read my amendment, they got up and walked over and talked to the co-chairman and they read it. That's when I was told that it was going to be tabled.'"⁸¹ (U) On 21 July 2016, Trump discussed NATO by saying, "If we cannot be properly reimbursed for the tremendous cost of our military protecting other countries, and in many cases the countries I'm talking about are extremely rich. Then if we cannot make a deal, which I believe we will be able to, and which I would prefer being able to, but if we cannot make a deal, I would like you to say, I would prefer being able to, some people, the one thing they took out of your last story, you know, some

*Report Date

**Date Report Provided to FBI by Crown

Report Provided to Journalists, Not FBI
Report Not Provided to Corn

[REDACTED]